- 1 Anxiety and depression in women with breast cancer: social and clinical determinants and
- 2 influence of the social network and social support (DAMA Cohort)
- 3 Puigpinós-Riera R ^{a, b, c}, Graells-Sans A ^{a,d,e}, Serral G^{a, b, c}, Continente X, ^{a, b, c}, Bargalló X^f,
- 4 Domènech M^g, Espinosa-Bravo M^h, Grau J^f, Macià F^{i,j}, Manzanera R^k, Pla M^l, Quintana MJ b, c,
- 5 ^m, Sala M^{i,j}, Vidal E ⁿ
- 6 a Agència de Salut Pública de Barcelona (ASPB). Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 7 b CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP) Madrid (Spain)
- 8 c Institut de Recerca Biomèdica Sant Pau (IIB St. Pau). Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 9 d Campus Docent Sant Joan de Déu-Fundació Privada Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 10 e Departament de Ciències Experimentals i de la Salut, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF)
- 11 (Experimental and Health Sciences Department, Pompeu Fabra University), Barcelona
- 12 (Catalonia)
- d Hospital Clínic de Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 14 e Association of Women with Breast Cancer (Agata). Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 15 f Hospital Vall d'Hebron de Barcelona, (Catalonia)
- 16 g Parc de Salut Mar de Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 17 h Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigació Mèdica. Barcelona (Catalonia)
- i MC Mutual. Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 19 j Universitat de Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 20 k Hospital de la Sta. Creu i Sant Pau. Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 21 I Facultat de Ciències de la Salut Blanquerna-Universitat Ramón Llull. Barcelona (Catalonia)
- 22
- 23 Authors' e-mail address
- 24
- 25 Rosa Puigpinós-Riera, rpuigpi@aspb.cat
- 26 Ariadna Graells-Sans <u>agraells@santjoandedeu.edu.es</u>
- 27 Xavier Continente xcontine@aspb.cat
- 28 Gemma Serral gserral@aspb.cat
- 29 Xavier Bargalló xbarga@clinic.ub.es
- 30 Montserrat Domènech info@grupagata.org
- 31 Martín Espinosa maespino@vhebron.net
- 32 Jaume Grau jgrau@clinic.ub.es
- 33 Francesc Macià FMacia@parcdesalutmar.cat
- 34 Rafael Mazanera <u>rmanzanera@mc-mutual.com</u>
- 35 Margarida Pla m.pla@ub.edu
- 36 Mª Jesús Quintana MJQuintana@santpau.cat
- 37 Maria Sala MSalaSerra@parcdesalutmar.cat
- 38 Eulàlia Vidal eulaliavg@blanquerna.url.edu

39

Correspondence Rosa Puigpinós Riera

Postal Address Servei d'Avaluació i Mètodes d'Intervenció

Agència de Salut Pública de Barcelona

Pça. Lesseps 1 08023 Barcelona

Phone/fax +34 93 202 77 87 / +34 93 292 14 43

e-mail <u>rpuiqpi@aspb.cat</u>

41	ABSTRACT
42 43 44	Background: Anxiety and depression are the most prevalent mental health pathologies among women with breast cancer. Social, clinical and contextual variables may influence emotional stress among women with breast cancer.
45 46 47	The aim of this work is to study anxiety and depression in a cohort of women diagnosed with breast cancer between 2003 and 2013 in Barcelona. We evaluate social and clinical determinants.
48 49 50 51 52 53	Methods: We performed a mixed cohort study (prospective and retrospective) using a convenience sample of women diagnosed with breast cancer. The information sources were the Hospital Anxiety and Depression questionnaire and hospital medical records. Dependent variables were anxiety and depression; independent variables were social class, age, employment status, tumour stage at diagnosis, time since diagnosis, social network and social support. We performed a descriptive analysis, a bivariate analysis, and a multivariate logistic regression analysis.
55 56 57 58 59	Results: A total of 1086 (48.6%) women had some degree of anxiety-related problem. As for depression. In the case of depression, 225 (15%) women had some degree of depression-related problem. Low emotional support and social isolation were clear risk factors for having more anxiety and depression. Low social class was also a risk factor, and age also played a role.
60 61 62 63	Discussion: Our results show that women long period of cancer survival have high prevalences of anxiety than depression, and this prevalence of anxiety is higher than the general population. In addition, we found inequalities between social classes and the isolation and social support are worse too in low social class.
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73 74	KEYWORDS: anxiety disorder, depression, mental disorders, breast cancer, long term survivors, social network, social support, social determinants, clinical determinants.

76 BACKGROUND

Emotional distress in cancer patients reduces quality of life, has a negative impact on compliance with medical treatment and carries elevated risk of mortality ¹. For most people, the word "cancer" is associated with a serious illness that is usually very aggressive and requires very invasive treatments. Thus, since the event is considered or evaluated as a threat, an anxious emotional reaction arises. Likewise, perceiving it as a significant loss (loss of health, psychological well-being, life expectancy, etc.) will tend to result in sadness, which can trigger depression². The first challenge for this study is to assess the prevalence of anxiety and depression in women with breast cancer, which can be complex due to the diversity of diagnostic criteria, the fact that not all diagnostic cut-offs have been empirically validated, and because prevalence rates are often assessed at different time points during the disease¹. Some authors estimate that 30% of individuals diagnosed with cancer experience significant levels of distress at some time during of the course of the disease³.

Emotional distress is not a static situation. Women who survive breast cancer go through many different stages, which may influence their emotional welfare and mental health. Nearly 30% of breast cancer survivors experience chronic pain five years after treatment. Pain and depression are common symptoms in many serious diseases and carry a risk of self-perceived poor health, poor quality of life, premature mortality⁴, anxiety and depression⁵. Patient's age is also an important variable, with younger women generally feeling worse, for several reasons⁶. On the other hand, the presence of problems related to deficiencies in basic needs, the chemotherapeutic treatment with doxorubicin, which causes more intense symptoms, a greater meddling of the disease, passive coping and perceiving a lesser sense of meaning and peace at the beginning of the study are related to depressive symptoms⁷.

Some women with advanced cancer face relapse⁸, highlighting the need for a broader perspective when studying emotional disorders. In this sense, a longitudinal study used growth mixture modelling to examine longitudinal changes in depressive symptoms from before the start of adjuvant treatment for breast cancer to six months after completion. The authors identified 3 groups, Class 1, 2 and 3. People in Class 1 reported clinically significant symptoms of depression before treatment, which declined only slightly over time and remained at a clinically significant level 6 month after completing treatment. People in class 2 reported subclinical depressive symptoms before treatment, which declined significantly over time until overall symptomatology reached a minimum. People in class 3 reported minimal depression symptoms before treatment, which declined significantly even to a lower level, six months after completing treatment⁹.

The level of social support a woman has, and her social network are key determinants in the risk of suffering emotional distress. While social support may play a protective role at the time of diagnosis, previous studies suggest that support tends to decrease over time, and that women with a greater decrease in social support have worse psychosocial outcomes¹⁰. Also, social isolation is usually associated with decreased long-term survival in several types of cancer, including breast cancer¹¹ and decreased quality of life¹².

Several mechanisms have been proposed as drivers of the relationship between social support and mental health outcomes. The literature suggests that social support promotes QOL by enhancing mood and sense of identity, reducing the burden associated with instrumental daily-life activities, and offering information and a positive assessment of coping resources. Most studies have investigated the relationship between mental health and clinical-type risk factors (comorbidities, higher histological grade, positive lymph node status and

- 122 chemotherapy)¹³; Conversely, studies that demonstrate the influence of cognitive and social
- variables in cancer survivors in different periods of time (from less than 5 years to more than
- 124 10 years) are scarce.
- 125 After reviewing the literature, this study aims to analyse the relationship between social
- determinants of health related to the social network and clinical aspects, and mental health in
- terms of anxiety and depression, in a cohort of women diagnosed with breast cancer between
- 128 2003 and 2013 in the main hospitals of Barcelona (Parc Salut Mar, Hospital Vall d'Hebron,
- 129 Hospital Clínic and Hospital Santa Creu i Sant Pau).

METHODS

131 Design

130

- We performed a mixed cohort study (prospective and retrospective)¹⁴ using a convenience
- sample of women who had been diagnosed with breast cancer. In this article, we present the
- results of the initial cross-sectional study, including 2235 women in different stages of their
- 135 breast cancer.
- Population of study ¹⁵, included all women aged ≥18 years who were diagnosed with and/or
- treated for breast cancer at one of the four main hospitals in the Barcelona Public Hospital
- Network (Hospital Clínic, Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Hospital de Sant Pau, Parc de Salut Mar)
- between January 1, 2003 and December 31, 2013. Subjects were identified from the Minimum
- Basic Data Set (MBDS) and selected for participation if, according to the 9th revision of the
- 141 International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9), they had received any code between 174.0 and
- 142 174.9 at the time of admission to the hospital.
- 143 Exclusion criteria were as follows: a) having died from any cause before the onset of the study,
- b) having a previous diagnosis of another type of cancer before breast, and c) living outside
- 145 Catalonia, due to difficulties in the follow-up process. 9771 women meet the study criteria and
- were identified and contacted by their pertinent hospital. All of them were informed about
- the study and invited to participate. Those who accepted were asked to sign a written
- informed consent (IC). In the end, a total of 2235 woman per included in the study.
- 149 Sources of information
- 150 We obtained information from the women themselves and their medical records. We
- 151 collected data at three time points: 1) A first telephone contact welcome call, a "Welcome
- Survey", was made in which we thank the women their participation in the study and we
- 153 performed a short survey to register both their sociodemographic and economical
- 154 characteristics; 2) Afterwards, a study survey was sent in wich we asked for several different
- aspects of women's health, including specific questionnaires for mental health and social
- suport; and 3) clinical variables were obtained from the Medical Records at the hospital. This
- entire process to obtain information, was carried out from mid-2015 to December 2016.
- 158 All the women signed an Informed Consent. The study passed a Ethical Commithe which
- 159 register number is 2015/6499/l.
- 160 Study variables

- 161 Mental health was studied using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HAD) questionnaire
- 162 wich includes a set of questions that ultimately classifies people into the following categories:
- 163 A) no anxiety, doubtful anxiety and probable anxiety; D) no depression, doubtful depression
- 164 and probable depression. Therefore, dependent variables were anxiety and depression
- classified within the three categories mentioned above. 165
- 166 The independent variables were as follows: 1) age, grouped as <50 years, 50-65 years or >65
- years; 2) social class, according to the national occupational classification, and grouped into 167
- upper class (I-II), middle class (III) or lower class (IV-V)¹⁶; 3) employment status, classified in 168
- 169 four main categories: active worker, disabled, not working, and retired; 4) Social network,
- 170 measured by the Berkman-Syme Network Index (SNI), distinguishes between socially-isolated
- 171 (people with a less than 2 people network or who don't belong to associationism of any kind),
- and those with several degrees of social connection; 5) Social support was studied using the 172
- MOS-SS questionnaire that classifies individuals into low social support or medium-high. 6) 173
- 174 Co-habitation at home, according to whether the woman lives alone or accompanied. Clinical 175 variables were: 7) tumour stage at diagnosis (in situ, early-stage, locally advanced or
- 176 metastatic); 8) time since diagnosis (<5 years, 5-10 years; or >10 years); 6) social network
- 177 (social isolation or different degrees of social connection); 9) occurrence of relapses.

178 Statistical Analysis

- 179 We performed a descriptive analysis of each variable, followed by a bivariate analysis of
- 180 explanatory and dependent variables using the Chi square test. A correlation analysis was
- carried out between the different variables studied. We fit multivariate logistic regression 181
- 182 models using the following reference values: absence of pathology versus doubtful presence
- 183 of pathology, and absence of pathology versus probable presence of pathology (either anxiety
- or depression). Statistical significance was set at <0.05 in all calculations. All analyses were 184
- 185 performed using SPSS 18.0 statistical package.

186 **RESULTS**

- 187 The Dama cohort includes 2,235 women, 314 (14%) of whom had probable anxiety and 772
- 188 (34.5%) had doubtful anxiety. This means that 1086 women (48.6%) suffered some degree of
- anxiety-related problems. Regarding depression, 129 women (5.8%) had probable depression, 189
- 190 and 206 (9.2%) had doubtful depression. Thus, a total of 335 women (15%) suffered from
- 191 depression-related problems (shown in Table 1). Table 1 also shows the distributions of the
- main characteristics of women in the Dama cohort: 44.6% belonged to the upper social class 192
- 193 (I+II); 14.4% are aged ≤50 years; 22.7% live alone, and the rest do so with 1 person or more;
- 194 35.3% are currently employed. Regarding the main clinical indicators, 7.9% of breast cancers
- 195 were diagnosed in situ, 38.6% were in the initial phase, 39.8% in a locally advanced stage, and
- 196 1.1% were metastatic. 9.4% of women suffered a relapse, and 42.5% of women were
- 197 diagnosed between 5 and 10 years before the study started. The social situation described by
- 198 women in the Dama cohort showed that 21.5% of them were socially isolated and 15.5% of
- 199 them had low social support.
- 200 The results of the bivariate analysis between the dependent variables (anxiety and
- depression) and the independent variables are shown in Table 2. We observed significant 201
- 202 differences in anxiety and depression between social classes, with women in the lower social
- 203 class having more anxiety and depression. By employment status, the worst situation is for
- 204 the disabled women with significant differences too. Suffering relapses, living in social
- 205 isolation and having low social support are also significantly associated with risk of depression

and anxiety. Living alone is significantly associated with anxiety, but not depression; women who live alone suffer less anxiety. Age is also important, with younger women showing more anxiety than older ones. We found no significant association between tumour stage at diagnosis and time since diagnosis.

We observed significant differences in anxiety, especially probable anxiety, as a function of age, social class and relapse (**Table 3**). Older women (>65 years) had lower risk of probable anxiety than the younger ones [OR=0.42 (0.23-0.75), *p* 0.004]. Women from the lower and medium social classes had higher risk than those in the highest class [OR=1.76 (1.21-2.55), *p* 0.003; and OR=1.43 (1.01-2.02), *p* 0.042, respectively]. Women who had had a relapse were at greater risk of having symptoms [OR=1.63 (1.02-2.62), *p* 0.043]. In terms of social support, the women with low social support present more risk for both doubtful and probably anxiety [OR=2.18 (1.59-2.99), *p* 0.000] and [OR=4.79 (3.31-6.95), *p* 0.000], respectively. Women who lived alone had a lower risk of doubtful anxiety and probable anxiety than those who lived with somebody else [OR=0.66 (0.51-0.86), *p* 0.002; and OR=0.68 (0.46-0.97), *p* 0.03, respectively]. In terms of employment status, women with disability had the highest risk of both doubtful and probable anxiety. We found no significant differences in either doubtful or probable anxiety according to tumour stage at diagnosis, time since diagnosis and social network.

Table 4 shows the results for depression in relation to the different variables. Employment status was found to be particularly important: women who were not working had a higher risk of depression than those who were, and women with disability had the highest risk [OR=4.67 (2.27-9.59), p 0.000] for probable depression; and [OR=2.58 (1.49-4.5), p 0.001] for probable depression [OR=4.67 (2.27-9.59), p 0.000]. We observed that women with low social support have a higher risk of both doubtful depression [OR=5.08 (3.18-8.11)] and of probable depression [OR=2.35 (1.49-3.69)] than those with medium or high social support. We also observed social class inequalities in probable depression with women from lower social classes (IV + V) being more than twice as likely to have depression than those in high social classes (I + II) [OR=2.22 (1.29-3.82), p 0.004]. In terms of cohabitation, women living alone were less likely to have doubtful depression than those who lived with other people. Women in social isolation had higher risk of probable depression than those who had different levels of social connection [OR=2.35 (1.49-3.69), p 0.000]. We found no significant differences in either doubtful anxiety or probable anxiety according to age, tumour stage at diagnosis, time since diagnosis, and relapse.

DISCUSSION

We found that 5.8% of the women in our study had probable depression, which is similar to that described for women in the general population (5.6% prevalence/year). In contrast, the prevalence of probable anxiety among women in the Dama Cohort was 14%, while the corresponding prevalence/year among women in the general population was 1.18 % ¹⁷. If we also include women who have been classified as having possible depression, we can see that the detected prevalence of both pathologies is remarkably high. In addition, our results showed that depression and anxiety are more common among women of low social status, those who were not working, irrespective of the reason, those who had suffered relapse or metastasis, those with a weak social network, and those in a situation of social isolation and low social support.

We found that younger women suffer more anxiety. In contrast, the rate of depression is lower in younger women (<50 years), while the prevalence was very similar in the other age groups among them. Other studies among newly diagnosed women have reported similar prevalence and age-related distributions of anxiety, but somewhat different results for depression, namely the so-called U-effect, with the lowest prevalence of depression among middle-aged women¹⁸. A systematic review and meta-analysis focused on long-term survivors found similar prevalence data to those obtained in our study¹⁹. This review is interesting because it highlights the growing problem of some studies that focus on women with newly diagnosed diseases, regardless of the effects on long-term survival, despite the increase in women in this group. This entails a first challenge which is to define what "long-term survival" means. To an oncologist, long-term survivors are women who are still alive 5 years after diagnosis. Both the US Center for Disease Control and the National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship define a cancer survivor as any person living with cancer from the time of diagnosis to the time of death. In any case, the systematic review mentioned above showed that the risk of depression decreases with time from diagnosis, while that of anxiety increases, reaching a prevalence of approximately 17%. These results clearly follow the same pattern as those observed in our work with women in the Dama cohort.

Social class is another element with significant influence. We observed a greater proportion of women with probable anxiety and depression in the middle and lower social classes (IV + V), especially after the follow-up of the disease had been carried out for some time. This is probably because women with fewer economic resources have less access to the different resources that could help meet their health needs. Likewise, the socioeconomic conditions of these groups are linked to a precarization of social connections, reducing the elements of social support for women²⁰. In addition, health outcomes are also influenced by the level of deprivation of the neighbourhood, observing worse health outcomes in those who live in more depressed environments. On the other hand, these patients were also less likely to be married and live alone, which has turned out to be a risk factor. Contrary to the hypothesis that social support mediates the relationship between social class and mental health, some studies have shown that this is not the case, and that the socioeconomic level, and specifically the income level, can directly influence the levels of social support, stress of a woman and, consequently, her risk of anxiety and depression ¹⁹.

Regarding relapse, there is little previous evidence on the relationship between relapse and anxiety and depression in long-term breast cancer survivors. On the other hand, there is some literature on the effect of fear of relapse, regardless of the stage of the tumour at the time of diagnosis²¹. In many cases, relapse is a difficult issue to address, and affected women often hide the severity of their illness or prognosis from close family and friends. Most experience shock, isolation and a feeling of loss of control, due to significant changes in their daily lives, among which are the inability to work and an increase in medical appointments that cause emotional distress²². In fact, long-term survivors can sometimes have a greater need for psychological support, depending on the duration of the treatment period and, above all, the onset of relapse²³.

Emotional support is an important determinant of the mental well-being of cancer survivors. In our study, low emotional support was found to be a clear risk factor for anxiety and depression. A follow-up study carried out in Sweden showed similar results, and fatigue was also found to be relevant²⁴. There are several mechanisms that may be mediating the relationship between social support and mental health. Some authors suggest that social support promotes QoL by enhancing of mood and sense of identity, decreasing the burden associated with the activities of daily living, and providing a positive appraisal of coping resources and information⁷. It is also known that the different dimensions of emotional support – tangible, emotional, affective and social interaction – influence self-efficacy in decision-making related to treatment and in the process of self-care and disease management²⁵. The concepts of social network and social isolation are closely linked to

301 emotional support, measured by the number of people one relates with and the frequency of such relationships. Some survival studies in women with breast cancer have demonstrated a 302 303 relationship between social network and mortality, with higher mortality rates in women with a poorer social network²⁶. Several studies support the hypothesis that processes (implicit and 304 explicit) that regulate emotions are consequently related to how the CM is confronted. 305 306 Consequently, the links the CM may have with depression and anxiety and the effects it may 307 have on the disease depend, among other factors, on the loneliness of the women affected. 308 Women who were highly connected and had a rich social network were thus able to cope with 309 cancer by expressing their emotions and had fewer mental health symptoms than women with fewer emotional outlets²⁷. However, women who live as a family seem to be more likely to 310 have symptoms of anxiety and depression. In the qualitative interviews that were carried out 311 312 to better understand the results obtained in the quantitative work, women with children or 313 older parents reported more suffering; especially in those cases with small children. In these, many fears arise since if they die their children will be left alone. A woman tells us: "My 314 husband loves me very much, but he is an adult and over time he can find someone else, but 315 my son, what will he do? A mother does not have a replacement, she is unique." 316

Limitations

317

328

329

330 331

332333

334

335

336

337

338

339 340

341

342

343

318 The use of a convenience sample, which means that it may not be representative of all women 319 with breast cancer in the city of Barcelona. The absence of a city-wide tumour register also prevents us from drawing comparisons with all women with breast cancer. Nevertheless, data 320 obtained from other registries²⁸ have shown that the DAMA Cohort is representative in terms 321 of age, and in terms of the distribution of tumour stage at diagnosis, except for women 322 323 diagnosed with metastases, which are underrepresented in this Cohort. Since this was a selfreported survey, memory bias was also likely to be an issue. However, note that we used data 324 325 from the 4 most important hospitals in Barcelona's public network, which attend the highest 326 proportion of cases (approximately 85% of all diagnoses), and we obtained a high response 327 rate (23%).

Clinical implications

The study provides relevant information on women in different stages of survival, which is relevant given that most of the studies focus on the period of diagnosis and treatment. Thus, we were able to evaluate information about women's unmet needs over the years, which enabled us to confirm the persistence of such needs, although they may change over time. In addition to helping to determine the factors that influence mental well-being, our findings provide useful information to advance our understanding of the determinants of mental health in patients with breast cancer and generate a framework in which to design and implement interventions for support the most vulnerable groups. In addition, it highlights the importance of including mental health care in the follow-up of women with breast cancer from the very first moment after their diagnosis and during subsequent years ²⁹. Concluding, the main finding of the study is the fact that women continue to have mental health problems in the years after active treatment and that these are influenced by social factors rather than clinical. This puts us on the path to continue directing efforts in the design of future interventions.

Acknowledgements

Our thanks to all the women who participated in this study, to the Carlos III Institute of Health and to the ERDF fund.

346 **Conflicts of interest**

347 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

Funding

348

- This study forms part of the "DAMA Cohort" project, and has been carried out with the support of the Spanish Ministry of Health, the Carlos III Health Institute-General Subdirectorate for Evaluation and Promotion of Research, and the National Plan for Scientific and Technical Research and Innovation 2013- 2016. It has been co-funded by
- 353 the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), project number PI13/01977.

- [1]. Linden W, Vordermaier A, MacKenzie R, Greig D. Anxiety and depression after cancer diagnosis: Prevalence rates by cancer type, gender, and age. Jornal of Affective Disorders 2012 (141): 343-351. Doi:10.1016/j.jad.2012.03.025
- [2]. Cano A. Control emocional, estilo represivo de afrontamiento y cáncer: Ansiedad y cáncer. Psicooncología 2005; 2 (1): 71-80.
- [3]. Philip E.J., Merluzzi T.V., Zhang Z., Heitzmann C.A. Depression and Cancer Survivorship: Importance of Coping Self-Efficacy in Post-Treatment Survivors. Psychooncology 2013; 22(5); 987-994. Diu:10.1002/pon.3088.
- [4]. Hugues S., Jaremka L.M., Alfano C.M., glaser R., Pvoski S.P., Lipari A.M., Agnese D.M., Farrar W.B., Yee L.D., Carson III E.E., Malarkey W.B., Kiecolt-Glaser J.K. Social support predicts inflammation, pain and depressive symptoms: Longitudinal relationships among breast cancer survivors. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2014; 42: 38-44. Doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.12.016.
- [5] . Goldschmidt Mertz B, Molter Duriaud H, Kroman N, Geving Andersen K. Pain, sensory disturbances and psychological distress are common sequelae after treatment of ductal carcinoma in situ: a cross-sectional study. Acta Oncologica 2017; 56 (5): 724-729. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2017.1295167.
- [6]. Yi JC, Syrjala KL. Anxiety and depression in Cancer Survivors. Med clin N Am 2017; 101:1099-1113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mcna.2017.06.005.
- [7]. Avis N.E., Levine B., Naughton M.J., Case L.D., Naftalis E., Van Zee K.J. Age-related longitudinal changes in depressive symptoms following breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013; 139(1): doi:10.1007/s10549-013-2513-2.
- [8]. Applebaum A.J., Stein E.M., Lord-Bessen J., Pessin H., Rosenfeld B., Breitbart W. Optimism, Social Support and Mental Health Outcomes in Patients with Advanced Cancer. Psychooncology 2014; 23(3): 299-306. Doi: 10.1002/pon.3418.
- [9]. Donovan K.A., González B.D., Small B.J., Andrykoawski M.A., Jacobsen P.B. Depressive Symptom Trajectories During and After Adjuvant Treatment for Breast Cancer. Ann Behav Med 2014; 47(3): 292-302. Doi: 10.1007/s12160-013-9550-2.
- [10]. Thompson T., Phil M., Rodebaugh T.L., Pérez M., Struthers J., Sefko J.A., Lian M., Schootman M., Jeffe D.B. Influence of Neighborhood-level Factors on Social Support in Early-stage Breast Cancer Patients and Controls. Soc Sci Med 2016; 156: 55-63. Doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.03.023.
- [11]. Hinzey A., Gaudier-Diaz M.M., Lustberg M.B., DeVries A.C. Breast cancer and social environment: getting by with a little help from our friends. Breast Cancer Research 2016; 18:54 DOI 10.1186/s13058-016-0700-x
- [12] Graells-Sans A, Serral G, Puigpinós-Riera R and Grupo Cohort DAMA. Cancer Epidemiology 2018; 54: 38-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2018.03.007
- [13]. Yang H, Brand JS, Fang F, Chiesa F, Johansson ALV, Hall P, Czene K. Time-dependent risk of depression, anxiety, and stress-related disorders in patients with invasive and in situ breast cancer. Int. J. Cancer 2017; 140: 841-852. Doi: 10.1002/ijc.30514.
- [14]. Szklo M, Nieto J. Epidemiología intermedia. Conceptos y Aplicaciones. Madrid: Ediciones Díaz de Santos, 2003.

- [15]. Puigpinós-Riera R, Continente X, Serral G, Bargalló X, Doménech M, Espinosa-Bravo M, Grau J, Macià F, Manzanera R, Pla M, Quintant MJ, Sala M, Vidal E. Influence of social determinants, lifestyle, emotional well-being and the use of unconventional therapies in breast cancer progression in a cohort of women in Barcelona: study protocol for the Cohort Dama. JMR Res Protoc 2017; 6 (12) e249. http://www.researchprotocols.org2017/12/e249/
- [18]. Domingo-Salvany A, Bacigalupe A, Carrasco JM, Espelt A, Ferrando J, Borrell C del Grupo de Determinantes Sociales de la Sociedad Española de Epidemiología. Propuestas de clase social neoweberiana y neomarxista a partir de la Clasificación Nacional de Ocupaciones 2011. Gac. Sanit. 2013; 27(3): 263-272.
- [17]. Haro JM, Palacín C, Vilagut G, Martínez M, Bernal M, Luque I, Codony M, Dolz M, Alonso J y el Grupo ESEMed-España. Prevalencia de los trastornos mentales y factores asociados: resultados del estudio ESEMed-España. Med Clin (Barc) 2006; 126(12): 445-51.
- [18] Linden W, Vodermaier A, McKenzie R, Greig D. Anxiety and depression after cancer diagnosis: Prevalence rates by type, gender, and age. Journal of Affective Disorders 2012; 141: 343-351.
- [19]. Mitchell AJ, Ferguson DW, Gill J, Paul J, Symonds P. Depression and anxiety in long-term cancer survivors compared with spouses and healthy controls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet 2013 (14): 721-732.
- [20]. De Moor JS, Patridge AH, Winer EP, Ligibel J, Emmons KM. The Role of Socioeconomic Status in Adjustment After Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. Cancer 2010; 116 (5): 1218-1225.
- [21]. Waters EA, Liu Y, Schootman M, Jeffe DB. Worry about cancer progression and low perceived social suport: implications for quality of life among early-stage breast cancer patients. Ann Behav Med 2013; 45(1): 57-68.
- [22]. Fallowfield L, Jenkins V. Psychosocial/survivorship Issues in Breast Cancer: Are We Doing Better? J Natl Cancer Inst 2015; 107 (1); dju335. Doi: 10.1093/jnci/dju335
- [23]. Akechi T, Uchida M, Nakaguchi T, Okuyama T, Sakamoto N, Toya, a T, Yamashita H. Difference of patient's perceived need in breast cancer patients after diagnosis. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2015; 45 (1): 75-80.
- [24]. Alfonsson S, Olsson E, Hursti T, Hoyer-Lundh M. Johansson B. Socio-demographic and clinical variables associated with psychological distress 1 and 3 years after breast cancer diagnosis. Support Care Cancer 2016 (24): 4017-4023.
- [25]. Forsythe LP, Alfano CM, Kent EE, Weaver KE, Bellizzi K, Arora N, Aziz N, Keel G, Rowland JH. Social Support, Self-Efficacy for Decision Making and Follow-up Care Use in Long-term Cancer Survivors. Psychooncology 2014; 23(7): 788-796. Doi:10.1002/pon.3480.
- [26]. Beasley JM, Newcomb PA, Trentham-Dietz A, Hasmpton JM, Cebgallos RM, Titus-Ernstoff L, Egan KM, Holmes M. Social networks and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. J Cancer Surv 2010; 4(4): 372-380. Doi: 10.1007/s11764-010-0139-5.
- [27]. Marroquin B, Czamanski-Cohen J, Weihs KL, Stanton AL. Implicit loneliness, emotion regulation and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors. J Behav Med 2016; 39: 832-844. DOI 10.1007/s10865-016-9751-9.
- [28]. Parés-Badell O, Banqué M, Macià F, Castells X, Sala M. Impact of comordicity on survival by tumour location: Breast, colorectal and lung cancer (2000-2014). Cancer Epidemiology 2017; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2017.05.010
- [29]. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, Maj M, Maselko J, Phillips MR et al. No Health without mental Health. Lancet 2007; 370: 859-877.

Table 1. Description of the different explanatory and dependent variables to study: anxiety and depression.

SOCIAL CLASS High class (I+II) 996	VARIABLE	n= 2235 women	%
High class (I+II)		,	•
Medium III		996	44.6
Lower class (IV-V)	9		
Missing 48 2.1 AGE AGE Less than 50 years old 321 1A-4 Between 50 and 65 years old 1017 45-5 More than 65 years old 896 40-1 COHABITATION With a person Alone 507 22.7 With a person 968 43-3 With person 968 43-3 Missing 9 0-4 EMPLOYMENT STATUS 751 33.6 Active worker 788 35-3 Not working 452 20.2 Disabled 190 8.5 Retired 766 34-3 STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS 177 7-9 Insitu 177 7-9 Initial phase 863 38-6 Locally advanced 889 39.8 Metastatic 24 1.1 Missing 282 12.6 RELAPSE 29 34-0 Yes 21	Lower class (IV-V)	509	-
AGE Less than 50 years old 321 14, 4 Between 50 and 65 years old 1017 45.5 More than 65 years old 896 40.1 COHABITATION			
Between 50 and 65 years old 1017			-
Between 50 and 65 years old 1017	Less than 50 years old	321	14.4
More than 65 years old	- ,		
COHABITATION Sp7 22.7	<u> </u>	·	
With 1 person 968 43:3 With more than 1 person 751 33.6 Missing 9 0.4 EMPLOYMENT STATUS **** **** Active worker 788 35:3 Not working 452 20.2 Disabled 190 8.5 Retired 766 34:3 STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS In situ 177 7.9 Initial phase 863 38.6 Locally advanced 889 39.8 Metastatic 24 1.1 Missing 282 12.6 RELAPSE *** *** Yes 210 9.4 No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS *** 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 </td <td>- /</td> <td></td> <td>,</td>	- /		,
With 1 person 968 43.3 With more than 1 person 751 33.6 Missing 9 0.4 EMPLOYMENT STATUS Active worker 788 35.3 Not working 452 20.2 Disabled 190 8.5 Retired 766 34.3 STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS In situ 177 7.9 Initial phase 863 38.6 Locally advanced 889 39.8 Metastatic 24 1.1 Missing 282 12.6 RELAPSE 210 9.4 Yes 210 9.4 No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 4.2 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 <td>Alone</td> <td>507</td> <td>22.7</td>	Alone	507	22.7
With more than 1 person 751 33.6 Missing 9 0.4 EMPLOYMENT STATUS	With 1 person		· ·
Missing			
Material Property Mate			
Active worker 788			
Not working		788	35.3
Disabled 190 8.5 Retired 766 34.3 STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS			
Retired 766 34-3 STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS In situ 177 7.9 Initial phase 863 38.6 Locally advanced 889 39.8 Metastatic 24 1.1 Missing 282 12.6 RELAPSE 210 9.4 Yes 210 9.4 No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT 1 1 Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK 21.5 Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1			
STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS			
In situ			7 7 7
Initial phase 863 38.6		177	7.9
Locally advanced 889 39.8			
Metastatic 24 1.1 Missing 282 12.6 RELAPSE Yes 210 9.4 No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2 <		•	
Missing 282 12.6 RELAPSE Yes 210 9.4 No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	,		
RELAPSE Yes 210 9.4 No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK			
No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2			-
No 1878 84.0 Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Yes	210	9.4
Missing 147 6.6 TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 19 0.9 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT 346 15.5 Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2		1878	
TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS 5 years or less 842 37.7 Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Missing		
Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2			-
Between 5 and 10 years 949 42.5 More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	5 years or less	842	37.7
More than 10 years 425 19.0 Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2			
Missing 19 0.9 SOCIAL SUPPORT 346 15.5 Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK 3480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2			
Low 346 15.5 Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Missing		
Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	SOCIAL SUPPORT		, ,
Medium-high 1889 84.5 SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY Value 51.4 No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Low	346	15.5
SOCIAL NETWORK Social isolation 480 21.5 Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY VALITY VALITY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Medium-high		
Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	3		,
Different degrees of social connection 1664 74.5 Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Social isolation	480	21.5
Missing 91 4.1 ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Different degrees of social connection		
ANXIETY No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	Missing	91	
No anxiety 1149 51.4 Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2		, ,	
Doubtful anxiety 772 34.5 Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2		1149	51.4
Probable anxiety 314 14.0 DEPRESSION No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	,		
DEPRESSIONNo depression190085.0Doubtful depression2069.2			
No depression 1900 85.0 Doubtful depression 206 9.2	,	, ,	
Doubtful depression 206 9.2		1900	85.0
	•		-
	Probable depression	129	5.8

Table 2: Bivariate analysis of the different independent variables and their significances.

		ANXIETY							DEPRESSION					
	No anxie	ety	Doubtful	(n=772)	Probable	(n=314)		No dep	ression	Doubtfu	ıl (n=206)	Probab	le (n=129)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	р	n	%	n	%	n	%	Р
SOCIAL CLASS														
High class (I+II)	546	54.8	336	33.7	114	11.4	0.003	882	88.6	81	8.1	33	3.3	0.000
Medium III	340	49.1	255	36.8	97	14		586	84.7	68	9.8	38	5.5	
Lower class IV+V	244	47.9	173	33.4	92	18.1		403	79.2	55	10.8	51	10.0	
AGE														
<= 50 years	155	48.3	118	36.8	48	15	0.002	286	89.1	18	5.6	17	5.3	0.134
50-65 years	494	48.6	356	35.0	167	16.4		857	84.3	104	10.2	56	5.5	
>65 years	500	55.8	297	33.1	99	11		756	84.4	84	9.4	56	6.3	
EMPLOYMENT STATUS														
Active worker	408	51.8	277	35.2	103	13.1	0.000	713	90.5	54	6.9	21	2.7	0.000
Not working	224	49.6	150	33.2	78	17.3		368	81.4	49	10.8	35	7.7	
Disabled	72	37.9	74	38.9	44	23.2		133	70.0	29	15.3	28	14.7	
Retired	427	55.7	253	33.0	86	11.2		649	84.7	74	9.7	43	5.6	
COHABITATION							-							
Alone	286	56.4	155	30.6	66	13	0.011	429	84.6	42	8.3	36	7.1	0.156
With 1 person	509	52.6	323	33.4	136	14		809	83.6	101	10.4	58	6.0	
With more than 1 person	350	46.6	768	38.6	111	14.8		654	87.1	62	8.3	35	4.7	
STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIA	GNOSIS	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•
In situ	96	54.2	54	30.5	27	15.3	0.895	151	85.3	11	6.2	15	8.5	0.075
Initial phase	463	53.7	276	32.0	124	14.4		740	85.7	84	9.7	39	4.5	
Locally advanced	450	50.6	305	34.3	134	15.1		731	82.2	94	10.6	64	7.2	
Metastatic	13	54.2	8	33.3	3	12.5		21	87.5	1	4.2	2	8.3	
TIME OVER DIAGNOSES							-							
5 years or less	511	52.3	336	34.4	130	13.3	0.833	836	85.6	85	8.7	56	5.7	0.745
Between 5 and 10 years	449	50.3	314	35.2	129	14.5		760	85.2	80	9.0	52	5.8	
More than 10 years	181	51.7	116	33.1	53	15.1		291	83.1	39	11.1	20	5.7	
RELAPSE	•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Yes	97	46.4	71	34.0	41	19.6	0.000	166	79.4	25	12.0	18	8.6	0.023
No	1002	53.3	618	32.9	259	13.8		1596	84.9	176	9.4	107	5.7	
SOCIAL NETWORK	•	•			•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Social isolation	221	19.6	154	21.8	105	33.9	0.000	356	19.6	65	32.3	59	48.0	0.000
Social connection	908	80.4	551	78.2	205	66.1		1464	80.4	136	67.7	64	52.0	
SOCIAL SUPPORT														
Low Social Support	108	31.2	124	35.8	114	32.9	0.000	214	61.8	69	19.9	63	18.2	0.000
Medium-high Support	1041	55.1	648	34.3	200	10.6		1686	89.3	137	7.3	66	3.5	

Table 3. Anxiety: multivariate logistic regression

	DOUBTFUL ANXIETY* (reference value No anxiety) N*= 772 (34.5%)				PROBABLE ANXIETY (reference value No anxiety) N*=314 (14.6%)					
SOCIAL AND CLINICAL DETERMINANTS	IC at 95%					IC at 95%				
	OR	Inferior	Superior	p value	OR	Inferior	Superior	p value		
AGE										
Less than 50 years old	Reference				Reference					
Between 50 and 65 years	0.85	0.615	1.18	0.34	0.797	0.510	1.244	0.318		
More than 65 years	0.74	0.438	1.127	0.161	0.419	0.233	0.754	0.004		
SOCIAL CLASS										
Lower (IV+V)	1.108	0.841	1.46	0.466	1.76	1.214	2.55	0.003		
Medium III	1.104	0.866	1.408	0.424	1.43	1.013	2.02	0.042		
High (I+II)	Reference				Reference					
COHABITATION										
Alone	0.662	0.510	0.859	0.002	0.68	0.46	0.97	0.03		
With other people	Reference				Reference					
EMPLOYMENT STATUS										
Retired	1.054	0.748	1.486	0.764	1.08	0.67	1.75	0.74		
Not working	1.097	0.797	1.510	0.569	1.39	0.91	2.14	0.12		
Disabled	1.597	1.052	2.425	0.028	1.93	1.18	3.36	0.010		
Active worker	Reference			Reference						
STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS						•				
In situ	Reference				Reference					
Initial phase	1.223	0.828	1.807	0.311	0.97	0.58	1.64	0.93		
Locally advanced	1.347	0.913	1.988	0.134	1.025	0.612	1.72	0.92		
Metastatic	1.272	0.474	3.411	0.633	0.61	0.122	3.06	0.548		
TIME SINCE DIAGNOSIS	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•		
5 years or less	0.951	0.698	1.295	0.748	0.77	0.51	1.18	0.24		
Between 5 and 10 years	0.955	0.710	1.285	0.761	0.78	0.52	1.16	0.22		
More than 10 years	Reference				Reference					
RELAPSE	•		•	•	•		•			
Yes	1.05	0.72	1.52	0.79	1.63	1.02	2.62	0.043		
No	Reference				Reference					
SOCIAL NETWORK	•		•	•	•	•	•			
Social isolation	0.913	0.700	1.191	0.503	1.025	0.72	1.45	0.89		
Different degrees of social connection	Reference			Reference						
SOCIAL SUPPORT	•		•	•	•		•			
Low	2.184	1.595	2.989	0.000	4.79	3.31	6.95	0.000		
Medium-High	Reference				Reference					

^{*} where the total N values differs from the sum of partial records, this is due to missing values

Table 4. Depression: multivariate logistic regression.

		PROBABLE DEPRESSION* (reference value No depression) N*= 129 (5.8%)							
SOCIAL AND CLINICAL DETERMINANTS	IC at 95%					IC at 95%			
	OR	Inferior	Superior	p value	OR	Inferior	Suprior	p value	
AGE					_				
Less than 50 years old	Reference				Reference				
Between 50 and 65 years	1.53	0.86	2.71	0.14	0.79	0.396	1.574	0.50	
More than 65 years	1.14	0.57	2.29	0.71	0.55	0.238	1.296	0.174	
SOCIAL CLASS									
Lower (IV+V)	1.27	0.84	1.91	0.25	2.22	1.29	3.82	0.004	
Medium III	1.03	0.71	1.51	0.87	1.29	0.751	2.249	0.349	
High (I+II)	Reference				Reference				
COHABITATION								•	
Alone	0.62	0.41	0.94	0.027	1.01	0.604	1.688	0.97	
With other people	Reference				Reference				
EMPLOYMENT STATUS	•	•	-	•	•	•	•		
Retired	1.594	0.939	2.714	0.084	2.21	1.01	4.38	0.047	
Not working	1.619	0.997	2.629	0.052	2.38	1.21	4.67	0.012	
Disabled	2.589	1.489	4.502	0.001	4.67	2.27	9.59	0.000	
Active worker in active employment	Reference				Reference				
STAGE AT MOMENT OF DIAGNOSIS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
In situ	Reference				Reference				
Initial phase	1.82	0.91	3.67	0.095	0.623	0.296	1.311	0.212	
Locally advanced	2.00	0.996	4.04	0.051	1.038	0.510	2.113	0.918	
Metastatic	0.78	0.092	6.63	0.822	0.756	0.087	6.604	0.800	
TIME DIAGNOSIS	•	•	· ·			I	•		
5 years or less	1.15	0.73	1.82	0.55	1.20	0.63	2.29	0.58	
Between 5 and 10 years	0.91	0.581	1.41	0.66	1.32	0.72	2.42	0.36	
More than 10 years	Reference				Reference				
RELAPSE			II.	·	1	1			
Yes	1.64	0.996	2.705	0.052	1.12	0.55	2.27	0.75	
No	Reference				Reference	İ			
SOCIAL NETWORK	1	- 1	I	1	1	1		1	
Social isolation	1.296	0.892	1.883	0.174	2.35	1.49	3.69	0.000	
Different degrees of social connection	Reference				Reference				
SOCIAL SUPPORT	1	1	I	1	1	1		ı.	
Low	3.61	2.48	5.24	0.000	5.08	3.18	8.11	0.000	
Medium-High	Reference				Reference				

^{*} where the total N values differs from the sum of partial records, this is due to missing value