

TITLE PAGE

TITLE: COMMON ERRORS IN INHALATION THERAPY: IMPACT AND SOLUTIONS

AUTHORS:

Carlota Rodríguez García. Pulmonology Department-Hospital Universitario de Santiago de Compostela.

Esther Barreiro Muñoz. Pulmonology Department-Muscle Wasting and Cachexia in Chronic Respiratory Diseases and Lung Cancer Research Group, IMIM-Hospital del Mar, Parc de Salut Mar; Health and Experimental Sciences Department (CEXS), Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF); Barcelona Biomedical Research Park (PRBB), Barcelona, and Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Spain.

Xavier Muñoz Gall. Barcelona Biomedical Research Park (PRBB), Barcelona, and Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Spain; Pulmonology Department-Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; Department of Cell Biology, Physiology, and Immunology, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

Victor Bustamante Madariaga. Pneumology Department, Hospital Universitario Basurto, Osakidetza/University of the Basque Country, Bilbao, Spain.

Ignacio de-Granda-Orive.Pulmonology Department-Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre; Universidad Complutense, Madrid, España.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/CRJ.13236

Francisco Javier González Barcala. Internal Medicine Department-Universidad de Santiago de Compostela; Instituto de Investigaciones Sanitarias (IDIS) de Santiago de Compostela.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

Rodríguez-García C.has received speaker fees or research grants from Ferrer, Gebro Pharma, Menarini and GlaxoSmithKline.

Barreiro E has received research grants from Menarini and VIFOR Pharma

Muñoz Xavier has received speaker fees, consulting fees or research grants from Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, Menarini, Mundipharma, Novartis and Teva.

Bustamante V has received speaker fees, consulting fees or research grants from Almirall, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, MundiPharma, Orion, Sandoz y Teva Pharmaceuticals

de Granda-Orive J-I has received speaker fees, consulting fees or research grants from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Esteve, Gebro, GSK, Menarini, Pfizer y Rovi

González-Barcala Francisco-Javier has received speaker fees, consulting fees or research grants from Chiesi, Menarini, Rovi, Bial, GlaxoSmithKline, Laboratorios Esteve, Teva, Gebro Pharma, ALK, Roxall, Stallergenes-Gree, Boehringer Ingelheim, Mundipharma and Novartis.

Ar Ccc

DR. CARLOTA RODRIGUEZ (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-3642-7001)

Article type : Review Article

Corresponding Author Email ID: crodgar5@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: Inhalation therapy is one of the key pillars in the treatment of chronic obstructive diseases, such as asthma and COPD(Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease); however, wide number of errors occur with high frequency in the inhalation manoeuvres among these patient. This review discuss the main errors made with inhalation devices, factors associated with poor IT(inhalation technique), their consequences, and possible solutions.

DATA SOURCES: To do this, we performed a search of any publications available in PubMed between the years 2000 and 2019, using the key words: asthma, COPD, obstructive lung disease, inhalers, misuse, errors.

STUDY SELECTIONS: After a review of the titles and abstracts by the working group, the articles chosen were considered the most relevant in providing evidence of the problems and establishing solutions in the inhalation treatment of asthma and COPD.

RESULTS: There are several publications that associated the errors in the inhalation technique with a poor prognosis both of asthma and COPD. Most authors generally agree in that a poor IT is associated with poor control of the symptoms.

CONCLUSIONS: It is essential to review the IT in all our patients with asthma and COPD due to the high socio-economic impact that it involves; an effort must be made to homogenise the evaluation of IT, so that it helps to transmit a clear message to the patients, as well as to the health professionals on what is and what is not a correct manoeuvre.

COMMON ERRORS IN INHALATION THERAPY: A REAL PROBLEM

INTRODUCTION

Inhalation therapy is one of the key pillars in the treatment of chronic obstructive diseases, such as asthma and COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) (1,2,3). Hundreds of years ago Maimonides already defended the need for personalized patient management (4), which seems especially necessary with this type of treatment given the wide availability of devices and drug combinations, which are progressively increasing over the last years (5,6).

However, wide number of errors occur with high frequency in the inhalation manoeuvres among these patients (7), and this incorrect use is associated with poor control of the symptoms, an increase in exacerbations, as well as an increase in the use of social and health resources (8,9,10,11)

These errors in the use of inhalers add to the obvious problem of the low adherence to inhaled therapy by the patients, further limiting the likelihood of obtaining an effective response to these drugs (12) There has been a great variability in the frequency of incorrect technique detected, depending on the population, sometimes reaching 94% of the patients included, regardless of the device evaluated (8).

To check the inhalation technique of our patients is an obligatory step in our daily clinical practice, but it is not always easy to determine what is a good technique due to the lack of a clear consensus.

The aim of this article is to review the main errors made with inhalation devices, factors associated with poor IT (inhalation technique), their consequences, and possible solutions.

METHODOLOGY

To do this, we performed a search of any publications available in PubMed between the years 2000 and 2019, using the key words: asthma, COPD, obstructive lung disease, inhalers, misuse, errors. After a review of the titles and abstracts by the working group, the articles chosen were considered the most relevant in providing evidence of the problems and establishing solutions in the inhalation treatment of asthma and COPD.

CONCEPT OF A CORRECT INHALATION TECHNIQUE

The steps required for a correct IT may involve the loading of the device (for example, to perforate the capsule, or correct opening of the device), the exhalation and the inhalation manoeuvre itself (such as the speed of inhalation) (10,13,14,15).

Different authors have set out criteria to define a correct IT, although significant differences are observed between them (16,17,18). This variability may partly explain the differences between the different studies on the prevalence of errors in IT.

In a systematic review of 38 studies published by Mahon et al, in 2017, as regards errors in IT in asthma and COPD, it was not possible to reach a definitive conclusion on a checklist on correct IT (18)

H. Chrystyn el al. in 2017 (10), mentions this problem; the lack of evidence in the evaluation of errors of the devices and the lack of standardised checklists.

Even with these difficulties, there is some agreement in some aspects of IT, and most publications include the following steps in order to be considered a correct IT (17,19-23):

Pressurised metered dose inhalers (pMDIs):

- 1. Preparation: remove the cap, shake, and hold the inhaler in a vertical position with the mouthpiece horizontal.
- 2. Exhale completely
- 3. Place the teeth and lips around the mouthpiece with the tongue below it and press or click the device whilst starting a slow inhalation.
- 4. Inhale slowly and deeply, without stopping
- 5. Hold the breath for 5 to 10 seconds or for as long as possible.
- 6. Put on the cap

Pressurised metered dose inhalers (pMDIs) with inhalation (holding) chamber:

- 1. Preparation: remove the cap, shake the inhaler whilst keeping it vertical.
- 2. Assemble the mouthpiece of the pMDi by the rear part of the inhalation chamber.
- 3. Exhale completely (down to residual volume)
- 4. Place the mouthpiece of the chamber between the teeth and close the lips around it.
- 5. Press the device once whilst inhaling slowly and deeply. Afterwards, hold the breath for between 5 to 10 seconds or the maximum possible.
- 6. Failing that, several slow and deep breaths can be made (at least 5) to the residual volume through an inhalation chamber.
- 7. Remove the pMDI from the chamber and put the cap on.

Dry powder inhalers (DPI):

- 1. Preparation: remove the cap, charge the device according to the manufacturer's instructions.
- 2. Exhale completely

- 3. Place the teeth and lips around the mouthpiece, sealing it.
- 4. Take a deep, fast, vigorous breath.
- 5. Hold the breath for 5 to 10 seconds or for as long as possible.
- 6. Put on the cap

There is also no unanimous consensus to define critical errors. Some of these steps are considered as critical errors by some authors, but not by others (14,15,16,17,19,20), as is the case of placing the device in the mouth and sealing with the lips (24), or removing the cap in the conventional pressurised devices (14,25)

The definition currently more accepted as a critical error (basic or crucial) is "that impedes the drug reaching the airways ,so that can affect the effectiveness of the administered drug and, thus, leads to a sub-optimal control of the asthma disease and COPD", whilst a non-critical error is that which is not classified as critical (21,22).

The significant heterogeneity between the studies makes it difficult to conclude which are most common errors in inhalation devices. The CRITIKAL study was the first study to observe associations between specific inhaler errors independently and after a multivariable analysis (22)

In a review published in 2013, Sanchís et al described the frequency of errors in each step of the different devices, pMDI, as well as DPI. The studies included were not homogeneous in their design, compromising the comparisons between different studies (26).

CAUSES OF A POOR INHALATION TECHNIQUE

The causes of a poor IT are basically related to the type of device and with the characteristics of the patients.

RELATED TO THE TYPE OF DEVICE

The search for the "ideal inhaler" in the last few years has meant that the current inhaler landscape is made up of:

- conventional pMDI devices,
- Modulite® devices,

- Respimat® system, an intermediate device between a conventional pressured one and a nebuliser,
- BAI (breath actuated inhaler), a pressurised device activated by the breathing of the patient, and
- 12 dry powder devices: 4 single-dose (Handihaler, Zonda, Aerolizer, Breezhaler), and 8 multi-dose (Turbuhaler, Accuhaler, Easyhaler, Novolizer, Genuair, Nexthaler, Ellipta, Spiromax).

This could be considered as an advantage due to the diversity of inhalation devices, and at the same time could become a handicap due to the added difficulty for the correct knowledge of the functioning of each one of them for the patients, and even for the medical prescribers themselves, particularly in Primary Care.

Among the characteristics that differentiate the devices are:

- type of formulation: suspensions or solutions.
- -type of dispense: dry powder, pressurised, fine mist.
- single-dose or multi-dose
- disposable cartridges or with a reservoir
- system for device loading
- -system for aerosolization: gas or spring pressure or patient's effort

Due to this diversity of devices, the chosen inhaler should be the most suited one to the characteristics, preferences and needs of the patient.

In the case of the pMDI devices, a slow and smooth inspiration flow is necessary, and in the case of the DPI devices, a greater inspiratory effort is required that will enable the powder particles to disaggregate in order to reach the distal airways as finer particles. (27)

For this reason, more patients confuse the inhalation technique when different devices are prescribed, and in many cases the required inspiratory flow is insufficient (10).

One of the support tools that we have available for choosing between one and another device according to the inspiratory flow of the patients is the In-Check DIAL G16 (28). It is a

maximum inspiratory flow meter with origins that go back to the 1960's, and is based on an adaptation of the original expiratory flow meter by Wright (29).

In 1990 Clement Clarke improved the initial device, creating the In- Check DIAL, and in the last few years, due to the appearance of new devices, it has evolved to the current In-Check DIAL G16, with the possibility of evaluating up to 15 different DPIs and conventional PMDis (30,31).

The Check DIAL bases the measuring of the different intrinsic resistances of the devices on turbulent flow through them following the formula \sqrt{P} : QxR (P: pressure gradient in the device; Q: inhalation flow; R: resistance of the device).

It can measure the inspiratory flow (in a range of 15-120 L/min, +/-10L/min) required for the activation of the different devices. This energy is what will generate the turbulence required in order that the powder is dispersed, and the different preparations are disaggregated 28,32).

ARE THERE PREDICTIVE FACTORS FOR ERRORS IN THE INHALATION TECHNIQUE RELATED TO THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENT?

It is considered that the different patient characteristics, such as age, gender, education level, number of inhalers prescribed, or having received prior instructions on the use of each inhaler, have an influence on the inhalation technique of our patients.

These are the factors most frequently studied, but the results in the literature are not conclusive:

- 1. Age: In a systematic review published by Usmani et al, including 114 articles, the relationship between age and IT was analysed in 29 of them. In 34% of the publications, a worse IT was observed with a higher age (11, 33-41).
- 2. Gender, in this same review, it was not possible to establish any significant difference in the IT as regards gender.
- 3. Education level: 20 of the 114 articles studied the relationship between education level and errors in the IT (11,42-56), observing a significantly more deficient IT in individuals with a low educational level in 45% of these publications (11,36,37,41,42,44,45,49,55).

4. Number of devices prescribed:

Only 3 studies were found with data on the relationship between the number of devices used simultaneously by a patient and errors in IT.

In the study published by Batterink et al, in 2012 on a cohort of 37 patients with COPD, the mean (and standard deviation (SD)) prescription of different devices in this group was 1.8±0.7. The patients with critical errors in the IT were using a mean of 2.1 different inhalers versus 1.5 in those that did not show critical errors (13). Similarly, Rootmensen et al, in a randomised clinical trial of 156 patients with asthma and COPD, 28% of these patients used different inhalation devices. The results of this study showed that there were two factors associated with a poor IT; not having received any previous education on IT, and the use of more than one inhalation device (OR: 2.2) (34).

Khassawneh et al, in 2008, puished a multicentre observational study on a cohort of 300 patients with asthma and COPD. Incorrect handling was observed in 53.2% of the patients that were using only one device against 75.5% of those that were using more than one device (*P*=.001). The independent predictive factors for an incorrect IT were the diagnosis of COPD compared to the diagnosis of asthma (adjusted OR: 3.45, 95% CI: 1.58-7.53); and using multiple inhalers compared to using a single device (OR: 2.92; 95% CI: 1.73-4.30) (57).

- 6. Prior instructions on the use of inhalers: of the 144 articles reviewed, 17 investigated the relationship between having received previous information on the use of the different devices and performing a correct IT in adults. In 28% of the reviewed articles, a significant relationship was observed between having received some previous education on the use of the devices and a better inhalation technique (11,39,58-65).
- 7. Other factors, such as having two or more comorbidities (45), obesity (44), heart disease (55), cognitive impairment (58), and a low socio-economic level (45) were evaluated in some studies, showing a significant relationship with a higher frequency of IT errors.

MEASURES TO IMPROVE INHALATION TECHNIQUE

Price et al, in an article published in 2013, described possible solutions regarding the poor use of inhalation devices. (16)

First, they proposed the need to investigate the lack of education and knowledge of our patients, and to demonstrate the improvement in clinical and economic parameters associated with a correct IT. The need for a greater involvement in the social-health policies is highlighted.

Finaly clear, precise, and simple education that could be easily understood and remembered by patients is indispensable.

As regards this last point, and to highlight the usefulness of minimal intervention (as in other respiratory diseases, like smoking), in 2018 Schuermans et al, published a clinical trial with 160 patients, which demonstrated that a minimal educational intervention of 10 minutes in the intervention group significantly improved the proportion of patients with good asthma control after three months [Asthma Control Test (ACT) >19; 43% versus 77%, P<.001)], as well as improving knowledge on asthma treatment, the devices, and inhalation technique (P<.001) (66).

Other authors propose other ways of educating by using audio-visual means in order to improve the learning in patients. Thus, Hye Yung Park published a randomised clinical trial in which the usefulness of education using visual means compared to the classic face to face education was demonstrated. The FEV1 improved significantly in the intervention group at 12 weeks after visual education in the sub-group of elderly patients. This study did not manage to demonstrate significant improvements in other independent variables like ACT or IT score (67).

Another educational method proposed by Papi and Virchow in 2011 consisted of several steps:

- 1.To correctly choose the device in accordance with the characteristics of the patients.
- 2. A more intensive intervention, repeating the demonstration several times until an adequate IT is achieved
- 3. Maintenance of the techniques using videos or descriptive material, and reviewing the IT on each visit (68)

POOR INHALATION TECHNIQUE AND POOR DISEASE CONTROL

There are several publications that associated the errors in the inhalation technique with a poor prognosis both of asthma and COPD (Table 1).

Most authors generally agree in that a poor IT is associated with poor control of the symptoms (11,25,27,35,43,45,64-66,68-75)

Some of these studies also highlight the importance of an educational intervention, even a short minimal intervention of 10 minutes (35,57,59,64,66,73)

The CRITIKAL study is one of the largest studies carried out in real life and the results are consistent with evidence in the literature; the association between specific inhaler errors and poorer asthma control. It also demonstrates that errors are very frequent and device-specific(22).

POOR INHALATION TECHNIQUE AND INCREASE IN HEALTH COSTS

The poor use of inhalers is associated with a higher number of hospital admissions, visits to A&E departments, a greater number of antibiotic courses, and oral steroids, leading to an increase in health costs.

In a study carried out on patients in real life (usual clinical practice) by Roggeri et al, in 2016, two cohorts of 100 patients with COPD were compared, one with at least one critical error in the inhalation technique against opposed to another cohort of 100 patients with no errors in the use of the inhalers. At the end of one year 11.5 more hospital admissions were observed, as well as 13 visits to A&E departments, 19.5 antibiotic courses, and 47 corticosteroid courses for the first cohort. On comparing the health costs, there was a annual 23,444 Euros/years overcost in the 100 patients of the cohort with a poor IT. The results were similar in a cohort of patients with asthma: in those patients with at least one critical error, increases in the hospital admissions rates (19) were observed, as well as visits to A&E departments (26.5), antibiotic courses (4.5), and oral corticosteroid cycles (21.5), compared to the cohort with a good IT. As a result, in the patient cohort with asthma and a poor IT, an increase in

health costs of 44,104 Euros/year was observed in the 100 patients with asthma included in the study (9)

HAS THE INHALATION TECHNIQUE IMPROVED OVER TIME?

Data on IT evolution is not favourable, with an elevated error frequency being maintained in the long term. Sanchis et al analysed the evolution of IT over a period of 40 years, including studies published between the years 1975 and 2015. After the analysis of 144 articles, it was observed that the rates of incorrect inhalation technique remained stable during the period analysed (76).

CONCLUSIONS

Given the elevated prevalence of incorrect IT, its negative impact on the results of the diseases treated, and the failure that entails the virtually zero improvement of the IT over the last 40 years, it seems necessary to look for solutions to improve this situation.

It is essential to review the IT in all our patients with asthma and COPD due to the high socio-economic impact that it involves.

Firstly, an attempt should be made to reduce the prescribing of different devices, trying to use the same type of device, whenever possible, given the association between a higher number of devices and an incorrect IT.

Secondly, the choice of the device must be adapted to the type and preferences of the patient. There are differences in the operation of the inhalers that can make some of them difficult to use depending on the abilities of the patient, such as the inspiratory capacity, manual dexterity, or visual acuity.

Thirdly, it seems clear that educational measures have a positive impact on different aspects of these diseases, including IT (77).

Finally, an effort must be made to homogenise the evaluation of IT, so that it helps to transmit a clear message to the patients, as well as to the health professionals on what is not a correct manoeuvre.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1.Montes de Oca M, López Varela MV, Acuña A, Schiavi E, Casas A, Tokumoto A et al. Incorporating New Evidence on Inhaled Medications in COPD. The Latin American Chest Association (ALAT) 2019.Arch Bronconeumol. 2019 Nov 22

2.Gea J. COPD Therapy: Beyond Conventional Pharmacology Arch Bronconeumol. 2019 Nov 9

3.Muñoz X, Romero-Mesones C, Cruz MJ. β2-Agonists in Asthma: The Strange case of Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde. Arch Bronconeumol. 2019 Sep 7

4. Gea J. Maimonides, The Respiratory System and Personalized Medicine. Open Respir Arch. 2019;1(1-2):3–4

5.López-Campos JL, Peces-Barba G, Jiménez-Ruiz CA. Controlled Prescription of Triple Fixed-Dose Combination Therapy in Spain Arch Bronconeumol. 2019 Oct 22

6. Alcázar-Navarrete B, Castellano Miñán F, Santiago Díaz P, Ruiz Rodríguez O, Romero Palacios PJ. Alveolar and Bronchial Nitric Oxide in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Asthma-COPD Overlap. Arch Bronconeumol. 2018 Aug;54(8):414-419

7.Rodríguez García C, González Barcala FJ, Facal Mayo D. Difficulties with Inhaled Therapy: A Complex Simple Technique Arch Bronconeumol. 2019 Dec 5

8. Lavorini F, Magnan A, Dubuse JC, Voshaar T, Corbetta L, Broeders M et al. Effect of incorrect use of dry powder inhalers on management of patients with asthma and COPD.Respiratory Medicine (2008) 102, 593–604.

9.Roggeri A, Micheletto C, Roggeri DP. Inhalation errors due to device switch in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma: critical health and economic issues. International Journal of COPD 2016:11 597-602

10. Chrystyn H, van der Palen J, Sharma R, Barnes N, Delafont B, Mahajan A et al. Device errors in asthma and COPD: systematic literature review and meta-analysis. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med. 2017 Apr 3;27(1):22.

11.Melani A., Bonavia M., Cilenti V., Cinti C., Lodi M., Martucci P., et al Inhaler mishandling remains common in real life and is associated with reduced disease control. Respir Med 2011; 105:930-938.

12.Rodríguez-García C, Lourido-Cebreiro T, González-Barcala FJ. The ATAUD Study: The Need to Improve Adherence. Arch Bronconeumol. 2019 Mar 5.

- 13. Batterink J, K Dahri K, Aulakh A, Rempel C. Evaluation of the Use of Inhaled Medications by Hospital Inpatients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Can J Hosp Pharm 2012;65(2):111–118
- 14. Shealy KM, VC, Slimmer ML, Campbell DL and Tiffaney B Evaluation of the Prevalence and Effectiveness of Education on Metered-Dose Inhaler Technique Res Care July 2017; 65(7): 882-887.
- 15.Luczak-Wozniak K, Dabrowska M, Domagala I, Miszczuk M, Lubanski W, Leszczynski A et al. Mishandling of pMDI and DPI inhalers in asthma and COPD Repetitive and non-repetitive errors. Pulm Pharmacol Ther. 2018 Aug; 51:65-72.
- 16.The Inhaler Error Steering Committee. Price D, Bosnic–Anticevich S, Briggs A, Chrystyn H, Rand C, Scheuch G. Inhaler competence in asthma: Common errors, barriers to use and recommended solutions. Respiratory Medicine (2013)107,37-46.
- 17.Plaza V, Giner J, Rodrigo GJ, Dolovich MB, Sanchis J. Errors in the use of inhalers by health care professionals: a systematic review. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2018 May –June 6(3): 987-995.
- 18.Mahon J, Fitzgerald A, Glanville J, Dekhuijzen R, Glatte J, Glnemann S et al. Misuse and /or treatment delivery failure of inhalers among patients with asthma or COPD: A review and recommendations for the conduct of future research. Resp Med 129(2017): 98-116.
- 19. Dolovich M, Ruffin RE, Roberts R, Newhouse MT. Optimal delivery of aeresols from metered dose inhalers. Chest 1981 Dec;80 (6 suppl) :911-5
- 20. Newman et al. Inhaler treatment options in COPD. Eur Respi Rev 2005: 14, 102-8
- 21.Giraud V, Roche N. Misuse of corticosteroid metered-dose inhaler is associated with decreased asthma stability. Eur Respir J. 2002 Feb;19(2):246-51.
- 22.Price D., Román –Rodríguez, M., Brett R., Bosnic-Anticevich, S., Carter V., Gruffydd-Jones K et al. Inhaler errors in the CRITIKAL Study: type, frequency, and association with asthma outcomes. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract Vol 5, number 4:1071-1081.

- 23. Laube BL, Janssens HM, de Jongh FH, Devadason SG, Dhand R, Diot P et al. What the pulmonar specialist should know about the new inhalation therapies. Eur Respir J 2011;37:1308-31.
- 24. Bartolo K, Balzan M, Schembri EL, Asciak R, Balbi DM, Pace Bardon Met al. Predictors of correct technique in patients using pressurized metered dose inhalers.BMC Pulmonary Medicine (2017) 17:47
- 25. Al-Jahdali H, Ahmed A, Al-Harbi A, Khan M, Baharoon S, Bin Salih S, et al. Improper inhaler technique is associated with poor asthma control and frequent emergency department visits Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology20139:8
- 26.Sanchis J, Corrigan C, Levy ML, Viejo JL; ADMIT Group.Inhaler devices from theory to practice. Respir Med. 2013 Apr;107(4):495-502.
- 27. Giraud V, Allaert FA, Roche N. Inhaler technique and asthma: Feasability and acceptability of training by pharmacists. Respir Med. 2011; 105:1815–22
- 28.Sanders MJ. Guiding inspiratory flow: development of the In-Check Dial G16, a tool for improving inhaler technique. Pulm Med. 2017;2017:1495867.
- 29. Wright BM. A miniature Wright peak-flow meter. Br Med J. 1978 Dec 9;2(6152):1627-8.
- 30.Hoe S, Traini D, Chan HK, Young PM. Measuring charge and mass distributions in dry powder inhalers using the electrical Next Generation Impactor (eNGI). Eur J Pharm Sci. 2009 Sep 10;38(2):88-94.
- 31. Hoe S, Traini D, Chan HK, Young PM. The influence of flow rate on the aerosol deposition profile and electrostatic charge of single and combination metered dose inhalers. Pharm Res. 2009 Dec;26(12):2639-46.
- 32.Kawamatawong T, Khiawwan S, Pornsuriyasak P. Peak inspiratory flow rate measurement by using In-Check DIAL for the different inhaler devices in elderly with obstructive airway diseases. Journal of Asthma and Allergy 2017: 10 17-21.
- 33. Wieshammer S, Dreyhaupt J. Dry powder inhalers: which factors determine the frequency of handling errors? Respiration. 2008; 75:18–25.

- 34.Rootmensen G, Van Keimpema AR, Jansen HM, De Haan RJ. Predictors of incorrect inhalation technique in patients with asthma or COPD. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010;181: A-3812
- 35.Giraud V, Allaert FA, Magnan A. A prospective observational study of patient training in use of the autohaler inhaler device: the sirocco study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2011; 15:563–7
- 36.Chorao P, Pereira AM, Fonseca JA. Inhaler devices in asthma and COPD an assessment of inhaler technique and patient preferences. Respir Med. 2014; 108:968–75.
- 37.Ozturk C, Kaya A, Bilgin C, Yucesoy L, Ikidag B, Demirel M et al. Evaluation of inhaler technique and patient satisfaction with fixed-combination budesonide/formoterol dry-powder inhaler in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): data on real-life clinical practice in Turkey. Tuberk Toraks. 2012; 60:301–13.
- 38.Lee SM, Chang YS, Kim CW, Kim TB, Kim SH, Kwon YE et al. Skills in handling Turbuhaler, Diskus, and pressurized metered-dose inhaler in Korean asthmatic patients. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2010; 3:46–52.
- 39.Manriquez P, Acuna AM, Munoz L, Reyes A. Study of inhaler technique in asthma patients: differences between pediatric and adult patients. J Bras Pneumol. 2015; 41:405–9.
- 40.Cayo-Quiñe A, Martínez-Vargas V, Bustamante-Voysest R, Piscoya A, Alberca Y: Incorrect use of metered-dose inhalers in adult patients at a hospital in Callao, Peru, 2014: cross-sectional study. Medwave 2014, 15: e6163-e6163
- 41.Sangita P, Gharti KP, Laxman B. Assessment of inhalation techniques in COPD patients using metered-dose inhaler and Rotahaler at a tertiary care hospital in Nepal. Int Res J Pharm. 2015; 6:288–93
- 42.Pothirat C, Chaiwong W, Phetsuk N, Pisalthanapuna S, Chetsadaphan N, Choomuang W. Evaluating inhaler use technique in COPD patients. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2015; 10:1291
- 43.Coelho ACC, Souza-Machado A, Leite M, Almeida P, Castro L, Cruz CS et al. Use of inhaler devices and asthma control in severe asthma patients at a referral center in the city of Salvador, Brazil. J Bras Pneumol. 2011; 37:720–8.

- 44. Westerik JA, Carter V, Chrystyn H, Burden A, Thompson SL, Ryan D et al. Characteristics of patients making serious inhaler errors with a dry powder inhaler and association with asthma-related events in a primary care setting. J Asthma. 2016; 53:321–9.
- 45.Dalcin PTR, Grutcki DM, Laporte PP, de Lima PB, Menegotto SM, Pereira RP. Factors related to the incorrect use of inhalers by asthma patients. J Bras Pneumol. 2014; 40:13–20.
- 46.Loh LC, Teng CL, Teh PN, Koh CN, Vijayasingham P, Thayaparan T. Metered dose inhaler technique in asthmatic patients a revisit of the Malaysian scene. Med J Malaysia. 2004; 59:335–4
- 47. Domínguez-Ortega J, Phillips-Anglés E, Barranco P, Quirce S. Cost-effectiveness of asthma therapy: a comprehensive review. J Asthma. 2015;52(6):529-37.
- 48.Hammerlein A, Muller U, Schulz M. Pharmacist-led intervention study to improve inhalation technique in asthma and COPD patients. J Eval Clin Pract. 2011; 17:61–70.
- 49. Al-Hassan MI. Assessment of inhaler technique in patients attending a chest hospital in Riyadh City. Int J Pharmacol. 2009; 5:232–5.
- 50.Nolan-Neylan S, Harris M, Harland K, Sriram K. Evaluation of inhaler technique in hospitalised COPD patients. Respirology. 2015;20: A-P002.
- 51.Sriram KB, Percival M. Suboptimal inhaler medication adherence and incorrect technique are common among chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. Chron Respir Dis. 2015; 13:13–2.
- 52. Villamil-Osorio M, Medina L, Pernett L, Restrepo SM, Nino G, Rodriguez Martinez C. Assessment of inhalation technique and predictors of poor inhalation technique in a population of asthmatic children. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2015;191: A-3370.
- 53. Udwadia ZZ, Kathar S, Shah HD, Pandey K, Rastogi S, Mullerpattan J. Who will teach the teachers: an analysis of the inhaler technique of Indian patients and health care providers. Am J Res Crit Care Med. 2013;187:A5026.
- 54. Turan P, Itil O, Turan M. Factors effecting inhaler technique in COPD. Am J Res Crit Care Med. 2014;189:A-6000

55.Camilleri K, Balzan M, Bardon MP, Schembri E, Sullivan M, Mifsud S et al. Predictors of good inhaler technique in asthma and COPD. Eur Respir J. 2015;46: A-P3928.

56. Ganguly A, Das A, Roy A, Adhikari A, Banerjee J, Sen S. Study of proper use of inhalational devices by bronchial asthma or COPD patients attending a tertiary care hospital. J Clin Diagn Res. 2014;8: HC04–7

57.Khassawneh BY, Al-Ali MK, Alzoubi KH, Batarseh MZ, Al-Safi SA, Sharara AM et al. Handling of inhaler devices in actual pulmonary practice: metered dose inhaler versus dry powder inhalers. Respir Care. 2008; 53:324–8.

58.Sadowski C, Banh H, Cor K, Cave A. Inhaler device technique in community dwelling older adults. Canadian Journal of. Hosp Pharm. 2013;66(4):269

59.Barthwal MS, Katoch CDS, Marwah V. Impact of optimal asthma education programme on asthma morbidity, inhalation technique and asthma knowledge. J Assoc Physicians India. 2009; 57:574–9.

60.Basheti IA, Armour CL, Bosnic-Anticevich SZ, Reddel HK. Evaluation of a novel educational strategy, including inhaler-based reminder labels, to improve asthma inhaler technique. Patient Educ Couns. 2008; 72:26–33.

61.Goris S, Tasci S, Elmali F. The effects of training on inhaler technique and quality of life in patients with COPD. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2013; 26:336–44.

62.Grover C, Goel N, Armour C, Van Asperen P, Gaur S, Moles R et al. Medication education program for Indian children with asthma: a feasibility study. Niger J Clin Pract. 2016; 19:76–84.

63.Kuna P, Kupryś-Lipińska I, Dębowski T. Control of asthma in adults treated with beclomethasone and formoterol in extrafine particle formulation in a real-life setting in Poland: the CASPER noninterventional, observational trial. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2015; 125:731–40.

64.Plaza V, Peiró M, Torrejón M, Fletcher M, López-Viña A, Ignacio JM et al. A repeated short educational intervention improves asthma control and quality of life. Eur Respir J. 2015; 46:1298–307. 99.

- 65. Bell D, Mansfield L, Lomax M, Dissanayake S. Patient handling study of fluticasone propionate/formoterol fumarate pressurized metered-dose inhaler. Respirology. 2014;19: A-0016.
- 66.Schuermans D, Hanon S, Wauters I, Verbanck S, Vandevoorde J, Vanderhelst E Impact of a single 10 min education session on asthma control as measured by ACT. Respir Med. 2018 Oct;143:14-17.
- 67.Park HJ, Byun MK, Kwon J-W, Kim WK, Nahm D-H, Lee M-G et al. Video education versus face-to-face education on inhaler technique for patients with well-controlled or partly –controlled asthma: a phase IV, open-label, non-inferiority, multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. PLoS ONE 13(8) August 1, 2018:1-15.
- 68. Papi A., Haughney J, Virchow JC, Roche N, Palkonen S and Price D. Inhaler devices for asthma: a call for action in a neglected field. Eur Respir J 2011; 37: 982–985.
- 69.**Baddar** S, **Jayakrishnan** B, Al-Rawas OA. Asthma control: importance of compliance and inhaler technique assessments. J Asthma, 2014; 51(4): 429–434.
- 70.Fernandes L, Mesquita A. Improving asthma control with therapeutic education intervention. Eur Respir J. 2011;38: A-P4997.
- 71.Garcia-Cardenas V, Sabater-Hernandez D, Kenny P, Martinez-Martinez F, Faus MJ, Benrimoj SI. Effect of a pharmacist intervention on asthma control. A cluster randomised trial. Respir Med. 2013; 107:1346–55.
- 72.Levy ML, Hardwell A, McKnight E, Holmes J. Asthma patients' inability to use a pressurised metered-dose inhaler (pMDI) correctly correlates with poor asthma control as defined by the global initiative for asthma (GINA) strategy: a retrospective analysis. Prim Care Res J. 2013; 22:406–11.
- 73.Maricoto T, Madanelo S, Rodrigues L, Teixeira G, Valente C, Andrade L et al Inhalation technique education and its impact in asthma and COPD. Eur Respir J. 2015;46: A-P5019.
- 74.Sulaiman I, MacHale E, Seheult J, D'Arcy S, Rapcan V, Mokoka M et al. Feedback on adherence and inhaler technique using the Inca device: a randomised control trial in severe asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;193: A-1714.

75. Yildiz F, Erbagci A, Demirel YS, Akcali SD, Ekici A, Dursunoglu N et al. Importance of inhaler device use status in the control of asthma in adults: the asthma inhaler treatment study. Respir Care. 2014; 59:223–30.

76.Sanchis, J, Gich I, Pedersen S. Systematic review of errors in inhaler use. Has patient technique improved over time? Chest 2016; 150(2):394-406.

77.Usmani S, Lavorini F, Marshall J, Dunlop WCN, Heron L, Farrington E et al. Critical inhaler errors in asthma and COPD: a systematic review of impact on health outcomes. Respiratory Research (2018) 19:10.

TABLE 1. PRINCIPAL INTERVENTIONAL AND NON -INTERVENTIONAL STUDIES IN INHALATION TECHNIQUE.

	Study							
	design							
Al -Jadhali,	Cross-sectional, two-	ND	450	Asthma	>18y	Pmdi,PMDI+S,TH,	Age, gender,	Poor
2013(25)	centre					Diskus	level of	inhalation
							studies, ACT,	technique is
							devices,	associated
							previous	with ACT<15
							asthma	(P=.001),
							education	three or more
							A&E visits,	A&E visits (
							poor	P=.0497) and
							inhalation	irregular
							technique	follow-
							Asthma	up(P=.0001)
							duration	
Baddar,	Cross-sectional, two-	ND	218	Asthma	12-72y	ND	Age, gender,	Poor
2014(69)	centre						ACT, check-list	inhalation
							errors,	technique is
							adherence,	associated

							rhinitis,	with poor
							maintenance	control (OR:
							tto.	5.3; 95% CI:
								2.05-14). Poor
								adherence is
								associated
								with poor
								control (OR
								:7,4; 95% CI :
								3.5-15.8)
Bathwal,	Non-randomised	Educational	172	Asthma	>12y	Pmdi,PMDI+S,DPI	Age, gender,	Better disease
2009(59)	prospective single-	program	172	Astillia	7124	1 mai,i wibi 3,bi i	Asthma	control after
2003(33)	centre trial	program					control	asthma
	centre triai						(GINA),poor IT	education
							previous	program (
							asthma	P>.05
							education, no	
							scheduled	
							visits	
Basheti,	Simple, blind,	Educational	97	Asthma	>14y	Th, Diskus	Peak-flow	After
2008(60)	randomised trial	program					variability,	education
							Asthma	program,

						severity	improvement
						/Australian	of the
						Asthma	inhalation
						Management	technique in
						Handbook	active group
							(P<.001) and
							in the asthma
							severity
							(P=.0015)
Coelho,2011(43)	Cross-sectional	467	Asthma	>18y	Pmdi, PMDI+s,	Age, gender,	A good
	single-centre			-	Pulvinal,	level of	inhalation
					Aerolizer	studies, check-	technique with
						list errors,	Aerolizer is
						devices, ACQ6,	associated
						asthma	with good
						duration, use	asthma control
						of tto >3	(P=.04)
						months	
Dalcin,	Cross-sectional	268	Asthma	>14 y	Pmdi, DPI	Age, gender,	Poor
2014(45)	single-centre					race, marital	inhalation
						status, Level of	technique is
						studies, Family	associated

							income,	with poor
							Tobacco,	control of the
							number of	disease
							comorbidities,	(P=.002)
							Asthma	,
							severity	
							(GINA),	
							Asthma	
							control (GINA),	
							functional	
							values.	
Fernandes,	Trial,	Educational	89	Asthma	ND		Age, gender,	Significant
2011(70)	randomised,	program					ACT	improvement
	controlled							in control of
								asthma in the
								intervention
								group (P<.05)
García-	Multicentre	Educational	336	Asthma	>18y	TH	Age, gender,	ACQ improved
Cardenas,	randomised	program					BMI, tobacco,	in the
2013(71)	controlled trial						marital status,	intervention
							Level of	group (P<.001)
							studies,	and an

						marital status,	increase of
						occupational	30.1% of
						·	
						status, ACQ,	patients with
						check-list	good control
						errors,	(P<.001)
						adherence,	
						asthma tto	
						controller	
Giraud,2011(27)	Observational,	727	Asthma			Age, gender,	ACQ improved
	prospective				Pmdi,	tobacco, type	from 1.8 to
	Multicentre,				autohaler,	of device,	1.4 (P<.001)
					easybreathe	adherence,	after
					Autohaler,	ACQ, check-list	education
						errors,	session
						previous	
						education	
Giraud,	Observational,	6512	Asthma	>18y		Age, gender,	Factors
2011(35)	prospective					BMI, tobacco,	associated
	Multicentre,					asthma	with poor IT
						duration, ACQ,	are advanced
						previous cycles	age (>50),
						of GCO and	tobacco, and

							antibiotics,	poor asthma
							check-list	control
							errors, asthma	(P<.001)
							tto controller	
Góris,	Experimental (clinical	Educational	69	COPD	ND	Pmdi, TH,	Age, gender,	Number of
2013(61)	trial)	program				Aerolizer,	BORG, MRC,	exacerbations,
	Single-centre					Handihaler,	SGRQ, check-	attendances in
						Diskus	list errors,	A&E and
							Level of	hospital
							studies,	admissions
							severity of	were greater
							COPD, disease	in the control
							duration,	group
							spirometry.	
Kuna,	Trial, prospective,	Educational	16844	Asthma	<16->76y	Pmdi	Age, gender,	Improvement
2015(63)	observational, non-	program					tobacco, BMI,	in asthma
	interventional,						physical	control in V2 is
	multicentre						activity, level	associated
							of studies,	with an
							asthma	adequate
							duration,	inhalation
							Asthma	technique (OR;

						control (GINA),	1.48; 95% CI;
						number of	1.25-1.74;
						exacerbations,	P<.001) and in
						SABA use,	V3 (OR; 1.66;
						BUD/F dose,	95% CI; 1.26-
						Asthma family	2.18; P<.001)
						background,	
						exacerbations	
						reason	
Levy, 2013(72)	Observational,		Asthma	>12y	Pmdi, PMDI+S,	Age, type of	Poor IT is
	prospective,				DPI, autohaler,	devices GINA	observed 4
	Multicentre,				easybreathe	control,	times more in
						previous cycles	patients with
						of GCO, check-	poor
						list errors,	symptoms
						asthma	control and
						maintenance	two times
						tto.	more in
							patients with
							partial control
							(P<.0001, X2
							value 281.19).

						The number of exacerbations was higher in the patients that had an incorrect IT (68%, P=.3)
Maricoto,	Cross-sectional,	62	Asthma /	Pmdi, PMDI+S,	Age, gender,	Relationship
2015(73)	single-centre		COPD	DPI	ACT, CARAT,	between
			>18y		Mmrc, CAT,	number of
					spirometry,	errors and ACT
					satO2, check-	(P=.032) and
					list errors,	CARAT
					years	(P=.008) in
					diagnosed,	asthma. No
					previous IT	significant
					education.	association
						was found
						between
						quality of IT
						and disease in
						the COPD

								group.
Melani,	Cross-sectional,		1664	Asthma/		pMDI	Age, gender,	Poor IT is
2011(11)	Multicentre			COPD		DPI (aerolizer,	tobacco,	associated
						Diskus	spirometry,	with an
						Handihaler, TH)	ACT, Mmrc,	increase in the
							A&E, hospital	risk of hospital
							admissions,	admissions
							device type,	(P=.001), A&E
							asthma	attendances
							maintenance	(P<.001)
							tto., satO2,	cycles of GCO
							cycles of GCO	(P<.001) and
							and antibiotics	antibiotics
							previous year	P<.001),
								worse control
								of disease
								(.0001)
Plaza, 2015(64)	Clinical trial,	Educational	230	Asthma	18-70y	ND	Age, gender,	Significant
	randomised,	program					BMI, ACT,	improvement
	controlled,						tobacco, Level	in the
	Multicentre						of studies,	intervention
							Charlson, mini	group and gold

	1	Т	1	1	Г	1	1	T
							AQLQ,	standard
							functional	group of ACT
							values, cycles	(P<.001),
							of GCO and	exacerbations
							antibiotics,	(P=.003) and
							A&E visits.	mini
							hospital	AQLQ(P=.019)
							admissions,	
							maintenance	
							tto.	
Sulaiman,	Clinical trial,	Intensive	221	Asthma	>18y	Diskus	Age, gender,	Intensive
2016(74)	prospective,	educational					tobacco, ACT,	education in IT
	randomised, simple	program					AQLQ	improved
	blind,							adherence
	Multicentre							(P=.02) and
								increased the
								percentage of
								patients with
								good asthma
								control
Yildiz,	Study, observational		572	Asthma	>18y	Pmdi, Diskus TH,	Age, gender,	Improved
2014(75)						Aerolizer	years of	asthma control
					1			I .

			disease,	with an
			tobacco, level	improved IT,
			of studies,	and a decrease
			work activity,	in the number
			ACT, check-list	of basic errors
			errors.	

Pmdi: Pressurized metered dose inhaler;PMDI+S: pressurized metered dose inhaler spacer;TH: turbuhaler;ND: nor deteminant; DPI: dry power inhalers; A&E:Aid and Emergencies ; IT: inhalation technique; BMI: body mass index; ACT: asthma control test; ACQ: asma control questionnaire; GCO: oral glucocorticoids;MRC: Medical Research Council; SGRQ:St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease;SABA: short acting beta agonists; BUD/F: budesonide/formoterol; CARAT: Control of Allergic Rhinitis and Asthma Test;Mmrc: modified Medical Research Council; CAT: COPD Assessment Test;AQLQ: Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire