In Silico QT and APD Prolongation Assay for Early Screening of Drug-Induced Proarrhythmic Risk

Lucia Romero,†§ Jordi Cano, ‡• Julio Gomis-Tena, ‡ Beatriz Trenor, ‡ Ferran Sanz, ‡ Manuel Pastor, ‡ and Javier Saiz ‡•†

†Centro de Investigación e Innovación en Bioingeniería (CITB), Universitat Politècnica de València, camino de Vera, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain
‡Research Programme on Biomedical Informatics (GRIB), Institut Hospital del Mar d’Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Department of Experimental and Health Sciences, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Carrer del Dr. Aiguader 88, 08002 Barcelona, Spain

ABSTRACT: Drug-induced proarrhythmogenicity is a major concern for regulators and pharmaceutical companies. For novel drug candidates, the standard assessment involves the evaluation of the potassium hERG channel block and the in vivo prolongation of the QT interval. However, this method is known to be too restrictive and to stop the development of potentially valuable therapeutic drugs. The aim of this work is to create an in silico tool for early detection of drug-induced proarrhythmic risk. The system is based on simulations of how different compounds affect the action potential duration (APD) of isolated endocardial, midmyocardial, and epicardial cells as well as the QT prolongation in a virtual tissue. Multiple channel—drug interactions and state-of-the-art human ventricular action potential models (O’Hara, T., et al. PLoS Comput. Biol. 2011, 7, e1002061) were used in our simulations. Specifically, 206.766 cellular and 7072 tissue simulations were performed by blocking the slow and the fast components of the delayed rectifier current (I_{Kr} and I_{Ks}, respectively) and the L-type calcium current (I_{CaL}) at different levels. The performance of our system was validated by classifying the proarrhythmic risk of 84 compounds, 40 of which present torsadogenic properties. On the basis of these results, we propose the use of a new index (Tx) for discriminating torsadogenic compounds, defined as the ratio of the drug concentrations producing 10% prolongation of the cellular endocardial, midmyocardial, and epicardial APDs and the QT interval, over the maximum effective free therapeutic plasma concentration (EFTPC). Our results show that the Tx index outperforms standard methods for early identification of torsadogenic compounds. Indeed, for the analyzed compounds, the Tx tests accuracy was in the range of 87–88% compared with a 73% accuracy of the hERG IC_{50} based test.

1. INTRODUCTION

Preclinical assessment of cardiac adverse effects for drug candidates has become a priority for regulatory agencies and pharmaceutical companies due to the occurrence of potentially life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias following the administration of drugs.1–5 Nowadays, cardiac safety tests of pharmacological compounds are mainly based on the observed in vitro block of the human ether-à-go-go-related gene, hERG, current (encoding the pore-forming α-subunit of the rapid component of delayed rectifier current (I_{Kr}, Kr) channels in humans) produced by the compound, and the in vivo prolongation of the QT interval (ICH S7B nonclinical guidance6 and E14 clinical guidance7). Indeed, many studies have revealed that block of I_{Kr}, promotes the drug-induced long QT syndrome, associated with increased proarrhythmic risk.8 Prolongation of the QT interval has traditionally been linked to the initiation of torsade de pointes (TdP) in the clinical practice. However, there are hERG blockers that do not lead to TdP such as verapamil.5,10

Although current guidelines6,7 have been successful in preventing proarrhythmic effects of drugs, the limitations of these criteria have contributed to stop the development of potentially valuable compounds. A more accurate prediction of unwanted effects of drug candidates at early stages of drug development is key for safety pharmacology.11

In the last years, different modeling techniques have been employed in order to improve the prediction of side effects of compounds. Biophysically detailed mathematical models of cardiac electrical activity12–16 and statistical models17–19 have been used in these studies. Additionally, the work of Lancaster and Sobie20 combines both types of models. A nice comparison of different classification schemes can be found in a recent review of Wisniowska and Polak.21 The use of modeling and simulation tools of the cardiac electrophysiological activity to predict the proarrhythmic risk of drugs is currently being
considered by different international initiatives such as the comprehensive in vitro pro-arrhythmia assay (CiPA). Recent studies suggest that prediction of arrhythmogenicity could be improved by considering the effects of drugs on multiple ion channels, the therapeutic plasma drug concentrations, and the use of biophysically detailed mathematical models of cardiac electrical activity.

In a previous work, we introduced a multiscale simulation system to predict drug-induced cardiotoxicity by considering the effects of drugs in two main transmembrane ionic currents: \( I_{Kr} \) and the slow component of the delayed rectifier current (\( I_{Ks} \)). Here, we create an in silico tool for early detection of drug-induced proarrhythmic risk that provides a new index called \( Tx \), which summarizes in a single parameter the block of different channels in the context of the relevant drug concentration. Action potential duration (APD) and QT prolongations were simulated using the state-of-the-art human ventricular action potential model (O’Hara et al. model) and taking into account the effect of the block of three transmembrane ionic currents: fast and slow components of the potassium delayed current (\( I_{Kr} \) and \( I_{Ks} \)) and L-type calcium current (\( I_{CaL} \)). The simulations have been run over a wide range of concentrations and block values for these currents thus precomputing the cardiac effect that most drug candidates could produce.

The system was used to perform a retrospective cardiac safety study of 84 compounds, 40 of which present torsadogenic properties, according to the information provided by CredibleMeds. Our results reveal that the \( Tx \) index could be a much better predictor of the torsadogenic risk than criteria based solely on the concentration for 50% hERG inhibition (hERG IC50). Therefore, assessment of drug-induced proarrrhythmicity of a compound could be improved by using the \( Tx \) index based on in silico APD and QT prolongation methods. Indeed, knowing the IC50s for \( I_{Kr} \), \( I_{Ks} \), and \( I_{CaL} \) of a compound, our method can be applied to obtain an estimate of the maximum safe plasma free concentration.

Table 1. Characteristics of the 84 Drugs Used in This Study: Name (First Column), Torsadogenic Risk (Second Column and Color-Coded Background of the First Column: Red (Class 1), Bright-Green (Class 3) and Dark-Green (Class 4)), pIC50 (−log IC50) Values for hERG, \( I_{Ks} \), and \( I_{CaL} \) (Third to Fifth column) and the EFTPC (Sixth Column)\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound Name</th>
<th>pIC50</th>
<th>I_{Ks}</th>
<th>I_{CaL}</th>
<th>EFTPC (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apamine</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>63.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ametocloride</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bepridil</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpromazine</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>66.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorzoxine</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>162.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisapride</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disopyramide</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobutamine</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dofetilide</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopteridone</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droperidol</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droperidol</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etorofylline</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>178.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexiletine</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>6228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procainamide</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>35058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinidine</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>2960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotalol</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparfloxacin</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tedisamol</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terfenadine</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terodoline</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioridazine</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>9794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clozapine</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danazol</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapatinib</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klonopin</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paliperidone</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saquinavir</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitibib</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerodine</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aReferences for all the values in this table are given in the Supporting Information (Table S1).
were sampled at 90% repolarization (APD90) in isolated cardiac cells and at the endocardial end of the 1D model. The 1D model was composed by 60 endocardial cells, 45 midmyocardial, and 60 epicardial cells30 (100 μm long each cell), as defined in the ORd model. Models were paced at 1 Hz, as this frequency is widely used in simulations of the human cardiac electrical activity performed to predict the proarrhythmic risk of drugs.12,16,20,28,34 The stimulation train of square transmembrane current pulses of 0.5 ms duration and 1.5-fold the diastolic threshold was delivered at the isolated cellular models. To reach the steady state under drug exposure, the fraction of unblocked channels was introduced in the models, and they were paced for 100 additional pulses (1 Hz). For both cellular and 1D models, the results used in our study correspond to the 100th pulse of the last stimulation period.

2. METHODS

2.1. Cellular and Tissue in Silico Simulations. The action potential (AP) of human ventricular cells was simulated using the human ventricular AP model proposed by O’Hara et al. (ORd). The block of ICaL, IKr, and ICaL provoked by a drug concentration (D) on the ionic current (i) was simulated by including the fraction of unblocked channels (1 − bi) in their formulations and was calculated using the standard sigmoid dose–response curve (eq 1), parametrized using the half-maximal response dose for each current (IC50) and considering a Hill coefficient of 1, as in previous studies:12,13,16,20

\[
\frac{I_i(D)}{I_i} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{D}{IC50_i}} = 1 - b_i
\]

The effects of drugs on ICaL (hERG), IKr (KCNQ1), and ICaL (Cav1.2) currents have been analyzed in previous simulation studies using this simple pore block model.11−13,19,32,33 APDs were sampled at 90% repolarization (APD90) in isolated epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes at 1 Hz, while pseudo-ECGs were computed using a one-dimensional (1D) tissue model of a transmural wedge preparation. The 1D model was composed by 60 endocardial cells, 45 midmyocardial cells, and 60 epicardial cells (100 μm long each cell), as defined in the ORd model. Models were paced at 1 Hz, as this frequency is widely used in simulations of the human cardiac electrical activity performed to predict the proarrhythmic risk of drugs.12,16,20,28,34 The stimulation train of square transmembrane current pulses of 0.5 ms duration and 1.5-fold the diastolic threshold was delivered at the isolated cells and at the endocardial end of the 1D model. The propagation of the AP was described by the following nonlinear reaction diffusion eq (eq 2):

\[
C_m \frac{\partial V_m(x, t)}{\partial t} + \sum I_{ion} + \frac{a}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{R_i(x)} \frac{\partial V_m(x, t)}{\partial x} \right) = 0
\]

where Cm is the membrane capacitance, a stands for the radius of the fiber, \( \sum I_{ion} \) represents the sum of all the ionic currents flowing through the cellular membrane, and Ri is the intracellular resistivity.

Isolated epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial cellular models were paced with 1000 pulses at 1 Hz in order to achieve the steady state in control. The steady state of the 1D model in control conditions was reached by applying a train of 100 pulses at 1 Hz, the initial conditions for each cell type being the steady state variables obtained from the previously stabilized isolated cellular models. To reach the steady state under drug exposure, the fraction of unblocked channels was introduced in the models, and they were paced for 100 additional pulses (1 Hz). For both cellular and 1D models, the results used in our study correspond to the 100th pulse of the last stimulation period.

The QT interval in the pseudo-ECG was computed as the interval between the onset of the QRS complex and the point where the end of the T wave reached the baseline. The pseudo-ECG was measured at 2 cm from the epicardial end. Fifteen cells from both ends of the fiber were excluded from the gradient measurement to eliminate boundary effects.30

2.2. Drug Data Set. The proarrhythmic risk of 84 compounds (see Table 1) was assessed using our in silico APD90 and QT prolongation assays. For these drugs, clinical human torsadogenic risk classification was taken from CredibleMeds, which is on the current AZCERT’s Web site,31 defining the following categories: class 1, compounds with risk of TdP; class 2, compounds with possible risk of TdP; class 3, compounds with conditional risk of TdP; and class 4, drugs that should be avoided by patients with congenital LQTS. We defined the 40 class 1 and 2 compounds as torsadogenic due to their ability to produce TdP on their own, while the 44 class 3 and 4 compounds defined as nontorsadogenic as they require specific interactions to produce TdP. According to Mirams et al.,12 ajmaline was included in class 1. Drugs for which no evidence of TdP was found in CredibleMeds were also included in class 4. IC50 values for ICaL, IKr, and ICaL and EFTPC values were obtained from public databases, like DailyMed, PubChem, and DrugBank, when available. Otherwise, the values were taken from the scientific literature. EFTPC values were used either directly from the source or...
calculated from peak plasma concentrations derived from the administration of the drug alone (see Supporting Information, Table S1). We consistently selected the worst-case scenario by searching for the highest dosage regimen proposed by the labels. IC_{so} values registered in mammalian tissues have been selected when available, avoiding those extracted from Xenopus oocytes. Median values were used when multiple IC_{so} values were presented. Dashed IC_{so} boxes mean that no value was found in public databases either in the scientific literature for these compounds. In those cases, our simulations assume no block of the corresponding channel.

3. RESULTS

3.1. APD and QT. The effect of the 84 selected drugs on the endocardial, midmyocardial, and epicardial APD_{90} was simulated using the pIC_{so} values for hERG, I_{Kr}, and I_{CaL} and the EFTPC for each compound (see all detailed results in the Supporting Information, Table S2). Figure 1 illustrates some examples of the simulated APs of isolated cells (from left to right) under control conditions and in the presence of bepridil ([D] = EFTPC = 33 nM) and nifedipine ([D] = EFTPC = 32.3 nM) (from top to bottom). Vertical lines in each panel correspond to 10% APD_{90} prolongation. Simulated endocardial, midmyocardial, and epicardial control APD_{90}s are 266.4, 330.3, and 223.8 ms, respectively. This figure shows that the APD_{90} is markedly prolonged under exposure to the EFTPC of the torsadogenic compound bepridil (APD_{90}s are 331.1, 398.0, and 278.0 ms, and APD_{90} increments are 24.3%, 20.5%, and 24.2%, respectively). On the contrary, APD_{90} is reduced under exposure to the EFTPC of the nontorsadogenic compound nifedipine (endocardial, midmyocardial, and epicardial APD_{90}s are 236.6, 315.7, and 216.1 ms, and APD_{90} increments are −11.2%, −4.4%, and −3.4%, respectively).

As the QT interval prolongation is used in the assessment of drug proarrhythmic risks, the effects of the 84 compounds on the QT interval was also simulated (see Supporting Information, Table S2). Figure 2 depicts the virtual one-dimensional transmural tissue (top panel) and the simulated pseudo-ECG at 2 cm from the epicardial end, for control, and for bepridil and nifedipine at their respective EFTPC (second to bottom panel). Simulated control QT interval (QT_{CNT}) is 313 ms. Vertical lines in each panel correspond to 10% prolongation of the QT_{CNT}. The QT interval is markedly prolonged under exposure to the EFTPC of bepridil (QT interval = 376 ms and QT interval increment = 20.1%), while it is reduced under exposure to the EFTPC of nifedipine (QT interval = 299 ms and QT interval increment = −4.5%). The effects of these two drugs on the QT interval are in agreement with those obtained in the APD_{90}s of isolated cells (Figure 1).

To facilitate the prediction of the potential proarrhythmic risk of a wide range of drugs without carrying out a time-consuming simulation, the effect on the simulated action potential durations of the three types of myocardial cells and of QT intervals were precomputed for a large number of I_{Kr}, I_{Ks}, and I_{CaL} block values and concentration combinations. The 206,766 simulations of the electrical activity of isolated myocytes were run at a pacing rate of 1 Hz, varying the ratios of drug concentration over the IC_{so} of each channel, which expresses how much larger the concentration used in the simulation is than the concentration known to block 50% of channels. I_{Kr} and I_{Ks} block increases APD_{90}s and the QT interval, while I_{CaL} block decreases them, so the back bottom left zones of the blue surface correspond to APD_{90}s and QT intervals longer than 110% of control values while front top right zones correspond to APD_{90}s and QT intervals shorter than 110% of control values. Those compounds that fall left to the 110% blue surfaces prolong the APD_{90} or the QT interval more than 10%, like disopyramide (red triangle) and bepridil (red cube) at EFTPC. Conversely, those compounds that fall right of the 110% blue surfaces prolong the APD_{90} or QT less than 10%, like nontorsadogenic drugs raltegravir (green circle) and phenytoin (green cube), at EFTPC.
It is possible to observe how the surfaces representing the 110% of control of the endocardial and epicardial APD90 and of the QT interval are quite similar, while the midmyocardial APD90 is more sensitive to $I_{Ks}$ block. Also, within the computed limits, $I_{Kr}$ block exerts the strongest influence on APD90 and QT prolongation among the three channels. Indeed, approximately 9% of $I_{Ks}$ channels ($\log([D]/IC_{50}) = -1$) should be blocked in order to reach the 110% of the endocardial APD90 and the QT interval. However, when $I_{CaL}$ is inhibited, the percentage of blocked $I_{Ks}$ channels needed to prolong the 10% of the APD90 and the QT interval increases. For example, for 50% of $I_{CaL}$ inhibition ($\log([D]/IC_{50}) = 0$), the percentage of $I_{Ks}$ block reaches 24%, with no block of $I_{Ks}$ ($\log([D]/IC_{50}) = -3$).

### 3.3. New Indices for TdP Risk Assessment

Figure 4 represents the relationship between the pIC$_{50}$ hERG values ($-\log IC_{50}$ hERG) and the risk classification for the 84 drugs used in this study: class 1 (red) and class 2 (orange), class 3 (bright-green), and class 4 (dark-green). The vertical line corresponds to pIC$_{50}$ hERG = 6. (B) confusion matrix of the pIC$_{50}$ hERG criterion.

The performance of this test is described by the confusion matrix included in Figure 4B. The performance for the decision pIC$_{50}$ hERG > 6 was: sensitivity (true positive rate) of 55%, specificity (true negative rate) of 89%, and accuracy (true rate) of 73%.

To summarize the block of the different channels in the range of the relevant drug concentration in a single parameter, we define the new index, Tx, as the ratio of drug concentrations leading to a 10% prolongation of the APD90 or QT ($[D_{10}]$) over the maximum effective free therapeutic plasma concent-
was computed as the ratio of \([D_{10}]\) over the maximum reached, denoting the latter concentration as \([D_{10}]\). Finally, \(Tx\) reduced by 0.1 if the APD\(_{90}\) or QT was shorter or longer than the resolution of the QT matrix, if a specific curves were constructed.

Figure 5 shows the ROC curves for torsadogenic risk, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) Table S2). To select the \(Tx\)-APD values that best classify drugs APD\(_{90}\) and QT at maximum e steps were repeated until the 110% of APD\(_{90}\) or QT was evaluated at this new concentration in the matrices. These two end points where sensitivity and specificity are maximal (arrows). This figure illustrates that the ROC curves are very similar, being the area under these curves (AUC) of 0.91, 0.90, and 0.90 for the Tx-APD\(_{endo}\), Tx-APD\(_{mid}\) and Tx-APD\(_{epi}\), respectively, which are indicative of overall concordance. These indicators would therefore provide similar predictions. The optimal cutoff points of the Tx-APD\(_{endo}\), Tx-APD\(_{mid}\) and Tx-APD\(_{epi}\) were 8, 8, and 6.4, respectively. The ROC curves for the Tx-APD\(_{endo}\) were also generated at 2 and 0.5 Hz. As they are very similar to the Tx-APD\(_{endo}\), ROC curve at 1 Hz (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information), we maintained the frequency at 1 Hz.

Figure 6A represents the obtained Tx-APD (see also Supporting Information, Table S2), each row containing compounds belonging to only one CredibleMeds class. Vertical lines were drawn matching the optimal cutoff values whose confusion matrices are summarized in Figure 6B. All these tests led to very similar accuracies (86–87%). However, Tx-APD\(_{endo}\) and Tx-APD\(_{epi}\) led to 85% sensitivity and 89% specificity, while Tx-APD\(_{mid}\) led to 85% sensitivity and 86% specificity.

Similarly, a ROC curve was constructed to study if the index Tx-QT could improve the prediction of torsadogenic risk (Figure 7A). Figure 7B shows the relationship between the Tx-QT and the risk classification for the 84 drugs (see also Supporting Information, Table S2), which resembles the relationships between the three Tx-APDs and the risk classification for the drugs. The ROC curve for the Tx-QT shows an area under the curve of 0.90, which is also very similar to the Tx-APDs (Figure 5). The arrow represents the Tx-QT (9.2) that provided optimal overall prediction of the torsadogenic risk, with 85% sensitivity, 89% specificity, and 87% accuracy (see confusion matrix in Figure 7C).

Figure 8 shows the torsadogenic risk classification of the 84 compounds using three different criteria: \(pCI_{50}\) hERG > 6 (top panel), Tx-APD\(_{endo}\) < 8.0 (middle panel) and Tx-QT < 9.2 (bottom panel). It is worth noting that both Tx indices misclassified the same 11 compounds from the 84 included in the data set, whereas hERG test misclassified 23 compounds. Tx indices misclassified as nontorsadogenic (false negative) three class 1 compounds (compounds with risk of TdP), namely cilostazol, donepezil, and dronedarone, and three class 2 compounds (compounds with possible risk of TdP), namely dasatinib, olopatadine, and saquinavir. Additionally, Tx indices also misclassified as torsadogenic (false positive) three class 3 compounds (compounds with conditional risk of TdP), namely metronidazole, quetiapine, and ranolazine, and two class 4 compounds, namely eltrombopag and lamotrigine.

The predictive power of the Tx indices was assessed by using a leave-one-out cross-validation procedure. We built 84 different data sets (training sets) by removing one drug from...
the total compounds each time. ROC curves for the 84 training sets were used to estimate the optimal cutoff TX of each training sets (not shown). ROC curves for APD of endocardial, midmyocardial, and epicardial cells and for QT interval present

Figure 7. In silico QT interval TX assays. (A) ROC curves for the TX-QT and optimal cutoff points where sensitivity and specificity are maximal for the TX-QT (arrow, 9.2). The area under the curve is also indicated. (B) Relationship between TX-QT and the risk classification for the drugs: class 1 (red), class 2 (orange), class 3 (bright-green), and class 4 (dark-green). (C) Confusion matrix for the TX-QT. The values of the true positive rates (TPR), true negative rates (TNR), and accuracies (A) are also indicated.

Figure 8. Torsadogenic risk classification of the 84 compounds using pIC50 hERG > 6 (top panel), TX-Endo < 8.0 (middle panel), and TX-QT < 9.2 (bottom panel).
very consistent values, with a cutoff Tx of $7.91 \pm 0.32$ (mean $\pm$ SD), $6.33 \pm 0.18$, $6.31 \pm 0.00$, and $9.10 \pm 0.19$, a sensitivity of $0.85 \pm 0.01$, $0.83 \pm 0.01$, $0.85 \pm 0.01$, and $0.85 \pm 0.01$, a specificity of $0.89 \pm 0.01$, $0.89 \pm 0.01$, $0.89 \pm 0.01$, and $0.89 \pm 0.01$, and an area under the curve (AUC) of $0.91$, $0.90$, $0.90$, and $0.90$, respectively. For each training set, the “left-out” drug was classified according to its Tx value. At the end of the procedure, we observed that there was a group of drugs that were misclassified for any of the Tx used in each test. Tx-APDEndo, Tx-APDMid, and Tx-QT, also misclassified clozapine, as it is the frontier compound when selecting the optimal cutoff Tx in every ROC curve. In summary, approximately 14% of the drugs are misclassified when any of the Tx indices are used to predict the torsadogenic risk.

Figure 9 shows a 3D representation of the surface (blue striped) corresponding to optimal cutoff points in ROC curves for Tx-APDEndo (top left), Tx-APDMid (top right), and Tx-APDEpi (bottom left) APD90 and Tx-QT (bottom right) together with Tx calculated for selected torsadogenic drugs, namely disopyramide (red triangle) and bepridil (red cube), and nontorsadogenic drugs, namely raltegravir (green circle) and phenytoin (green cube). Coordinate axes represent the logarithm of the ratio of the drug concentration over the IC50 of each channel ($\log([D]/IC50)$).

Figure 9. 3D representation of the surface (blue striped) corresponding to optimal cutoff points in ROC curves for Tx-APDEndo (top left), Tx-APDMid (top right), and Tx-APDEpi (bottom left) APD90 and Tx-QT (bottom right) together with Tx calculated for selected torsadogenic drugs, namely disopyramide (red triangle) and bepridil (red cube), and nontorsadogenic drugs, namely raltegravir (green circle) and phenytoin (green cube). Coordinate axes represent the logarithm of the ratio of the drug concentration over the IC50 of each channel ($\log([D]/IC50)$).
be repeated for several concentrations until the resulting \( T_x \) is equal to the optimal cutoff value of the model.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Main Findings. In this paper, we describe a new tool for the preclinical assessment torsadogenic risk that provides better predictions that the current state-of-the-art methodology based only on hERG block. The torsadogenic risk assessment is based on a new index, \( T_x \), which integrates the influence of relevant factors and is defined as the ratio of the drug concentration, producing 10% prolongation of the cellular endocardial, midmyocardial, and epicardial APDs or the QT interval over the maximum EFTPC. This tool employs a large number of values of prolongations of the APD_{90} and QT interval caused by the multichannel block of three important ionic membrane currents (\( I_{Kr}, I_{Ks}, \) and \( I_{CaL} \)), which are predicted by computer simulations of the electrophysiological activity of the cardiac cells. By including these multichannel effects and the maximum EFTPC, misclassifications in a group of 84 compounds using the hERG block criterion were drastically reduced (more than 50%), from 23 to 11. In our opinion, adoption of these tools into cardiovascular safety assessment could speed up and reduce the cost of cardiac safety screening in the early stages of drug discovery.

4.2. In Silico APD_{90} and QT Prolongation Assay versus the hERG Block Test. Standard assessment methodologies focused on hERG block exhibit a poor performance. Indeed, when applying the hERG test (positive response: hERG pIC_{50} > 6) to the 84 compounds considered in this work, it exhibited a sensitivity of 55%, a specificity of 89%, and an accuracy of 73%, which is in close agreement with previous studies. In comparison, the in silico Tx tests described in this study presented an accuracy of 86%–87%.

In a previous paper, we showed that the prediction of the TdP risk obtained with the classic hERG block could be improved by simulating the block of \( I_{Kr} \) and \( I_{Ks} \) using a guinea pig AP model. In the same year, Mirams and co-workers simulated the block of \( I_{Kr}, I_{Ks}, \) and \( I_{CaL} \) by 31 drugs with different risks of TdP and observed that simulation of the human ventricular APD at therapeutic concentrations improved the prediction of Redfern and co-workers. Both works were pioneers in using computer models to introduce the multichannel effects of drugs for predicting torsadogenic risks. In a previous work, Mirams et al. also assessed the performance of the prediction of the thorough QT assay in 34 drugs by using several ventricular human models, and they showed that the O’Hara et al. model exhibited the best performance (88% accuracy, 71% sensitivity, and 100% specificity when considering a wider range of concentrations). More recently, Lancaster and Sobie have also evaluated arrhythmia risk using different ventricular human models and have obtained better scores with the O’Hara et al. model (89.5% accuracy, 95.9% sensitivity, and 81.1% specificity). In that work, they combined multichannel block simulations of 68 drugs (with a total of 86 cases, as 18 of these drugs were simulated using two different models, each of them constructed with the data of only one of the two sources of experimental data used in that paper) with statistical analysis and machine-learning. They observed that arrhythmia risk is influenced by both the action potential and the intracellular calcium concentrations waveforms.

The better performance of our in silico tool compared to the hERG test cannot be attributed solely to the consideration of the multichannel block but also to the use of compound EFTPC. Indeed, previous works have shown that torsadogenic risk predictions can be improved by including the estimated free plasma concentration at therapeutic doses of the compound and multichannel block effects. In 2003, Redfern and co-workers observed that a safety factor of 30 of the ratio of the hERG IC_{50} over the maximum effective free therapeutic plasma concentration could provide good torsadogenic risk prediction for 52 drugs (77.4% accuracy, 87.5% sensitivity, and 68% specificity). Later, Gintant proposed the value 45 for the safety margin, defined as the ratio of the hERG IC_{50} over the mean maximal plasma drug concentrations during thorough QT studies. Our work extends their findings with a larger data set and the inclusion of the multichannel effects of the compounds.

All in all, our results show that Tx index is a better predictor than the hERG pIC_{50} test (accuracy \( \approx 88\% \) compared with 73%, respectively) and that the results of predictions obtained with the four Tx tests (\( T_{x,APD_{endo}}, T_{x,APD_{mid}}, T_{x,APD_{epi}}, \) and \( T_{x,QT} \)) are very similar. Moreover, the accuracy of our classification method (87%) is in line with the scores of the predictions of recent studies, such as Mirams et al. 2014 (88%), Lancaster and Sobie 2016 (89.5%), or Kramer et al. (90.9%), but our study considers a larger number of compounds (84 compared to 34, 68, and 55, respectively).

Our simulations have shown that \( I_{Kr} \) exerts a small influence on APD_{90} of isolated cells and QT prolongation under regular conditions. This is in accordance with the small amplitude of the \( I_{Kr} \) on isolated myocytes from human left ventricles and with other simulation results. Moreover, our results show that the role of \( I_{CaL} \) block on APD_{90} of isolated cells and on QT prolongation is relevant although to a lesser extent than \( I_{Kr} \). These facts suggest that the experimental and in silico study of the effects of drugs on \( I_{Kr} \) and \( I_{CaL} \) on myocytes isolated from human ventricles is more relevant than drug effects on \( I_{Kr} \). This result is in agreement with the work of Kramer and collaborators who observed that the TdP risk prediction provided by the comparison of the blocking potencies between \( I_{Kr} \) and \( I_{CaL} \) was drastically better than the prediction obtained by the hERG assay in 55 drugs (90.9% accuracy, 96.9% sensitivity, and 82.6% specificity).

Other works have simulated APD_{90} changes in isolated endocardial cells of different species such as guinea pigs, rabbits, dogs, and humans and QT interval changes in rabbit to predict cardiac safety of torsadogenic drugs. Beattie et al. showed that in silico simulation of the QT prolongation of the rabbit left ventricular wedge assay had a good predictive ability (78% accuracy) of the experimental results of the rabbit left ventricular wedge assay. We selected the most recent human ventricular AP model for our simulations instead of models of other species with the aim of obtaining more accurate predictions of drug proarrhythmia risk in humans. Indeed, comparison between human and other species has revealed a lack of a transient outward current (\( I_{to} \)) in guinea pig, a small slow delayed rectifier current (\( I_{Kr} \)) and TdP predisposition in rabbit, and a large \( I_{Kr} \) in guinea pig. Other studies have shown that human \( I_{Kr} \) differs from that reported in guinea pig, and it better resembles the ventricular canine or rabbit \( I_{Kr} \).

Our work feeds from the progress achieved by the aforementioned works, such as the consideration of the therapeutic concentrations and the multichannel effects, and the use of the most recent human ventricular action potential model and goes a step further by providing a tool for prediction...
of the torsadogenic risk that can be used by the pharmaceutical companies at the early stages of drug development. This tool can be applied when the IC_{50} for I_{Kr}, I_{CaL} and I_{Na} of a new compound are estimated without the need of high performance hardware or cardiac modeling specialized staff, as the simulations have been precomputed, allowing for an estimate of a safe concentration. Moreover, the number of drugs used to depict the ROC curves is significantly higher than the number of compounds used in most previous works.

The new Tx index has significantly reduced the misclassification obtained with hERG test, although some false positive and false negative predictions with hERG tests are also misclassified with our Tx index. There are many drug-related aspects that were not included in our work mainly due to information unavailability, such as trafficking inhibition, the effects on other pathways, the presence of metabolites, and higher levels of the compound in the myocardium than in blood plasma. Although these aspects can also apply to properly classified drugs, they could have favored the misclassification of some drugs. For example, it has been reported that donepezil prolongs QT interval not only by Ik_{r} block but also by Ik_{r} trafficking inhibition. Additionally, QT prolongation by dasatinib is also partially due to its effects on intracellular PI3K signaling. Cilostazol is a phosphodiesterase 3 (PDE3) inhibitor that induces intracellular CAMP elevation, Ca^{2+} dynamic unbalance, and early after depolarizations (EADs), which could favor TdP. Dronedarone is known to transform into N-debutyl-dronedarone, a metabolite that retains up to one-third of the parent’s activity. Because both show important channel blocking effects, not considering dronedarone’s main metabolite might be the cause of its misclassification. As for saquinavir, it could be related to the presence of higher levels in myocardium than in blood plasma, increasing the odds of producing adverse effects in this tissue. Finally, other factors can be related to the misclassification of ofloxacin, quetiapine, ranolazine, lamotrigine, and eltrombopag. Although ofloxacin has been classified as a class 2 compound by CredibleMeds, rare cases of TdP have been reported in patients receiving it and experimental data has shown that ofloxacin does not provoke APD prolongation in rabbit Purkinje fibers, which are cells prone to APD prolongation. In our work, we performed a large number of simulations to take into account the block effect if the drugs on the three main channels (I_{Kr}, I_{CaL} and I_{Na}), but a few drugs in our data set show blocking effects on the Na^{+} channels, namely quetiapine, ranolazine, and lamotrigine. Finally, it is worth noting that eltrombopag showed high variability of its IC_{50} and its classification was uncertain as there is a lack of strong evidence for placing it in any QT risk category.

This study is also in line with current initiatives aiming to define a new paradigm in cardiac safety like comprehensive in vitro proarrhythmia assay (CiPA), where modeling and simulation of the human cellular ventricular electrophysiology will play a relevant role in the assessment of the proarrhythmic risk of drugs.

4.5. Limitations of the Study. One of the values of this work is the use of a large training series because we consider that this allows obtaining of a more realistic estimation of the prediction errors. However, the I_{Kr} and I_{CaL} IC_{50} values of some compounds were not available from the literature, and it was assumed that the block of these channels was negligible, similarly to previous works. In addition, the IC_{50} values were obtained from heterogeneous sources, and it can be assumed that the experimental conditions were diverse. However, we avoided major sources of variability by selecting IC_{50} values registered in mammalian ventricular tissues when available and discarding those extracted from Xenopus oocytes (as described in the Methods section). We considered that all published data represents a distribution of values affected by random error plus variability due to differences in the experimental conditions. From this distribution, we picked the value at the center (the median), as a pragmatic approach to summarize all these values into a single one. Median is a robust estimator of the central tendency of a data sample, less sensitive to the presence of extreme values, and for this reason it was preferred over the average.

Our method does not include drug effects on Na^{+} channels, which is related to the misclassification of quetiapine, ranolazine, and lamotrigine, which can significantly block Na^{+} channels at EFTPc. Future work will include this channel.

In our model, drug–channel interactions are simulated using the simple pore block model, which may be too simplistic. Consideration of the Hill coefficient of the sigmoidal curve fitted to the concentration–current response curves could provide little benefit, as suggested by other authors. Moreover, drug binding kinetics have not been considered in this paper although they have been related to increased susceptibility to acquired long QT syndrome in the presence of hERG channel kinetic abnormalities. Also, our simulations do not consider other aspects of the compounds, such as pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, physiology impact, metabolites, or drug–drug interactions. It would have enriched the results of this study, unfortunately, there is a lack of experimental data for the inclusion of these phenomena for all the compounds considered in this study.

In this work, compounds have been classified using the clinical human torsadogenic risk classification from CredibleMeds, which feeds from clinical data. However, some of the compounds used in this study are classified differently in other sources, such as amiodarone, clarithromycin, clozapine, losartan, diazepam, and ranolazine. Indeed, a large number of compounds with contradicting classification have been listed by Wisniowska and Polak, who raise the need of a standardized classification for drug proarrhythmicity risk.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we introduce a new in silico tool to predict the effect of a drug on the APD and QT prolongation and its proarrhythmic risk using the valuable Tx index. It takes into account both the maximum EFTPc and the multichannel effect of the drugs. Although there is no doubt about the high influence of the hERG block of a drug on the QT prolongation and the likelihood of TdP, our results highlight the need of considering the EFTPc and the blocking effects on the different channels of a drug for improving the prediction of cardiototoxicity, factors that are integrated in the Tx index. This work contributes to comprehensive in vitro proarrhythmia assay (CiPA) initiative by using an in silico human model to obtain a more accurate prediction of human QT and proarrhythmic risk of TdP. Our results show that all these four tests based on Tx provide very similar predictions and that good torsadogenic risk predictions can be obtained based on the endocardial APD prolongation (Tx-APDEndo) of human isolated cells. This test could be used to predict the human QT prolongation caused by a compound in the different phases of...
drug discovery only using a test concentration and the blocking potency of the compound to IC_{50}, I_{EKO}, and IC_{CAL} or even to obtain an estimate of the maximum safe free plasma concentration that prevents excessive QT and APD prolongations.
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