



Unravelling the complex interplay of factors behind exercise limitations and physical inactivity in COPD

Clarice Y. Tang^{1,2}, Bruce Bernstein^{3,4}, Felicity Blackstock^{2,5}, Astrid Blondeel⁶, Andrea Gershon⁷, Elena Gimeno-Santos^{8,9,10}, Rainer Gloeckl¹¹, Alda Marques¹², Martijn A. Spruit^{13,14}, Chris Garvey¹⁵, Mike Morgan¹⁶, Linda Nici^{17,18}, Sally J. Singh¹⁹ and Thierry Troosters⁶

¹Institute of Health, Exercise and Science, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia. ²School of Health Sciences, Western Sydney University, Sydney, Australia. ³Research Development, Saint Francis Hospital and Medical Center, Hartford, CT, USA. ⁴Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ⁵Office of the Deputy Vice Chancellor (Education), University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ⁶Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. ⁷Division of Respiriology, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Sunnybrook Research Institute and ICES, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. ⁸Barcelona of Global Health Institute (ISGlobal) - Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain. ⁹Hospital Clinic of Barcelona – August Pi i Sunyer Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁰CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Madrid, Spain. ¹¹Institute for Pulmonary Rehabilitation Research, Schön Klinik Berchtesgadener Land, Schönau am Königssee, Germany. ¹²Lab3R – Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences (ESSUA) and Institute of Biomedicine (iBiMED), University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal. ¹³Department of Research and Development, CIRO, Horn, The Netherlands. ¹⁴Department of Respiratory Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Centre (MUMC+) NUTRIM School of Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands. ¹⁵Retired, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. ¹⁶Retired, Department of Respiratory Sciences, University of Leicester, Biomedical Research Centre, Leicester, UK. ¹⁷Providence Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Providence, RI, USA. ¹⁸The Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. ¹⁹Department of Respiratory Sciences, University of Leicester, Biomedical Research Centre, Leicester, UK.

Corresponding author: Clarice Y. Tang (clarice.tang@vu.edu.au)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

This review presents the complex interplay of factors influencing exercise limitations and physical inactivity and how clinicians can address these to improve management of these treatable traits in COPD <https://bit.ly/4bn6lrU>

Cite this article as: Tang CY, Bernstein B, Blackstock F, *et al.* Unravelling the complex interplay of factors behind exercise limitations and physical inactivity in COPD. *Breathe* 2024; 20: 230180 [DOI: 10.1183/20734735.0180-2023].

Copyright ©ERS 2024

Breathe articles are open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 18 Dec 2023
Accepted: 28 April 2024

Abstract

Exercise limitation and physical inactivity are known treatable traits for people with COPD. Maximising exercise capacity and keeping people physically active improves health status and survival rates among people with COPD. However, managing these two treatable traits can be extremely challenging for clinicians due to the complex intersectionality of factors influencing an individual's capacity, opportunity and motivation to engage in physical activity. This review presents the complex factors influencing exercise capacity ("can do"), levels of physical activity ("do do") and sedentary behaviours amongst people with COPD and provides practical recommendations on how clinicians can address some of these factors in practice. Most importantly, it highlights the importance of referring to pulmonary rehabilitation as a way to improve exercise capacity among people with COPD.

Background

COPD is a heterogeneous and complex disease with wide variability in pulmonary and extrapulmonary manifestations and phenotypes [1]. Until recently, the degree of airflow obstruction (forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁)) was a cardinal parameter to classify disease severity. However, FEV₁ is not a patient-centred outcome and its relationship with exercise capacity, symptoms, quality of life and physical activity is limited [2].

Treatable traits in COPD

Recently, the concept of treatable traits in patients with COPD has been proposed as a route towards precision medicine [3, 4]. A treatable trait is a recognisable phenotypic or endotypic characteristic that can



be assessed and targeted by therapy to improve a clinical outcome [4]. A treatable trait must have three characteristics: it must be 1) clinically relevant, 2) detectable, and 3) treatable [4]. There are many possible treatable traits in patients with chronic airway disease, which can be categorised as pulmonary, extrapulmonary and behaviour/lifestyle concepts, as listed in table 1.

Among treatable traits, there is a strong level of evidence to support the need to address exercise intolerance, physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour among people with COPD to improve survival [8]. The novel concept to categorise individuals into the “can do/can’t do” and “do do/don’t do” groups can contribute to better understand and manage these traits in COPD [9].

Differences between “can do”, “do do” and sedentary behaviours

The “can do/can’t do” paradigm reflects exercise capacity and its limitation. Exercise capacity is a concept that defines the physiological boundaries of daily functioning and is assessed by a maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test or a field walking test (*e.g.* 6-min walk test or incremental shuttle walk test) [9, 10]. By contrast, the “do do/don’t do” paradigm refers to the physical activity that a person with COPD does or does not do [9]. Physical activity is defined as any voluntary bodily movement executed by skeletal muscles which results in energy expenditure [11]. Most commonly it is considered to reflect the ability of an individual to sustain an activity at the intensity or for the duration that would be expected considering the person’s age, sex and general physical condition. Activity is often assessed using diaries, questionnaires or devices that measure motion, such as pedometers or accelerometers.

Exercise capacity and physical activity are separate but related, as exercise capacity is permissive of physical activity. A recent article using these concepts places patients with COPD into four categories: 1) “can do/do do”; 2) “can do/don’t do”; 3) “can’t do/do do”; and 4) “can’t do/don’t do”. Figure 1 depicts this classification in a sample of 662 COPD patients with spirometry severity ranging from mild to very severe [9]. Thresholds for “can do” and “do do” were a 6-min walk distance above or below 70% of predicted, and steps above or below 5000 per day, respectively. Reasonable numbers of patients are seen in all four quadrants. As might be surmised, those in the “can’t do, don’t do” quadrant generally had the greatest disease burden, reflected by pulmonary function impairment, comorbid conditions, exacerbation frequency and symptom burden. Conversely, those in the “can do, do do” quadrant (*i.e.* those with higher levels of both exercise capacity and physical activity) had a significantly lower 6-year all-cause mortality risk as compared with people with COPD who were physically inactive [12].

There are many plausible physiological mechanisms that can contribute to people having reduced levels of physical activity in the “don’t do” group. While intrapulmonary factors such as expiratory flow limitation may contribute to reductions in physical capacity and physical activity, other extrapulmonary factors, such as larger waist circumference and overweight, have also been found to contribute to reductions in levels of physical activity [9]. Psychological factors such as depression and anxiety can also contribute to the reduction in levels of physical activity [13]. The implications of sedentary behaviours should also be considered as they too can contribute to people being less physically active.

TABLE 1 Possible treatable traits in patients with chronic airway diseases

Pulmonary treatable traits	Extrapulmonary treatable traits	Behaviour/lifestyle-related treatable traits
Airflow limitation	Depression	Suboptimal inhaler technique
Pulmonary hyperinflation	Anxiety	Suboptimal adherence to treatment
Airway inflammation	Osteoporosis	Current smoking
Dyspnoea	Overweight/obesity	Occupational or biomass exposures
Hypoxaemia	Sarcopenia	Side-effects of treatments
Hypercapnia	Deconditioning	Exercise intolerance
Exacerbations	Severe fatigue	Physical inactivity
Emphysema	Frailty	Sedentary behaviour
Pulmonary hypertension	Systemic inflammation	Self-management skills
Bronchiectasis	Anaemia	
Bacterial colonisation	OSAS	
Mucus hypersecretion	Rhinosinusitis	
Cough reflex hypersensitivity	Vocal cord dysfunction	
Dysfunctional breathing	Cardiovascular disease	
	GORD	

OSAS: obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome; GORD: gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Information from [5–7].




FIGURE 1 Four quadrants of exercise capacity and physical activity. Reproduced from [9] with permission.

While obviously closely related to physical inactivity, sedentary behaviour is a distinct concept and thereby sits outside this categorisation. Sedentary behaviour is defined as “any waking behaviour characterised by an energy expenditure ≤ 1.5 metabolic equivalents (METs), while in a sitting, reclining or lying posture” [14], and independently predicts mortality in COPD [15]. COPD patients are often sedentary, but since patients with asthma, coronary artery disease or diabetes also exhibit this maladaptive behaviour, it is not unique to a specific health condition [16–18]. Even though physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour are distinct concepts, they are strongly correlated [19].

Of note, an individual who is deemed physically active by meeting the American College of Sports Medicine guideline recommendations [20] of 150 min of moderate-to-vigorous activity a week can also fit into a sedentary category, if that person spends most of their waking hours in a sitting, reclining or lying posture while expending ≤ 1.5 METs. Of greatest concern are those patients who have both high levels of physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour, as the latter compounds the detrimental effect of physical inactivity [16–18] on health outcomes. Figure 2 illustrates the relationship between physical activity and sedentary behaviour and their effects on health outcomes.

Factors that influence exercise limitation, physical activity and sedentary behaviour

Clinicians endeavouring to facilitate participation in physical activity among their patients with COPD need to understand the complex interlinked nexus of factors that influence the triad of exercise limitation,




FIGURE 2 Relationship between physical inactivity, sedentary behaviours and health outcomes.

physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour. Different models such as the biopsychosocial model developed by the World Health Organization [21] or the ecological model explained by BAUMAN *et al.* [22] can be used to highlight the complex interactions between biological, psychological, social and environmental factors (figure 3). Clinicians need to understand that there is a need to address components of each factor, rather than just addressing one factor, to achieve a significant improvement in levels of physical activity.

Individual factors

The heterogeneous pathophysiological abnormalities of COPD lead to physical inactivity and exercise limitation often even before a formal clinical diagnosis is made [23]. Typical pulmonary abnormalities, such as impaired pulmonary gas exchange and gas trapping, increased peripheral airways resistance [24], early airway closure, maldistribution of ventilation [25], reduced diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide [26] and inspiratory capacity [27, 28], can be observed even amongst people with early or mild COPD when compared with healthy controls. These features are amplified during exercise [28–31], as observed by a lower peak oxygen consumption and power output [30], and higher physiological dead space, even without the presence of hypoxaemia [28, 30]. These findings highlight why ventilatory inefficiency is a major physiological marker of exercise limitation in early COPD [32].

Many extrapulmonary impairments are already present in the early phases of COPD. Significant reductions in self-reported physical, mental and social status are present, compared with non-COPD individuals [33]. Among individuals with mild COPD, higher levels of fatigue are found in 19% [34], quadriceps muscle weakness in 25% [35–37], and a decrease in cross-sectional area of the quadriceps rectus femoris in 18% [36, 37], respectively. This combination of intra- and extrapulmonary impairments results in a significant reduction in the level of physical activity in COPD patients compared with healthy age-matched controls [38–40]. Exercise intolerance and physical inactivity compound the likelihood of someone engaging in sedentary behaviours as shown in a study which demonstrated a negative correlation between sedentary behaviour and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity among people with COPD [19].

Concerns about respiratory symptoms, fear of exercising and movement, and lack of belief in the individual's capabilities to exercise or partake in physical activities all lead to physical inactivity and prolonged sedentary behaviour [41]. These factors also negatively impact exercise capacity regardless of respiratory disease severity. For example, people 7–14 days post-onset of an exacerbation of COPD adopt sedentary behaviours due to the low perceived capability and self-efficacy of performing physical activity, limited understanding of the disease and physical activity concepts, lack of transference from health




FIGURE 3 Model of determinants to physical activity. Information from BAUMAN *et al.* [22].

knowledge to actions, and poor adherence to movement-related advice from physiotherapists [42]. The emotional responses towards the “dyspnoea spiral” or “vicious circle for dyspnoea–inactivity” are also well documented and represent why patients with COPD adopt a sedentary lifestyle and reduced level of physical activity to avoid exertional dyspnoea [13, 30, 43]. Furthermore, anxiety and depression have also been found to be associated with a reduction in levels of physical activity irrespective of severity of COPD [44].

Interpersonal factors

Societal and cultural factors are often interlinked and form a complex intersectionality that exists within society, shaping an individual’s attitudes and behaviours towards physical activity and sedentary behaviours. Societal factors also influence determinants of health [45]. These factors include social-class, gender, race/ethnicity, income distribution and educational status [46], and can directly impact the likelihood of an individual engaging in healthy behaviour. For example, the risk of being diagnosed with a respiratory disease is 14 times higher in an individual from the lowest socioeconomic group compared with the highest, this is probably related to long-term environmental exposures which led to the disease [47]. Participation in pulmonary rehabilitation is also impacted, with people from socially deprived areas having a 79% higher risk of not completing pulmonary rehabilitation than those from the least deprived areas in England and Wales [48]. In addition to socioeconomic factors, it has been established that women are in general less active than men [49]. Barriers such as concerns over body image, lack of social support and fear of being seen as participating in an activity outside of societal expectations are some of the factors as to why women do not engage in exercise and physical activity as much as men [49].

People often underestimate the relevance of cultural factors on health as they misinterpret the definition of culture [50]. Culture is conceptual and goes beyond ethnicity and religion. It encompasses the values, behaviours, ideas, philosophies, personal beliefs and the way of life of an individual [51]. While the evidence around the impact of cultural factors on management of respiratory health is limited, there are a number of studies that have explored factors as to why people from culturally diverse groups tend not to engage in physical activity [52, 53]. These studies suggest that the perspective of how individuals define exercise differs, and culture is an integral factor in influencing the decision to participate in exercise and physical activity. For example, while swimming in an outfit that reveals the arms and legs can be perceived as socially acceptable to the majority of people in Australia, Europe and North America, such outfits could be deemed inappropriate for women from the Jewish or Muslim religions, which in turn can deter participation [53, 54].

Gender identity is another example of a cultural factor potentially impacting participation in physical activity. Those with an alternative gender identity, such as nonbinary or transgender, may not feel safe to engage in physical activities, as 40–79% of people self-identified from gender diverse backgrounds reported being exposed to homophobic verbal and/or physical abuse [55–57].

Environmental factors

The environment in which an individual lives intersects with societal and cultural factors influencing activity. A person living in a low economic area may have poor access to facilities to engage in exercise and physical activities. While living in rural or remote areas can create genuine barriers to participation in supervised exercise [58, 59], access to appropriate facilities can also be an issue in metropolitan areas. Factors such availability of adequate infrastructure, such as lighting, pathways and community areas for exercise [60, 61], as well as weather [62] are all environmental factors that can influence likelihood to engage in exercise and physical activities. Indeed, a recent study confirmed that people with COPD are less active on rainy days and more active on warmer and sunnier days [63]. Access to safe environments to promote physical activity must become a priority for the healthcare system and society.

Practical recommendations for the future

There is a spectrum of interventions that we can consider integrating into our clinical practice to improve exercise capacity, facilitate an increase in physical activity, and reduce sedentary behaviour. The following practical recommendations, summarised in table 2, can help to move patients from a “can’t do, don’t do” to a “can do, do do” state.

The key to move a patient from “don’t do” to “do do” is to improve their level of physical activity. It is crucial to look beyond the “one-size-fits-all” principle and identify key features in each patient that need to be addressed. This can start as a thorough assessment to identify pulmonary, extrapulmonary and behavioural treatable traits, including exercise capacity and physical activity. This assessment should be undertaken early in the disease, since several treatable traits are already present at this stage [5, 64]. For the

TABLE 2 Practical recommendations to enhance exercise capacity, promote physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviours in people with COPD

Assessment

Include assessments of extrapulmonary and behavioural treatable traits when assessing patients with COPD, including those with mild disease

Objectively assess exercise capacity, levels of physical activity and time spent in sedentary behaviour for all patients with COPD

Adopt patient-centred communication skills with patients and their families, and collaborate with them to understand and troubleshoot ways to increase levels of physical activity

Facilitation of exercise capacity and physical activity

Refer patients to pulmonary rehabilitation

Promote the importance of physical activity earlier in the disease trajectory

Engage both the interdisciplinary team and the end-user when designing activities to facilitate increase in physical activity

Encourage patients to “move more and sit less” early in the disease

pulmonary rehabilitation intervention, clinicians should consider the use of a core outcomes set to assist with the objective analysis of treatable traits [65].

Clinicians should also consider how various factors, highlighted in figure 3, can impact on individuals, in order to work collaboratively with the patient in identifying solutions to barriers to engaging in physical activity. These include initiating discussions with patients and working collaboratively to formulate strategies to increase physical activity. Engaging family members, whenever appropriate, is also vital as loved ones can aid in the promotion of physical activity [66]. Healthcare providers also need to be more proactive in encouraging patients to participate in pulmonary rehabilitation. While the effect of pulmonary rehabilitation on levels of physical activity appears limited [67, 68], there remains no doubt that pulmonary rehabilitation is highly effective in addressing multiple treatable traits in patients with COPD [67, 69] and should be recommended to eligible patients.

Finally, when engaging patients in physical activity, clinicians need to ensure the use of plain language and attentive listening skills to encourage patient-centred communication [70]. Clinicians should also consider adopting a multidimensional approach involving both providers and recipients of health in an authentic co-design process to devise implementation strategies that can better improve engagement of people in physical activity. A deeper analysis of the individual components of rehabilitation in terms of reach, acceptability, sustainability, maintenance and effectiveness needs to be considered. The creation of tools to measure beliefs related to physical activity and perceived importance, new culturally and societally aligned models of rehabilitation, and sustainable solutions to provide easy and safe access to an environment that enables physical activity also need to be prioritised.

Clinicians also need to reflect on their own current practices, be proactive in having discussions with their patients about psychological, societal, cultural and environmental factors that can impact on a patient’s behaviour and demonstrate a willingness to facilitate engagement in exercise and physical activity. The promotion of public strategies such as “move more and sit less” to patients with COPD should also be encouraged, as these strategies promote a reduction in sedentary behaviour through increasing light-intensity activities.

Summary and conclusions

Exercise capacity and physical activity are known treatable traits for people with COPD. Maximising exercise capacity and keeping people physically active improves health status and survival rates among people with COPD. However, managing these two treatable traits can be extremely challenging for clinicians due to the complex intersectionality of factors influencing an individual’s capacity, opportunity and motivation to engage in physical activity. This review presents these complex factors influencing exercise capacity (“can do”), levels of physical activity (“do do”) and sedentary behaviours amongst people with COPD and provides practical recommendations on how clinicians can address some of these factors in clinical practice. As exercise limitation and physical inactivity have detrimental effects on health outcomes, increasing exercise capacity and physical activity needs to be a focus in the treatment of patients with COPD. A collaborative approach in which patients and clinicians work in partnership to identify factors that negatively impact exercise limitation and physical inactivity, and devise strategies to address these treatable traits (including timely referral to pulmonary rehabilitation) offers a promising path towards improving health outcomes in COPD.

Key points

- Exercise limitation and physical inactivity are treatable traits for people with COPD.
- Patients who meet guidelines' recommendations for moderate-to-high levels of physical activity can also have increased sedentary behaviour.
- Complex interactions between biological, psychological, social and environmental factors impact levels of physical activity.
- A collaborative approach between patients, families and healthcare providers is necessary to identify and address factors that impact exercise limitation and physical inactivity.

Conflict of interest: B. Bernstein reports receiving grants from Trinity Healthcare/St Francis Hospital and Medical Center. C. Garvey reports being a part of the speaker's bureau for Boehringer Ingelheim regarding fibrotic lung disease, this disclosure has been made outside the submitted work. M.A. Spruit reports being lead on COPD/asthma-related research projects, funded by Netherlands Lung Foundation, Stichting Astma Bestrijding, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, GSK, Sanofi, and TEVA; and has served on respiratory-related advisory boards of Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, and GSK. S.J. Singh reports being a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator. This work was supported by the NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). The views expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care. The remaining authors do not have any conflict of interest to declare.

References

- 1 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (2023 report). GOLD, 2023. <https://goldcopd.org/archived-reports/>
- 2 Spruit MA, Franssen FME. FEV₁ and pulmonary rehabilitation: let's get the facts straight. *Respirology* 2023; 28: 425–427.
- 3 Agusti A, Ambrosino N, Blackstock F, et al. COPD: providing the right treatment for the right patient at the right time. *Respir Med* 2022; 207: 107041.
- 4 Agusti A, Gibson PG, McDonald VM. Treatable traits in airway disease: from theory to practice. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2023; 11: 713–723.
- 5 van 't Hul AJ, Koolen EH, Antons JC, et al. Treatable traits qualifying for nonpharmacological interventions in COPD patients upon first referral to a pulmonologist: the COPD sTRAITosphere. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; 6: 00438-2020.
- 6 Cardoso J, Ferreira AJ, Guimarães M, et al. Treatable traits in COPD – a proposed approach. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2021; 16: 3167–3182.
- 7 Duszyk K, McLoughlin RF, Gibson PG, et al. The use of treatable traits to address COPD complexity and heterogeneity and to inform the care. *Breathe* 2021; 17: 210118.
- 8 Waschki B, Kirsten A, Holz O, et al. Physical activity is the strongest predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with COPD: a prospective cohort study. *Chest* 2011; 140: 331–342.
- 9 Koolen EH, van Hees HW, van Lummel RC, et al. 'Can do' versus 'do do': a novel concept to better understand physical functioning in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Clin Med* 2019; 8: 340.
- 10 Arnardóttir RH, Emtner M, Hedenström H, et al. Peak exercise capacity estimated from incremental shuttle walking test in patients with COPD: a methodological study. *Respir Res* 2006; 7: 127.
- 11 Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep* 1985; 100: 126–131.
- 12 Vaes AW, Spruit MA, Koolen EH, et al. 'Can Do, Do Do' quadrants and 6-year all-cause mortality in patients with COPD. *Chest* 2022; 161: 1494–1504.
- 13 Ramon MA, Ter Riet G, Carsin AE, et al. The dyspnoea-inactivity vicious circle in COPD: development and external validation of a conceptual model. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 52: 1800079.
- 14 Tremblay MS, Aubert S, Barnes JD, et al. Sedentary Behavior Research Network (SBRN) – Terminology Consensus Project process and outcome. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2017; 14: 75.
- 15 Furlanetto KC, Donária L, Schneider LP, et al. Sedentary behavior is an independent predictor of mortality in subjects with COPD. *Respir Care* 2017; 62: 579–587.
- 16 Cordova-Rivera L, Gardiner PA, Gibson PG, et al. Sedentary time in people with obstructive airway diseases. *Respir Med* 2021; 181: 106367.
- 17 Cooper AR, Sebire S, Montgomery AA, et al. Sedentary time, breaks in sedentary time and metabolic variables in people with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2012; 55: 589–599.

- 18 Prince SA, Blanchard CM, Grace SL, *et al.* Objectively-measured sedentary time and its association with markers of cardiometabolic health and fitness among cardiac rehabilitation graduates. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2016; 23: 818–825.
- 19 Schneider LP, Furlanetto KC, Rodrigues A, *et al.* Sedentary behaviour and physical inactivity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: two sides of the same coin? *COPD* 2018; 15: 432–438.
- 20 American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM). ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription. 9th Edn. Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2013.
- 21 World Health Organization. International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health: Children & Youth Version: ICF-CY. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2007. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/43737>
- 22 Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, *et al.* Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *Lancet* 2012; 380: 258–271.
- 23 Rennard SI, Drummond MB. Early chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: definition, assessment, and prevention. *Lancet* 2015; 385: 1778–1788.
- 24 Deesomchok A, Webb KA, Forkert L, *et al.* Lung hyperinflation and its reversibility in patients with airway obstruction of varying severity. *COPD* 2010; 7: 428–437.
- 25 Rodríguez-Roisin R, Drakulovic M, Rodríguez DA, *et al.* Ventilation–perfusion imbalance and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease staging severity. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2009; 106: 1902–1908.
- 26 Ni Y, Yu Y, Dai R, *et al.* Diffusing capacity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease assessment: a meta-analysis. *Chron Respir Dis* 2021; 18: 14799731211056340.
- 27 Chin RC, Guenette JA, Cheng S, *et al.* Does the respiratory system limit exercise in mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 187: 1315–1323.
- 28 Elbehairy AF, Ciavaglia CE, Webb KA, *et al.* Pulmonary gas exchange abnormalities in mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Implications for dyspnea and exercise intolerance. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 191: 1384–1394.
- 29 Guenette JA, Chin RC, Cheng S, *et al.* Mechanisms of exercise intolerance in Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease grade 1 COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 44: 1177–1187.
- 30 Ofir D, Laveneziana P, Webb KA, *et al.* Mechanisms of dyspnea during cycle exercise in symptomatic patients with GOLD stage I chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 177: 622–629.
- 31 Guenette JA, Jensen D, Webb KA, *et al.* Sex differences in exertional dyspnea in patients with mild COPD: physiological mechanisms. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* 2011; 177: 218–227.
- 32 Neder JA, Arbex FF, Alencar MC, *et al.* Exercise ventilatory inefficiency in mild to end-stage COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2015; 45: 377–387.
- 33 Franssen FME, Smid DE, Deeg DJH, *et al.* The physical, mental, and social impact of COPD in a population-based sample: results from the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *NPJ Prim Care Respir Med* 2018; 28: 30.
- 34 Goërtz YMJ, Spruit MA, Van't Hul AJ, *et al.* Fatigue is highly prevalent in patients with COPD and correlates poorly with the degree of airflow limitation. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2019; 13: 1753466619878128.
- 35 Seymour JM, Spruit MA, Hopkinson NS, *et al.* The prevalence of quadriceps weakness in COPD and the relationship with disease severity. *Eur Respir J* 2010; 36: 81–88.
- 36 Shrikrishna D, Patel M, Tanner RJ, *et al.* Quadriceps wasting and physical inactivity in patients with COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 40: 1115–1122.
- 37 Fonseca J, Nellessen AG, Pitta F. Muscle dysfunction in smokers and patients with mild COPD: a systematic review. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2019; 39: 241–252.
- 38 Troosters T, Sciruba F, Battaglia S, *et al.* Physical inactivity in patients with COPD, a controlled multi-center pilot-study. *Respir Med* 2010; 104: 1005–1011.
- 39 Watz H, Troosters T, Beeh KM, *et al.* ACTIVATE: the effect of aclidinium/formoterol on hyperinflation, exercise capacity, and physical activity in patients with COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2017; 12: 2545–2558.
- 40 Waschki B, Kirsten AM, Holz O, *et al.* Disease progression and changes in physical activity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 192: 295–306.
- 41 Wshah A, Selzler AM, Hill K, *et al.* Determinants of sedentary behaviour in individuals with COPD: a qualitative exploration guided by the theoretical domains framework. *COPD* 2020; 17: 65–73.
- 42 Chang C, Wong J, Kamari AI, *et al.* Understanding perspectives and choices for sedentary behaviour and physical activity in older adults' post-acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Singapore Healthc* 2022; 31: 20101058211066418.
- 43 O'Donnell DE, Milne KM, James MD, *et al.* Dyspnea in COPD: new mechanistic insights and management implications. *Adv Ther* 2020; 37: 41–60.
- 44 Dueñas-Espín I, Demeyer H, Gimeno-Santos E, *et al.* Depression symptoms reduce physical activity in COPD patients: a prospective multicenter study. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2016; 11: 1287–1295.
- 45 Kawachi I. Why social epidemiology? *Aust Epidemiol* 2000; 7: 5–6.
- 46 Honjo K. Social epidemiology: definition, history, and research examples. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2004; 9: 193–199.

- 47 Schraufnagel DE, Blasi F, Kraft M, *et al.* An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society policy statement: disparities in respiratory health. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 188: 865–871.
- 48 Steiner MC, Lowe D, Beckford K, *et al.* Socioeconomic deprivation and the outcome of pulmonary rehabilitation in England and Wales. *Thorax* 2017; 72: 530–537.
- 49 Edwards ES, Sackett SC. Psychosocial variables related to why women are less active than men and related health implications. *Clin Med Insights Womens Health* 2016; 9: Suppl. 1, 47–56.
- 50 Tang C, Camp P. Supporting the respiratory health of migrants and refugees. *Clin Chest Med* 2023; 44: 605–612.
- 51 Kroeber A, Parsons T. The concept of culture and of social system. *Am Sociol Rev* 1958; 23: 582–583.
- 52 Caperchione CM, Kolt GS, Tennent R, *et al.* Physical activity behaviours of Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD) women living in Australia: a qualitative study of socio-cultural influences. *BMC Public Health* 2011; 11: 26.
- 53 El Masri A, Kolt GS, George ES. A systematic review of qualitative studies exploring the factors influencing the physical activity levels of Arab migrants. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2021; 18: 2.
- 54 Turner A. Walk humbly with the divine: the meaning and centrality of Jewish modesty and humility and their potential impacts on mental health, leadership, and success. *EC Psychol Psychiatr* 2017; 4: 239–246.
- 55 Witcomb G, Peel E, eds. *Gender Diversity and Sport: Interdisciplinary Perspectives*. Abingdon-on-Thames, Routledge, 2022.
- 56 Cary MA, Brittain DR, Dinger MK, *et al.* Barriers to physical activity among gay men. *Am J Mens Health* 2016; 10: 408–417.
- 57 Oliveira J, Frontini R, Jacinto M, *et al.* Barriers and motives for physical activity and sports practice among trans people: a systematic review. *Sustainability* 2022; 14: 5295.
- 58 Cleland V, Hughes C, Thornton L, *et al.* A qualitative study of environmental factors important for physical activity in rural adults. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0140659.
- 59 Hansen AY, Umstätt Meyer MR, Lenardson JD, *et al.* Built environments and active living in rural and remote areas: a review of the literature. *Curr Obes Rep* 2015; 4: 484–493.
- 60 Choi J, Lee M, Lee JK, *et al.* Correlates associated with participation in physical activity among adults: a systematic review of reviews and update. *BMC Public Health* 2017; 17: 356.
- 61 Arbillaga-Etxarri A, Gimeno-Santos E, Barberan-Garcia A, *et al.* Socio-environmental correlates of physical activity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Thorax* 2017; 72: 796–802.
- 62 O’Shea SD, Taylor NF, Paratz JD. ...But watch out for the weather: factors affecting adherence to progressive resistance exercise for persons with COPD. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2007; 27: 166–174.
- 63 Blondeel A, Hermans F, Breuls S, *et al.* The association of weather conditions with day-to-day variability in physical activity in patients with COPD. *ERJ Open Res* 2023; 9: 00314-2023.
- 64 Van Remoortel H, Hornikx M, Demeyer H, *et al.* Daily physical activity in subjects with newly diagnosed COPD. *Thorax* 2013; 68: 962–963.
- 65 Souto-Miranda S, Saraiva I, Spruit MA, *et al.* Core outcome set for pulmonary rehabilitation of patients with COPD: results of a modified Delphi survey. *Thorax* 2023; 78: 1240–1247.
- 66 Mesquita R, Nakken N, Janssen DJA, *et al.* Activity levels and exercise motivation in patients with COPD and their resident loved ones. *Chest* 2017; 151: 1028–1038.
- 67 Rochester CL, Alison JA, Carlin B, *et al.* Pulmonary rehabilitation for adults with chronic respiratory disease: an Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2023; 208: e7–e26.
- 68 Blondeel A, Demeyer H, Janssens W, *et al.* The role of physical activity in the context of pulmonary rehabilitation. *COPD* 2018; 15: 632–639.
- 69 Holland AE, Wageck B, Hoffman M, *et al.* Does pulmonary rehabilitation address treatable traits? A systematic review. *Eur Respir Rev* 2022; 31: 220042.
- 70 Peter M, Maddocks S, Tang C, *et al.* Simplicity: using the power of plain language to encourage patient-centered communication. *Phys Ther* 2024; 104: pzad103.