

Capsulodesis versus Bone Trough Technique in Lateral Meniscal Allograft Transplantation: Graft Extrusion and Functional Results

Purpose: To compare the radiographic results (in terms of graft extrusion) and the functional results of lateral meniscus allograft transplantations (MAT) performed with a bony fixation technique or with a soft tissue fixation technique after capsulodesis.

Methods: A prospective series of 29 consecutive lateral MAT was analyzed. The inclusion criterion for MAT was lateral joint line pain due to a previous meniscectomy. Malalignment, patients who had an Ahlback grade greater than II and patients with body mass index over 30, were considered as exclusion criterion to prevent confounding results. Fifteen of the grafts were fixed with a bony fixation technique (group A). The remaining 14 cases (group B) were fixed with sutures through bone tunnels after lateral capsular fixation (capsulodesis). All patients were studied with magnetic resonance imaging to determine the degree of meniscal extrusion at an average of 18 months of surgery (range, 12-48 months). Meniscal extrusion was measured on coronal MRI's. To standardize the results, the percentage of meniscus extruded for each group was also calculated and compared. The functional results were analysed by means of standard knee scores (Lysholm, Tegner and VAS).

Results: If we consider the first 4 cases of group B as the learning curve of the new technique, we observe that Group A had 8 cases (53.3%) of major extrusion while Group B had 1 case (7.1%) ($p=0.02$). When comparing the degree of meniscal extrusion with the type of fixation employed and even lower percentage of extruded menisci was found in group B ($p=0.01$). The final follow-up Lysholm score in group A was 94.33 ± 5.96 ($p<0.001$) and was 91.43 ± 6.19 ($p<0.001$) in group B. The median follow-up Tegner score significantly improved from 4 (range 2-5) to 7 (range 6-9) in Group A ($p<0.001$) and from 4 (range 3-5) to 7 (range 6-8) in Group B ($p<0.001$). The average VAS score dropped down 5.87 and 7.29 points in Groups A and B, respectively ($p<0.001$). The KOOS score improved from 51.98 ± 2.84 to 90.88 ± 7.53 in Group A ($p<0.001$) and from 50.44 ± 2.32 to 92.01 ± 6.71 in Group B ($p<0.001$). Patient satisfaction with regard to the procedure stood at a mean of 3.6 ± 0.2 points out of a maximum of 4 in Group A and 3.8 ± 0.4 in Group B. There were no complications in this series.

Conclusions: The capsulodesis technique in lateral MAT proved not to be statistically different at decreasing the degree of meniscal extrusion with respect to the bone-bridge

fixation. If the first four cases using the new capsulodesis technique had not included in the results, the capsulodesis technique would have effectively presented better results relative to the degree of meniscal extrusion compared to the bone-bridge fixation technique. Additionally, the functional results were similar.

Level of Evidence: Level II. Prospective cohort study.

INTRODUCTION

With the aim of trying to replace lost tissue as well as to prevent progressive deterioration of the joint, meniscal allograft transplantation (MAT) was introduced into clinical practice in the mid-eighties¹. MAT has shown favorable clinical results in terms of pain relief and functional improvement on a short- and medium-term basis². Over the years, several surgical soft-tissue and bone fixation techniques have been described to fix the graft. However, the best treatment option remains unclear as no significant clinical differences have been found between them². A tendency to a radial displacement of the transplanted menisci that exceeded the tibial plateau, the so-called extrusion, was found in most of the published series³. From a biomechanical standpoint, an extruded meniscus results in decreased resistance to hoop strain. Thus, it cannot fulfill its biomechanical properties. Extrusion is an intriguing phenomenon that is usually identified shortly after transplantation and seems to be stable over time⁴. Early studies have shown that bony fixation is biomechanically superior⁵ and so it can better prevent extrusion. Additionally, more recent clinical reports have also shown more extrusion when the allografts are only fixed to soft tissue^{6,7}. However, it has not shown to have any clinical relevance. Although the final significance of extrusion is unknown, the anomalous position of those grafts causes concern among surgeons. Therefore, several strategies have been developed over recent years to limit or prevent MAT extrusion.

The purpose of this study was to compare the radiographic results (in terms of graft extrusion) and the functional results of lateral meniscus allograft transplantations (MAT) performed with a bony fixation technique or with a soft tissue fixation technique after capsulodesis. The first hypothesis was that capsular fixation would reduce the postoperative degree of allograft extrusion as much as the bony fixation technique. The second hypothesis was that MAT fixed with a previous capsulodesis would have similar functional results to those obtained with a bony fixation technique.

METHODS

A prospective, randomized clinical trial was designed based on the CONSORT guidelines. The study protocol was approved by the local Ethical Committee for Clinical Research and informed consent was obtained from all patients. Twenty-nine consecutive patients were operated on with a lateral MAT between 2011 and 2015. The patients were randomly assigned with permuted blocks to the bony fixation group (A) or the capsulodesis group (B). All the surgical procedures were performed by the senior author.

The inclusion criterion for MAT was lateral joint line pain due to a previous meniscectomy (total, subtotal or partial). Although no relationship between malalignment and meniscal extrusion has been established, malalignment was considered an exclusion criterion to prevent confounding results. We consider genu varus and genu valgo above 5 degrees with respect to the normal axis as malalignment.

Patients who had an Ahlback⁸ grade greater than II were also excluded. Moreover, patients with a body mass index over 30 were excluded in this series.

All the functional and radiographic evaluations were performed by two independent observers (orthopaedic surgeons). With regards to the functional evaluation, the observers were blinded to the different types of allograft fixations used.

Surgical technique

Fresh-frozen (-80°C), non-irradiated, non-antigen matched meniscal allografts were used in this series. The allografts were supplied by an authorized local tissue bank.

Allograft sizing was done based on the method described by Pollard et al.⁹ The measurements were also matched with the donor's morphometric dimensions (weight and size).

The surgical technique was completely arthroscopic and varied depending on the group. Both techniques have been previously described in detail^{6,10}. The recipient bed preparation was similar in all cases. The remains of the host meniscus were assessed and revitalized using a combination of arthroscopic shaving and radiofrequency to promote healing.

- Group A. A bone bridge-in-slot technique was used to better maintain the native distance between the horns and reduce the risk of incorrect placement. The bone bridge procedure requires the creation of a trough in the lateral tibial plateau. Differently to the

dovetail technique where the bone trough and tibial slot have both a semi-trapezoidal shape,¹¹ the shape of the bone trough in this series was quadrangular in all cases. To get

a perfect match between the trough and the bone-bridge graft, a set of instruments was used (Meniscal Transplant Set. Surgival, Valencia, Spain). A low lateral portal, adjacent to the patellar tendon and aligned with the position of the planned trough is created. Depending on the knee size, a 7 or 8mm cannulated drill and rasp was used until a final 7 to 8mm wide and 10mm deep box was obtained. The bone graft was placed in its bed simply by sliding it thorough the previously enlarged anterolateral portal. A traction suture placed on the meniscal graft, just anterior to the level of the popliteal hiatus area, helps the surgeon to accommodate the graft in place. The meniscus allograft is then fixed to the rim by 8 to 10 non-absorbable vertical mattress sutures. All-inside sutures (FasT-Fix, Smith & Nephew, Andover, Massachusetts) are used to secure the most posterior part of the graft in order to minimize the risk of injury to neurovascular structures. An Inside-out technique (Zone Specific® II Meniscal Repair System. Conmed, Largo, FL) or alternatively an outside-in technique, performed with the help of 18 gauge spinal needles, were used for the anterior half of the meniscal graft.

- Group B. The redundant or loose lateral capsule was identified and any marginal osteophyte on the lateral edge of the tibial plateau was removed with the help of a motorized burr. Two 2.4mm tunnels placed 10mm apart were then drilled from the anteromedial tibial cortex in an oblique direction ending at the edge of the lateral plateau where the capsule is most displaced (Fig 1). It was done with the help of a regular tibial ACL guide (Pinn-ACL Guide. ConMed, Largo, Florida). Two sutures were then passed through the tunnels using a suture passer. The capsule and meniscal remnants were captured using spinal needles loaded with number 2 PDS sutures using an outside-in technique. These shuttle sutures were substituted by high strength sutures and recovered through each tibial tunnel. Once captured, the capsule is secured to the lateral tibial plateau (capsulodesis). Finally, the two limbs were tied to each other on the medial tibial cortex (Fig 2). Once the capsulodesis has been done, two 6mm bone tunnels were drilled directly at the anatomic sites of the meniscal insertion (i.e. at the anterior and posterior horns). After enlarging the anterolateral portal, the posterior-horn suture as well as an additional vertical suture placed at the posterolateral corner of the graft were used to pull and accommodate the meniscal allograft in the right position as it was being introduced into the joint. Then, the graft was fixed to the rim by combining an all-inside and an inside-out or outside-in technique, respectively, depending on the

area of the meniscus as described earlier on. At the end of the procedure, the sutures placed at the anterior and posterior horns were tied together over the tibial cortex.

Rehabilitation

Both groups followed the same protocol. It included proprioceptive weight-bearing with a knee immobilizer at full extension for 2 weeks postoperatively. Then, weight-bearing was progressively initiated until total weight-bearing was reached at 4-6 weeks from the surgery. Range of motion was limited to 60 degrees the first 2 weeks, to 90 degrees by the week four, progressing to full range of motion by the week six, postoperatively. Patients returned to a normal workload by the fourth month after surgery.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging Evaluation

To examine the degree of meniscal extrusion, all 29 patients underwent an MRI examination on the operated knee joint with full knee extension in the supine position before surgery and between 36 and 48 months of follow-up. All studies were performed with a 1.5-T superconducting magnet (Prestige 2T, Elscint, Haifa, Israel) using a knee-specific circular coil. A positioning device for the ankle was used to ensure uniformity. The standard knee protocol for each patient consisted of this sequence: axial fast spin echo T2-weighted with fat saturation (repetition time [TR], 2300 msec; echo time [TE], 30 msec; flip angle [FA], 90°; slice thickness [ST], 3 mm; field of view [FOV], 20 cm), coronal fast spin echo intermediate-weighted (TR, 2500 msec; TE, 30 msec; FA, 90°; ST, 4 mm; FOV, 18 cm), sagittal spin echo intermediate-weighted (TR, 700 msec; TE, 14 msec; FA, 90°; ST, 4 mm; FOV, 18 cm), and sagittal fast spin echo T2-weighted with fat saturation (TR, 2500 msec; TE, 85 msec; FA, 90°; ST, 4 mm; FOV, 18 cm).

The MRI scans were evaluated twice, at an interval of 2 weeks, and carried out by 2 of the authors with experience in knee MRI. The averages of these two measurements were employed in the analysis. The PACS workstation (Centricity Enterprise Web V3.0, General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) was used for the study.

As in previous investigations¹² the graft position were evaluated on coronal images, where extrusion was maximum, with the use of an MRI-generated scale on each image. Those coronal images usually coincided with the level of the corresponding collateral ligaments.¹³ Measurement was performed by first drawing 2 lines. The first one was a vertical line that intersected the peripheral margin of the lateral tibial plateau at the

point of transition from horizontal to vertical. Next, a perpendicular line was drawn from the outer margin of the meniscus to the former line. The perpendicular line's length in millimeters was defined as the amount of meniscal extrusion^{12,14}. According to Costa *et al.*¹⁴, when the graft showed less than 3mm of radial displacement it was considered minor extrusion. Conversely, when it exhibits 3 or more millimeters of subluxation, it was defined as major extrusion. Extrusion as a percentage of meniscus size was also calculated to standardize the results (Fig. 3). This was done by dividing the quantity of meniscal extrusion by the total width of the meniscus as measured in the same MRI scan.¹² Major and minor extrusion as well as the observed percentage of extruded meniscal tissue was compared in groups A and B.

Functional evaluation at final follow-up included the Lysholm score as well as Tegner and KOOS score. A ten-point Visual Analogical Scale (VAS) for pain was also used. The reported results were compared between groups. Patient satisfaction was evaluated with a subjective score and graded as very satisfied (4 points), satisfied (3 points), neutral (2 points), somewhat dissatisfied (1 point) and not satisfied at all (0 points).

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables are presented as percentages and frequencies. Continuous variables are presented as mean +/- standard deviation. Interobserver agreement was analyzed using the intraclass correlation coefficient in the case of a quantitative variable¹⁵. The values were interpreted as slight (<0.21), fair (0.21-0.40), moderate (0.41-0.60), substantial (0.61-0.80) and excellent (0.81-1.00)¹⁶. In all cases, a 95% confidence interval was calculated. The relationships between categorical variables were described with contingency tables. The inference was studied with the χ^2 test or Fisher exact test depending on what corresponded. Meniscal extrusion rates and functional scores were compared between the 2 groups using the Student's t-Test given that all the variables followed normal distributions according to the skewness and kurtosis test. The sample size was based on priori power calculations for extrusion.

Based on a Student's t-test for independent data with a statistical power of 80% and an alpha error of 0.05, we calculated the patients needed in the groups to detect a minimum difference of 10% in the degree of meniscal extrusion as statistically significant, assuming a standard deviation of 3 and a maximum follow-up loss of 10%. Again, the homogeneous results obtained and the use of Fisher's Exact Test for comparing 2

203 samples leads us to think that it was big enough for the purposes of this investigation.

204 The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 19 package (SPSS Inc, Chicago,
205 Illinois). Statistical significance was set at .05.

206

RESULTS

The average follow-up period was 2.1 years (range, 1-4) and 1.5 years for the postoperative MRI (range, 1-4). No patients were lost during follow-up. The series was composed of 19 men (65.5%) and 10 women (34.5%) with a mean age of 38.2 years (range, 26 to 51 years). All transplantations (100%) were performed to replace the lateral meniscus. Fourteen (48.3%) MAT were performed on right knees and 15 (51.7%) on left knees. Group A, consisted of 15 grafts (51.7%) that were fixed with a bony fixation technique, the bone bar-trough technique. Group B were composed of 14 grafts (48.3%) that were secured with bone tunnels at both horns and soft tissue capsular fixation after the capsulodesis was done. Both groups were comparable in terms of age, gender and radiographic findings as well as their functional preoperative status (Table 1), except for the preoperative Lysholm scale.

Graft extrusion

Based on the aforementioned extrusion criteria, 17 knees (58.62%) exhibited minor graft extrusion ($<3\text{mm}$) and 12 (41.38%) had major graft extrusion ($>3\text{mm}$). In group A, 7 cases (46.67%) were considered minor extrusion and 8 cases (53.33%) major extrusion while there were 10 (71.43%) and 4 cases (28.57%), respectively ($p=0.18$) in group B (Table 2). When comparing the degree of meniscal extrusion with the type of fixation employed, a tendency toward a lower percentage of extrusion was also found in group B. In Group A, this percentage was 34.40 ± 12.16 , while 24.65 ± 15.49 observed in Group B ($p=0.07$) (Table 3).

The worst rate of graft extrusion in group B was seen in the first 4 cases. If these 4 cases were ignored, the obtained results would be even better as only 1 case of major extrusion would be found in group B ($p=0.027$) (Table 4). When comparing the degree of meniscal extrusion with the type of fixation employed, an even lower percentage of extruded menisci was found in group B ($p=0.01$) (Table 5) (Fig. 4).

Clinical outcomes

With regard to the functional results assessed with the Lysholm score, an overall improvement was obtained regardless of the technique used. Starting from a Lysholm score of 61.33 ± 9.93 and 48.79 ± 13.90 in group A and B respectively, the final follow-up score in group A was 94.33 ± 5.96 ($p<0.001$) and was 91.43 ± 6.19 ($p<0.001$) in group B (Fig 5a). Similarly, an overall improvement was obtained with regards to the

Tegner and VAS scores. The average Tegner score significantly improved from 4 (range, 2-5) to 7 (range, 6-9) in Group A ($p<0.001$) and from 4 (range, 3-5) to 7 (range, 6-8) in Group B ($p<0.001$) (Fig 5b). The average VAS score dropped from 7.53 ± 2.53 to 0.67 ± 1.11 in group A ($p<0.001$) and from 8.21 ± 0.97 to 0.93 ± 1.00 in group B ($p<0.001$), so decreased 5.87 and 7.29 points average in groups A and B, respectively (Fig 5c). The KOOS score improved from 51.98 ± 2.84 to 90.88 ± 7.53 in Group A ($p<0.001$) and from 50.44 ± 2.32 to 92.01 ± 6.71 in Group B ($p<0.001$) (Fig 5d). Finally, patient satisfaction with regard to the procedure showed an overall mean of 3.6 ± 0.2 points out of a maximum of 4 in Group A and 3.8 ± 0.4 in Group B. No differences were observed when the two groups under study were compared in any of the analyzed variables (Table 6). Finally, the intraclass correlation coefficient obtained was considered excellent (0.94; 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.97). No complications were recorded in this series.

254

DISCUSSION

The principal finding of the present investigation was that lateral MAT fixed with sutures through bone tunnels after a capsulodesis showed a lower percentage of extrusion than those performed with the bony fixation technique. These results could even be better (statistically significant) if the effect of the learning curve was avoided. It is likely that it may be due to the learning curve of the new technique. Although the described technique was first performed in a pilot study with cadaveric specimens and 5 patients that were not included in this series, a potentially long learning curve effect cannot be discarded. Therefore, the first hypothesis was confirmed. With regards to the functional results, they were similar in both groups. That means that both fixation techniques would give good short-term outcomes as has been shown in previously published literature. Therefore, the second hypothesis was also confirmed. Although there is a statistical difference in the outcome scores, there does not appear to be a clinical difference in the form of MCID¹⁷

Meniscal transplants have been shown to extrude more than normal menisci^{18,19}. Although the final significance of extrusion is unknown, the anomalous position of those grafts causes concern among surgeons. To avoid extrusion, a number of strategies have recently been proposed. In the current investigation, a simple, implant free soft tissue fixation performed after a capsulodesis is compared with a fixation technique considered to be the most effective to control graft radial displacement⁶.

Several factors have been related to meniscal allograft extrusion. They are the graft fixation method, medial versus lateral, the graft size, and the donor and recipient matching being among the most prominent. Graft fixation is crucial to preventing short and mid-term complications due to failure and altered knee kinematics. While peripheral fixation is achieved with sutures in all of the available techniques, the fixation of meniscal horns may be achieved either by sutures through the bone or with a bone-to-bone fixation. Some studies have demonstrated that fixation with bone plugs is better compared to graft fixation without bone plugs in terms of the restoration of the normal contact mechanics of the knee^{5,20} and complications, including graft failure²¹. However, more recent investigations have shown no biomechanical differences in the mean pull-out strength between the two fixation methods²². Furthermore, MAT without

bone fixation has shown good and excellent results in terms of pain relief and clinical and functional outcomes^{23,24,18}, including the return to sport in top level athletes^{25, 26}.

Meniscal extrusion was not universally investigated in MAT. However, most of the works that look for this phenomenon found a high degree of graft subluxation⁴. Suture-only fixation has shown a higher degree of meniscal extrusion when compared to bone fixation. However, this was not related to worse functional or radiographic outcomes as shown in several studies comparing both methods^{6,21}.

As far as we know, no study has proven extrusion has either a deleterious effect on the joint or brings about inferior clinical outcomes after MAT. However, a radially displaced meniscus is a concern for the surgeon. Therefore, we aim to reduce or eventually avoid extrusion.

Different strategies have been proposed to decrease the degree of meniscal allograft extrusion. Jang et al.¹⁹ reported that reducing the graft size by 5% decreases the percentage of extrusion without any adverse outcome either clinically or radiographically. Other studies have demonstrated that the risk of graft extrusion increases as the axial plane trough angle increases. This angle can be reduced by ensuring that the bony trough starting point is not created in an excessively lateral position. Jeon et al.²⁷ suggested that the excision of a peripheral osteophyte larger than 2mm in the proximal tibial plateau was associated with less MAT extrusion. It is also known that there are no associations between preoperative lateral subluxation of the native menisci and postoperative subluxation of meniscal transplants in patients who undergo MAT on the lateral compartment with low-grade arthritic changes²⁸. Some authors have proposed stabilizing the meniscus body to the tibial plateau in an attempt to control graft extrusion. However, this maneuver may present the risk of limiting the normal mobility of the meniscus during knee motion. A recent investigation has focused on peripheral fixation, as an adequate meniscal rim is important to promoting healing and the incorporation of the graft²⁹. In that sense, the reported technique explored the role that capsule fixation to the tibial plateau might play. A technical note where the capsule was fixed to the tibial plateau³⁰. However, in that technique, fixation was achieved with the help of a metal anchor. Additionally, no outcomes were reported.

While the menisci root attachments have received considerable attention in recent years³¹, their peripheral attachments are much less understood, particularly in the lateral side. It's likely that lateral capsulodesis mimics the function of the menisco-tibial ligament by fixing the meniscus in the articular surface³² (Fig. 6). Thereby radial displacement is limited. We are currently investigating this hypothesis (unpublished data).

Although different methods of capsular stabilization can be found in the literature, the current implant free technique for lateral capsulodesis seems to be a valid, reliable and an easy method to prevent MAT extrusion. It allows for versatility in terms of the number of fixation points and locations without a significant bone loss in the tibial plateau as the drill holes had only a 2.4mm diameter. It does not interfere with subsequent MRI imaging and there is no additional costs (Fig. 7). In this early series using this technique, the lateral capsulodesis contributed to preventing graft extrusion and the results persist at the one-year follow-up with favorable clinical outcomes. This series of capsulodesis showed one of the lowest percentages of meniscal extrusion in MAT ever reported. Longer follow-up studies are needed to confirm these results and to assess the impact that a lower degree of meniscal extrusion may have on the future of the knee involved.

Limitations

The present investigation has some limitations. Although the same techniques, which were performed by a single surgeon, have always been used in both groups, there was unavoidable learning curve in the capsulodesis group. However, if the first four cases of that group are not considered, the results obtained would have been even clearer. The limited sample size is another obvious limitation along with not having studied the postoperative X-rays. If we consider that the differences found may not become clinically significant in the short term, there is the possibility of a type II or beta error for outcomes since no difference was seen and the study was not powered to such. Another limitation is that the inclusion/exclusion criteria are not strict and we do not discuss concomitant procedures. In addition, of all the preoperative variables described in Table 1, including the different functional scores, only the Lysholm score showed significant differences between the two groups. For this reason, we still consider both groups homogeneous. Further, our clinical follow-up was only a minimum one year and

356 | there is a lack of intraobserver evaluation. Finally, only two methods of limiting
357 extrusion were compared. So, it is unknown how efficient other methods might be.

361 **CONCLUSIONS**

362 The capsulodesis technique in lateral MAT proved not to be statistically different at
363 decreasing the degree of meniscal extrusion with respect to the bone-bridge fixation. If
364 the first four cases using the new capsulodesis technique had not been included in the
365 results, the capsulodesis technique would have effectively presented better results
366 relative to the degree of meniscal extrusion compared to the bone-bridge fixation
367 technique. Additionally, the functional results were similar.

REFERENCES

1. Milachowski KA, Weismeier K, Wirth CJ. Homologous meniscus transplantation: experimental and clinical results. *IntOrthop* 1989;13:1-11.
2. Rosso F, Bisicchia S, Bonasia DE, Amendola A. Meniscal Allograft Transplantation: A Systematic Review. *Am J Sports Med* 2015;43:998-1007.
3. Samitier G, Alentorn-Geli E, Taylor DC, Rill B, Lock T, Moutzouros V, Kolowich P. Meniscal allograft transplantation. Part 1: systematic review of graft biology, graft shrinkage, graft extrusion, graft sizing, and graft fixation. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2015;23:310-322.
4. Lee DH, Kim TH, Lee SH, Kim CW, Kim JM, Bin S. Evaluation of meniscus allograft transplantation with serial magnetic resonance imaging during the first postoperative year: focus on graft extrusion. *Arthroscopy* 2008;24:1115-1121.
5. Alhalki MM, Howell SM, Hull ML. How three methods for fixing a medial meniscal autograft affect tibial contact mechanics. *Am J Sports Med* 1999;27:320-328.
6. Abat F, Gelber PE, Erquicia JI, Pelfort X, Gonzalez-Lucena G, Monllau JC. Suture-only fixation technique leads to a higher degree of extrusion than bony fixation in meniscal allograft transplantation. *Am J Sports Med* 2012; 40:1591-1596.
7. De Coninck T, Huysse W, Verdonk R, Verstraete K, Verdonk P. Open versus arthroscopic meniscus allograft transplantation: magnetic resonance imaging study of meniscal radial displacement. *Arthroscopy* 2013; 29:514-521.
8. Ahlbäck S, Rydberg J. X-ray classification and examination techniques in gonarthrosis. *Lakartidningen* 1980;77:2091-2093.
9. Pollard ME, Kang Q, Berg EE. Radiographic sizing for meniscal transplantation. *Arthroscopy* 1995;11:684-687.
10. Monllau JC, Ibañez M, Masferrer-Pino A, Gelber PE, Erquicia JI, Pelfort X. Lateral Capsular Fixation: An Implant-Free Technique to Prevent Meniscal Allograft. *Arthrosc Tech.* 2017;6:e269-e274.
11. Lee AS, Kang RW, Kroin E, Verma NN, Cole BJ. Allograft meniscus transplantation. *Sports Med Arthrosc* 2012;20:106-114.
12. Puig L, Monllau JC, Corrales M, Pelfort X, Melendo E, Caceres E. Factors affecting meniscal extrusion: correlation with MRI, clinical, and arthroscopic findings. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2006;14:394-398.
13. Sharma L, Eckstein F, Song J, et al. Relationship of meniscal damage, meniscal extrusion, malalignment, and joint laxity to subsequent cartilage loss in osteoarthritic

406 knees. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:1716-1726.

407 14. Costa CR, Morrison WB, Carrino JA. Medial meniscus extrusion on knee MRI: is
 408 extent associated with severity of degeneration or type of tear? *Am J Roentgenol*
 409 2004;183:17-23.

410 15. Hale CA, Fleiss JL. Interval estimation under two study designs for kappa with
 411 binary classification. *Biometrics* 1993;49:523-534.

412 16. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.
 413 *Biometrics* 1977;33:159-174.

414 17. Harris JD, Brand JC, Cote MP, Faucett SC, Dhawan A. Research Pearls: The
 415 Significance of Statistics and Perils of Pooling. Part 1: Clinical Versus Statistical
 416 Significance. *Arthroscopy* 2017;33:1102-1112.

417 18. Verdonk PC, Demurie A, Almqvist KF, Veys EM, Verbruggen G, Verdonk R
 418 Transplantation of viable meniscal allograft. Survivorship analysis and clinical outcome
 419 of one hundred cases. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005; 87:715-724.

420 19. Jang SH, Kim JG, Ha JG, Shim JC. Reducing the size of the meniscal allograft
 421 decreases the percentage of extrusion after meniscal allograft transplantation.
 422 *Arthroscopy* 2011;27:914-22.

423 20. Paletta GA, Manning T, Snell E, Parker R, Bergfeld J. The effect of allograft
 424 meniscal replacement on intraarticular contact area and pressures in the human knee.
 425 *Am J Sports Med* 1997;25:692 .

426 21. Abat F, Gelber PE, Erquicia JI, Tey M, Gonzalez-Lucena G, Monllau JC.
 427 Prospective comparative study between two different fixation techniques in meniscal
 428 allograft transplantation. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2013;21:1516-1522.

429 22. Hunt S, Kaplan K, Ishak C, Kummer FJ, Meislin R. Bone plug versus suture
 430 fixation of the posterior horn in medial meniscal allograft transplantation: a
 431 biomechanical study. *Bull NYU Hosp Joint Dis* 2008;66:22-26.

432 23. Alentorn-Geli E, Seijas R, García M et al. Arthroscopic meniscal allograft
 433 transplantation without bone plugs. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2011;19:174-
 434 182 .

435 24. González-Lucena G, Gelber PE, Pelfort X, Tey M, Monllau JC. Meniscal allograft
 436 transplantation without bone blocks: a 5- to 8-year follow-up of 33 patients.
 437 *Arthroscopy* 2010; 26:1633-1640.

438 25. Alentorn-Geli E, Vazquez RS, Diaz PA, Cuscó X, Cugat R. Arthroscopic meniscal
 439 transplants in Soccer Players: outcomes at 2- to 5-year follow-up. *Clin J Sport Med*

- 2010;20:340-343.
26. Chalmers PN, Karas V, Sherman SL, Cole BJ. Return to high-level sports after meniscal allograft transplantation. *Arthroscopy* 2013;29:539-544.
27. Jeon B, Kim JM, Kim JM, Lee CR, Kim KA, Bin SI. An osteophyte in the tibial plateau is a risk factor for allograft extrusion after meniscus allograft transplantation. *Am J Sports Med* 2015;43:1215-1221.
28. Lee BS, Bin SI, Kim JM, Kim JH, Lim EJ. Meniscal allograft subluxations are not associated with preoperative native meniscal subluxations. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017;25:200-206.
29. Matava MJ. Meniscal allograft transplantation: a systematic review. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2007;455:142-157.
30. Jung Y, Choi N, Victoroff BN. Arthroscopic stabilization of the lateral capsule of the knee in meniscal transplantation. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2011;19:189-191.
31. LaPrade CM, Ellman MB, Rasmussen MT, et al. Anatomy of the anterior root attachments of the medial and lateral menisci: a quantitative analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 2014;42:2386-2392.
32. Bezerra FS, Alves JN, Silva MAS, et al. Quantitative and descriptive analysis of the meniscotibial ligament in human corpses. *Braz J Morphol Sci* 2007;24:211-213.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: Right knee, antero-medial view. Lateral capsulodesis tunnels placement using the ACL Pin Guide through the antero-medial (AM) portal.

Fig. 2: Capsular fixation suture passed through each trans-tibial tunnel and tied to each other on the medial cortex fixing the capsule to the tibial plateau

Fig. 3: Magnetic resonance image showing the method used for meniscal extrusion calculation. Distance ab , meniscal extrusion in mm; $ab/ac \times 100$, percentage of meniscal extrusion.

Fig. 4: A lower meniscal extrusion percentage was observed in Group B.

Fig. 5: Overall improvement of functional scores in Group B: a) Lysholm, b) Tegner, c) VAS, d) KOOS

Fig. 6: Gross anatomy of the lateral meniscotibial ligament during preparation of lateral meniscus allograft. The meniscus has been detached from the roots and flipped. Note its strong attachments at both the meniscus and the tibial site.

Fig. 7: MRI at 6 months postoperatively showed the position of the mid-body of lateral meniscus allograft and the lateral capsulodesis tibial tunnel placement.

TABLES

TABLE 1

Composition of Both Groups Before Surgery^a

Variable	Group A	Group B	Significance (P)
Age, y	35.47 ± 8.50 [30.76, 40.17]	40.93 ± 7.03 [36.87, 44.99]	0.86
Gender, male/female, %	60/40	71.5/28.5	0.52
Lysholm	61.33 ± 9.93 [55.83, 66.83]	48.79 ± 13.90 [40.76, 56.81]	0.05
Tegner	4 (2-5) [2.96, 4.64]	4 (3-5) [2.95, 4.48]	0.87
Visual analog scale	7.53 ± 2.53 [5.13, 7.94]	8.21 ± 0.97 [7.65, 8.78]	0.51
Rx joint space narrowing, mm	3.10 ± 1.50 [2.74, 3.64]	3.00 ± 1.20 [2.83, 4.01]	0.44

^aValues expressed as mean, standard deviation and 95% CI unless otherwise indicated.

TABLE 2

Frequency of graft extrusion (all cases)

	Minor	Major
Bony fixation	7 (46.67%)	8 (53.33%)
Capsulodesis	10 (71.43%)	4 (28.57%)
Total	17 (58.62%)	12 (41.38%)

p=0.18

520 TABLE 3

521 Frequency of graft extrusion (excluding the 4 cases of learning curve)

522

	Minor	Major
Bony fixation	7 (46.67%)	8 (53.33%)
Capsulodesis	9 (90%)	1 (10.00%)
Total	16 (64.00%)	9 (36.00%)
P = 0.027		

TABLE 4

Graft Extrusion Percentage (all cases)

Group	Observations	Mean	S.D.
Bony Fixation	15	34.40	12.16
Capsulodesis	14	24.65	15.49
p-value	0.07		

TABLE 5

Graft Extrusion Percentage (excluding the 4 cases of learning curve)

Group	Observations	Mean	S.D.
Bony Fixation	15	34.40	12.16
Capsulodesis	10	19.15	12.41
p-value	0.01		

523

524

TABLE 6

Functional results of Both Groups After Surgery^a

Variable	Group A	Group B	Significance (P)
Lysholm	94.33 ± 5.96 [89.83, 98.51]	91.43 ± 6.19 [86.34, 97.22]	0.05
Tegner	7 (6-9) [5.97, 9.01]	7 (6-8) [5.88, 8.51]	0.87
Visual analog scale	0.67 ± 1.11 [0.43, 1.21]	0.93 ± 1.00 [0.52, 1.13]	0.51
KOOS	90.88 ± 7.53 [88.64, 92.01]	92.01 ± 6.71 [90.83, 96.77]	0.44

^aValues expressed as mean, standard deviation and 95% CI unless otherwise indicated.