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Abstract

The Spanish translations of some of Guimerà’s works and their determined dissemination by María Guerrero’s company in Spain and South America served as the point of departure for translations and performances in other languages and for the opera and film adaptations made in Europe and America over the course of the past century. In the first decade of the 21st century, Terra baixa (Lowlands) still remains the top referent in the dissemination and the international presence of Àngel Guimerà’s theatre.
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Introduction

Àngel Guimerà started his career as a writer within the romantic tradition who cultivated both narrative poems with a civil and political bent and the exaltation of a distant past with patriotic leanings as the more lyrical and evocative facet of his oeuvre, focusing on childhood, youthful sentiments and the mother’s death. The expressively artistic and dramatic nature of his lyric production was one of the reasons for his immediate and almost exclusive relationship with the theatre until the end of his life. Within the context of the gradual development of certain pioneering propositions in contemporary theatre, Guimerà dedicated his early output to romantic drama written in verse, with titles like Gala Placídia (Galla Placidia, 1879), Mar i cel (Heaven and Sea, 1885) and Rei i monjo (King and Priest, 1888). From the very start, with due acknowledgement of Shakespeare, Victor Hugo and particularly Schiller, this playwright was capable of offering his own unquestionably unique theatre based on the internal struggles of characters who obsessively and even pathologically handle the discovery of their hidden identity with denial. In other words, they face up to an intimate reality which violently and dramatically clashes with a social reality laden with tensions, which sometimes leads the main characters in his plays to a process of self-destruction.

Guimerà’s literary journey throughout the 1890s was primarily characterised by the need and desire to write drama in prose with a realistic feel, mainly based on contemporary reality and with an identifiable social background. He used this personal effort to frame and mask an entire series of reflections on the complexity of relationships, feelings and human passions which were anything but idealised. In fact, this is the most personal stage in the creation and consolidation of Guimerà’s texts, those that revolve fundamentally around amorous desire and possession, such as En Pólvora (1893), Maria Rosa (1894), Terra baixa (Lowlands, 1896), La festa del blat (The Wheat Festival, 1896) and La filla del mar (The Daughter of the Sea, 1900).

Working in the midst of Modernism, its development also dovetailed with the gradual dissemination of Guimerà’s plays outside of Catalonia, beginning with Madrid, Buenos Aires and other cities in South America thanks to their propagation by the theatre company of María Guerrero and Fernando Díaz de Mendoza and continuing with the European reception of the corresponding theatre and opera versions of Terra baixa.

In the early years of the new century, driven by the desire not to fossilise in the literary sense and pressured by María Guerrero, who was encouraging him to expand his horizons, the playwright temporarily chose a realistic, bourgeois and cosmopolitan model of theatre whose referents might have been the oeuvre of Giuseppe Giacosa and Marco Praga, with titles like Arran de terra (At Ground Level, 1902), La pecadora (The Sinner, 1902) and La Miralta (1905). However, the experience did not work out entirely well, and Guimerà returned to his pathway from the 1890s with texts like Sol, solet...(Sun, Little Sun, 1905) and L’aranya (The Spider, 1906), which constitute the most personal strain of his theatre, “among peasant folk” as María Guerrero noted. It is a model of action
theatre that takes place in humble, often rural, settings. There, Guimerà felt free and succeeded in expanding his more genuine concerns when defending divorce and free love in *L’Eloi* (Eloi, 1906) or the responsible fatherhood embodied by the main character in *Sol, solet...* Without a solution for carrying on, Guimerà did not give up a romantic conception of the world experienced with tension and drama by exceptional characters that are devastated by passion and experience the characteristics of brutal love. These characters, who have emerged from an upheaval-ridden social reality, virtually never manage to channel an authentically radical attitude of rebellion and agitation as do other characters in European naturalistic theatre as portrayed by Hauptmann, Ibsen and Strindberg, just to name a few.

From 1907, when he was proposed as a Nobel Prize candidate for the first time by Barcelona’s Reial Acadèmia de Bones Lletres, until shortly before his death in 1923, Guimerà had trouble reorienting and designing a new and unique voice of his own as a playwright. Among other initiatives, he contributed to Espectacles Audicions Graner with a piece, *La Santa Espina* (The Holy Thorn, 1907), which was set to music by Enric Morera. In this context, a group of writers from previous generations undertook a concerted defence of his personality and poetic theatre, not to mention the national tribute to him held on the 23rd of May 1909.

In his final stages, Guimerà tried to provide samples of his creative versatility, which was closely tied to his desire to be present on the Catalan scene. He aired his *Indíbil i Mandoni* (Indibil and Mandoni, 1917), the tragedy of the origins of a fossilised hair; *Joan Dalla* (1921), a historical play with political intentions; and a couple of contemporary plays, *Jesús que torna* (Jesus Returns, 1917) and *Alta banca* (High Bank, 1921). His *Per dret diví* (By Divine Right, 1926), which his friend the writer Lluís Via readied, premiered posthumously. His death prompted a vast, heartfelt homage from all sectors of Catalan society, precisely when some of his plays – unquestionably *Terra baixa* – had already spread beyond the borders of our culture and enjoyed an international presence.

**Guimerà and the Spanish theatre**

In the web of relations between Guimerà and the Spanish theatre, there are four early factors that help us to grasp the reasons and consequences of their later development. The first is the role of interlocutor that Josep Yxart took on as a critic, connoisseur and friend of a number of highly representative Spanish writers with whom Guimerà also established contact. The second is the positive and fruitful repercussions of Guimerà’s first premiere in Madrid, of *Mar y cielo* (20-11-1891), put on by Ricardo Calvo and the company of the Teatro Español. The third is the attitude taken by María Guerrero, an ambitious young actress determined to revamp the Spanish theatre scene with the support of authors who were heavily influenced by the spirit of the revolution of September 1868. And the fourth is the inestimable role of José Echegaray as a mediator and Spanish translator of some of Guimerà’s most important plays.

Indeed, the staging of *Mar y cielo* drew the attention not only of critics and audiences in Madrid but also of some Spanish writers, most notably the vociferous and unconditional support of Josep Yxart via the *La Vanguardia* newspaper. This Tarragona-born critic’s assessments came at a very delicate time in the development of Spanish and Catalan theatre, one year before Emilio Mario’s company premiered the theatre adaptation of Galdós’ *Realidad* (Reality, 1892):

“Apparently, the success of Guimerà’s tragedy *Mar y cel* in Madrid has not been solely a theatre event, albeit an extraordinary one without greater meaning than all ovations for inspired playwrights. No, it has been something more than that. As can be inferred from the fervent praise in the Madrid press, Guimerà’s play surprised everyone as the unexpectedly vivid and complete revelation of a kind of drama that can in no way be called new, but whose memory had been lost perhaps since Tamayo retired from the theatre. Such vivid characters, so muscular and strong, such human passions and such a high poetic mettle at the same time, the fire of that inspiration intensely and invisibly burning the soul of the characters, the simplicity of the ac-
tion, the sober, concise, manly dialogue have reminded Madrid audiences that tragedy is still possible, that the theatre of recherché effects is possible, and that the highest and most moving poetry, without glittering lyricism, is still possible. This is what ensured the success of the Catalan tragedy (read between the lines) after the fluctuations and exhaustion produced by the false grandiosity of some and the anaemic vulgarity of others.  

It should also be noted that the translation of Mar i cel was by Enrique Gaspar, 14 the author of Las personas decentes (Decent People, 1890), the play which the heralded the introduction of bourgeois drama in prose into Spanish theatre in a context that was no longer the same as that of the literary generation of “Dumas or Sardou, nor even the next generation of Zola, Becque and the fixer Bun-sbach, but a more advanced cohort whose gods were Ib- sen, Björnson and Strindberg and who applaud Maeter-

The fact that Gaspar was the crucial name in the updating of Spanish theatre in the early 1890s, among other factors, supports the expectation that was aroused when Mario’s company, 15 with María Guerrero as the leading actress, premiered Huélg de hijos (The Rebellion of the Young, 28-7-1893) at Barcelona’s Teatre Novetats two weeks after the premier of Galdós’ La loca de la casa (The Madwoman of the House, 6-7-1893).  

The crux of the matter is that between 1890 and 1893, there began to be an irreversible revamping of Spanish theatre which more tentatively corresponded to the revamping of Catalan theatre in an atmosphere in which Guimerà encountered difficulties putting on his productions. Hence the personal acquaintance between An- gel Guimerà and María Guerrero in July of 1892, 17 when they had both shown signs of modernising their respective fields — the former by performing texts written by “revamped” Echegaray, Galdós 18 and Felip i Codina, and the other by writing the first costumbrist pieces in prose: La Baldirona (1890) and La sala d’espera (The Waiting Room, 1892) — was providential for both, at least until the first decade of the new century. During this extensive period of time, Guimerà premiered a total of 21 texts. Seven of the nine plays performed by María Guer-

What happened to the other Guimerà texts from those years which were not put on by Fernando Díaz de Men-
doza and María Guerrero? What were the reasons or cir-
cumstances why La festa del blat was not staged in Ma-
drid, for example? Apparently Guimerà liked La festa del blat 19 better than Terra baixa. Both were written virtually in parallel, but he was concerned that the plot twists of the former would not be accepted by Madrid’s audiences. Even though Echegaray, the play’s translator, 20 had liked it, the fact is that Mendoza-Guerrero felt more trapped by the conflict in Terra baixa 21 than by the problem of the anarchist in La festa del blat. However, Guimerà’s fears were stronger 22 and he decided to premiere La festa del blat (24-4-1896) only in Barcelona (two months before the attack on the Corpus Christi procession on Canvis Nous Street on the 7th of June). He did it after deeming that “Tierra baja does not run as much danger and is more likely to be accepted in Madrid” and that “it would be a pity (both you and I say this) if I condemned myself to oblivion in Madrid and Barcelona for one year with two plays on the playlist.” 23  

A year after the La festa del blat affair, Guimerà once again showed signs of his insecurity and concern about displeasing or looking bad in front of his Madrid friends. The dissemination of MISSATGE a S. M. Jordi I, rei dels hel·lens (Message to His Majesty King George I, King of the Hellenes, 7-3-1897) 24 led to the closure of Catalanist publications and centres, which in turn led to street demon-

What happened to the other Guimerà texts from those years which were not put on by Fernando Díaz de Mendo-
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daughters (I am not too sure about this; you should know).

8 That nor did you attend the demonstration wearing either a *barretina* or sashes or emblems.

9 That you honourably profess this or that idea, which are not those of Pi i Margall, and that professing them in no way harms your scientific or literary works.

I have made this list with no other purpose than to organise what you tell me in your letter and to add the part about how your daughters [Aldavert sisters] wore the *barretina*, because this is the latest telegram.”

Echegaray’s letter is nothing but a faithful example of a historical context characterised by the gradual dilution of the Modernist intellectual movement among the participants in Catalanist politics which affected the overall functioning of Catalan literature and greatly touched intellectuals and writers. Such an ideological orientation of theatre which had characterised part of the programming of Maria Guerrero’s company until then began to be revised to open it up to other needs and artistic objectives within a framework in which, parallel to the incorporation of the theatre of ideas and symbolist theatre, manifestations were appearing which, like Edmond Rostand’s *Cyrano de Bergerac*, earned a response from a broader audience than the aforementioned models. Sensitive to the reorientation and diversity of theatre propositions in fin de siècle Europe, Guerrero premiered Rostand’s play in 1899. Guimerà, who had already finished *La filla del mar* and *Mossèn Janot* and continued to have difficulties putting on plays at the Teatre Romea, which was directed by Ramon Franqueza after the death of Frederic Soler (1895), was trying other dramatic registers that must not have interested Guerrero and her husband, such as *La farsa* (The Farce, 1899), a piece about police corruption in caciquism which may not have fit with their ideological interests, and *Aigua que corre* (Water that Runs, 1902), which was not performed in Spanish, in which Guimerà explicitly portrayed an instance of adultery with all its cruelty and drama.

It became clear that there were texts by the Catalan playwright whose subject matter or boldness might not work with a Spanish audience:

“It does not surprise me, and actually I celebrate the success of *Aigua que corre*. I already told you that I found the play very lovely, but I was afraid of the effect it might have on our audiences both in Madrid and in the provinces and the Americas. The daringness, the boldness that is tolerated in other theatres, in other companies, is not permitted here. That was my fear, even though I understood and felt all the beauty of the play, especially the entire third act. I therefore triumphantly celebrate it and congratulate you for it.”

The crux of the matter is that in the early years of the new century while Guimerà personally felt so disheartened and pessimistic about the pathway adopted by Catalanism that he decided to withdraw from political life, as a playwright he also realised the need to expand his dramatic horizons in accordance with the artistic and economic interests of the theatre companies and businesses with which he interacted. This took place precisely when he began to earn fame internationally thanks to *Terra baixa* and several translations and performances of other texts into French and Italian, such as the world premiere of *Scivolando sulla terra*, a translation of *Arran de terra*, which the Italian company Vitaliani put on at the Teatre Novetats in 1901.

Another extremely important landmark was the presentation of the Catalan theatre season by Enric Borràs’ company in Madrid (26-5/14-6-2004). Among the twenty plays that Borràs’ company put on in Madrid, four titles were by Guimerà: *Terra baixa* (which had four showings), *Mar i cel*, *Maria Rosa* and *La festa del blat*, which was run again that same season at the Teatro Romea, much to Madrid audiences’ displeasure. The experience was a critical success as well as an overall hit with audiences, and it had noticeable repercussions on several Spanish writers as well as on Guimerà himself. Borràs was so well-liked in Madrid that this became the immediate origin of two unique initiatives which involved Emilia Pardo Bazán, Eugenio Sellés and Angel Guimerà.

Indeed, right in the midst of the Catalan theatre season at Teatro de la Princesa, the writer Pardo Bazán sent Guimerà a copy of *La suerte* (Luck), a dramatic dialogue, with the following motive:

“When reading in the Madrid newspapers these days so much praise for the actor Borràs, whom I was unable to see since I had to go to the countryside with my family – and the countryside is delightful – it dawned on me that it would be very pleasant for Borràs to put on *La suerte* in Catalan. Could you tell him this and en-
courage him to stage, in such a colourful and fresh language as Catalan, a dialogue that is not written in Galician simply because it was not possible for the audience to accept it thus, but which, as you shall see, is devised, and felt, regionally? Please tell me whether this is a feasible idea or not, and in the meantime please believe that I remain your faithful friend, Emilia Pardo Bazán.”33

I do not know whether Guimerá answered her; in any event, Borràs never did perform Bazán’s dialogue. In the case of Eugenio Sellés, Guimerá got much more heavily involved: he translated two texts which were never staged or published in Catalan, but which remain among his papers in the Library of Catalonia collection with a letter from Sellés which paradoxically bears the same date – 5th of June 1904 – as the one sent by Emilia Pardo Bazán. The goal is quite similar, although the tone is different:

“Mr Ángel Guimerá

Dearest friend and colleague,

I wanted to express my wholehearted appreciation for your translations of Las Serpientes (The Snakes) and Los Domadores (The Tamers).

It is as admirable an endeavour as [illegible], and furthermore because the nature of those plays and their style correspond well to the vigorous temperament of your literature.

I would also like to express my gratitude for your praise of them in your affectionate letter.

Yet without a doubt Mr Borràs does not think the same because he seems to have decided not to put them on. He says that there is no time to rehearse them. He has had the translations for many days, the translation of Los domadores since he began to act here, and he has not shown the slightest interest in them, nor has he even shared them. There was more than enough time in many days to study even one of them if he really wanted to, since after all it is a short, simple act with action and characters.

I am disappointed with this result mainly because you worked so painstakingly in the effort to translate them in so few days. A useless effort and wasted endeavour. I am very sorry to have been the cause of it, and I am also sorry for myself, since I consider Borràs a peerless actor today [illegible] and we would have both reaped success: he and I.

The Madrid season was brilliant. Major triumphs and applause, and crowds at the theatres, especially for your plays. Tierra baja was the biggest hit in terms of both the play and the playwright. I wholeheartedly congratulate you for that.

It came out a beauty.

A warm embrace from your good friend and colleague who holds you in great esteem,

Eugenio Sellés”35

Indeed, the Guimerá Collection conserves the bundles from Las serps, “Scenes in one act and original prose by Eugeni Sellés translated into Catalan by Àngel Guimerá. One act”, and Los domadors, “Scenes in one act and prose, original by Eugeni Sellés translated into Catalan by Àngel Guimerá”. If I am not mistaken, after Enrique Gaspar and before Galdós, Sellés was the Spanish playwright who contributed the most to incorporating prose and the contemporary reality into the Spanish stage in the late 19th century from a naturalistic perspective.34

What personal interest might Guimerá have had in translating the two plays by Sellés given that he translated no other plays into Catalan? Both short pieces were part of a trilogy – Los domadores (1896), Los caballos (The Horses, 1899) and Las serpientes (1903)35 – whose common denominator was their treatment of anarchism from a perspective reminiscent of the one expressed by Guimerá specifically in En Pólvora (1893) and La festa del blat (1896). In this vein, the prologue that Sellés published in the edition of Las serpientes (1903) is quite enlightening:

“Las serpientes is the first part of a trilogy that continues with Los domadores and closes with Los caballos. They must be put on in this order in the theatre whenever all three plays are staged together. [...] They are independent and dissimilar in their plots, action and characters, yet they are connected by an ‘inner nerve’ of ideas and underlying purposes.”

It explicitly declares that the total work “runs counter to active anarchisms. And note clearly that ‘anarchisms’ is plural in order to include all anarchy, regardless of where it is from, rising up from the streets or trickling down from the powers-that-be, dressed in either a tunic or in a uniform.” (Sellés, 1903, “Prologue”, p. 5).

Seeking a subtitle that would encompass the main idea of each play, he noted: “Las serpientes could be given the subtitle ‘How an anarchist is made’. Los domadores could be subtitled ‘How an anarchist is unmade’.” [...] The author does not give Los caballos a subtitle; rather he explains that the two anarchies appear in it in place and operating: the “bottom-up one, which is the excess of individual freedom, and the top-down one, which is the excess of social authority, despotism denying the rule of law” (Sellés, 1903, “Prologue”, p. 9). We could, then, subtitle it “two anarchisms, face to face”.36

If we focus on the plots of both plays, we can better grasp the decision to translate them, most likely based on the ideological affinity between both writers in terms of how the subject of anarchism is dealt with.37 Sellés’ literary approach to this social issue was as close to Guimerá’s as it was to Ignasi Iglesias’ or Joaquín Dicenta’s, all four of whom were far from the claims of most radical strains of late 19th century anarchist culture. Neither Sellés nor Guimerá attempted to condemn social inequalities or the living conditions of the working classes in order to com-
bat them; rather their aim was precisely to expose them with the goal of understanding them from a moralising perspective which included the redemptive value of love and good feelings.

In those early years of the new century, Guimerà kept his sights set on María Guerrero’s company as well as on Enric Borràs well once he resumed his career as a performer almost exclusively in Spanish. After putting on El camí del sol (The Sun’s Path, 1904), in Barcelona Guimerà consecutively premiered Sol, solet... (17-4-1905, Teatre Romea) with Pere Codina and Maria Morera, and two other plays put on by María Guerrero’s company: La Miralta (23-7-1905, Teatre Novetats) and Andrònica (18-12-1905, Teatro Español de Madrid).39

Guimerà was keenly aware of the delicate juncture that the Catalan stage was experiencing, which affected him personally. All we have to do is glance at the letters he sent to Díaz de Mendoza and Guerrer (2-3-1905) and to Enric Borràs (20-6-1905), respectively, prior to the Barcelona premier of La Miralta:

“The new play, the play which I promised you to premier this summer in Barcelona, is already underway. It will be called La Miralta; it is a play of passions. It is strong and tragic and written in prose.

The main characters are wealthy people. It takes place today. La Miralta is an agricultural estate in the Catalan mountains which becomes a factory and falls into ruin. Carlos (Fernando) is an engineer and Débora (Maria) (I don’t know if it’s written with b or v), his wife, murders a rival in the last scene. How does she kill her? In a new way for María.

Not with poison, a rope, a knife, a working implement, a blow, gas, tickling, a fish hook, a bomb, a car, a club... Ruminate (what a word!) on it. Let’s see who can guess! She kills her in the simplest of ways.

But I am not only writing this play; rather I also find myself required to premiere another at the Romea, because when I got here I became convinced that it would be ill-advised to premiere two plays in a row in Spanish, as the audience here would assume that I was forever giving up on the Catalan theatre.

These days I have been racking my brain and silent, all this time under the fear of being unable to make both plays. What a calamity! But the fear has dissipated and now I am fully uncalamitised. Both plays will be ready in due time. The one for the Romea, which will be about country folk, will be called Sol, solet... There is a popular Catalan exclamation which goes “¡Sol solet, vine’m a veure que tinc fred!” (Sun, little sun, come see me; I’m cold!). The main character has not a soul in this world and is seeking the warmth of family. At the end of this play he also commits murder, of course. But here the murder takes place with a farm tool.”40

Guimerà also sent a letter somehow offering his services to Enric Borràs, who had made Mar i cel and Terra baixa part of his repertory throughout Spain. He reminded Borràs that he had sent:

“those three tragedies and the two new plays which we could say might have crossed paths in our correspondence, which has gone uninterrupted for any reason for so long; because just as I have never doubted your esteem for me for a single moment, I am confident that you have also never doubted that I have always had and continue to have equal esteem for you mixed with admiration that grows stronger every day for all the battles you have won.”41

He was happy that Borràs wanted to put on the plays from his early years as a playwright, but he was afraid of failures because “many years have passed since I wrote them, and just as then I would never have doubted their success in Madrid, now it might be a very good thing that they have aged because the fashions now run in an entirely different direction.” And he added:

“Speaking of the other one, you see how it is going at the Romea. I don’t know how they’ll deal with it. First your loss, and you are forever irreplaceable, and now the loss of Morera and Codina, who were very useful. I assure you that the runs next season will be very doleful.”

He then sent his regards to Díaz de Mendoza and Guerrero, who “feel so badly that they cannot work together next season” and dreamt about a partnership that never materialised:

“Can you imagine the plays that could be made with you and Fernando and María? Imagine what a Jesus of Nazareth would come of it! Isn’t it true? The things we would do! I still haven’t given up hope that one day we will do what is worthy of a great company. Imagine Padre Juanico with you, who were so inimitable in it (and I don’t have to say this; it’s already common knowledge) and Fernando and Toni, who was also great in it. I know that for now this is all a dream, but some dreams do come true.

And now, before closing, my dear friend, I would like to thank you profusely for touring my plays Terra baixa and Mar i cel through Spain, so ennobled by you. Sometimes newspapers reach me which speak enthusiastically about your performance of these plays, and then I feel so sorrowful at not having you before me to give you a hearty embrace, like the one I am sending you now, your eternal friend, and more than anything the foremost of your enthusiastic admirers.”42

Between 1905, the premier of El alma es mía (The Soul is Mine, 1919) and the withdrawal of the Madrid-based couple Díaz de Mendoza and Guerrero from this play in Seville one year later based on the patriotic discourse in the Jocs Florals of Barcelona, which was presided over by Josep Joffre,43 the relationship between the two sides lost...
the fluidity and complicity of the early years. This distancing might have begun when the acting duo left the Teatro Español (1908) and moved to the Teatro de la Comedia, which had different artistic objectives and a repertory focusing on the poetic theatre of Marquina, Villaespesa and Fernández Ardavín, along with certain plays by Benavente, Linares Rivas and the Álvarez Quintero and Muñoz Seca brothers, among others.

Guimerà was unquestionably experiencing a time full of professional transformations which were affecting him personally. As noted above, he was suffering from the crisis in the survival of the Catalan stage, first noted and shaped in the early years of the new century.44 Because of this crisis, like other Catalan playwrights he found himself forced to diversify his output to the utmost and pull out all the stops. He revived his old project, Per dret diví, for which he readied a first act in verse,45 and he pondered Al món blau (In the Blue World), a project ultimately never completed.46 He worked at the Espectacles Audicions Graner (1907) with the success of La Santa Espina47 and with the Sindicat d’Autors Dramàtics Catalans (Union of Dramatic Catalan Authors),48 among other groups. Of everything he wrote, three quite divergent texts – L’aranya, La reina vella (The Old Queen) and L’ànima és meva – were performed by María Guerrero, but the Madrid actress rejected others like L’Eloi, a piece in a similar vein to L’aranya which premiered at the Teatre Romea (27-3-1906); Sainet trist (Sad Farce) which premiered at the Teatre Romea (14-4-1910) and was translated into Spanish by Gregorio Martínez Sierra; and La reina jove, which Margarida Xirgu premiered at the Teatre Principal (15-4-1911) in Catalan. Another play, Jesús que torna, was performed by Enric Borràs in Catalan (1-3-1917) and in Spanish (8-5-1917).49

Guimerà in Europe and America

Setting aside now the essential projection of Guimerà’s oeuvre on the Spanish stage until his death (18-7-1924), I shall attempt to trace what he achieved in the rest of Europe and the Americas while alive. The fact that María Guerrero and Fernando Díaz de Mendoza’s company had included some of his texts into their repertory was decisive in the dissemination of his plays on both Spanish-language stages and in the theatre and film community of North America.

Terra baixa as a paradigm

Everything began with Terra baixa, the Guimerà play most often translated into other languages,50 which was the inspiration for two operas, the German Tiefland (1903) with a libretto by Rudolph Lothar51 and music by Eugen d’Albert, and the French La Catalane (1907), set to music by Fernand Le Borne with a libretto by Paul Ferrier and Louis Tiercelin. Both operas were adapted to the characteristics of the dominant musical codes of their respective cultures: Verism in Germany and “lyric drama” in France. The German version fared better – even today it is found in the repertories of some German-language opera theatres – than the French, perhaps because the realistic facet of Guimerà’s text fit Verism better than the lyric canon.52

The German opera version was based on an Italian version by Giuseppe Soldatini which was apparently never published. The story is nonetheless curious: a Hungarian countess saw a performance of it in Italy, wanted to put it on herself and commissioned theatre agent Wilhelm Minkus to translate it into German based on the Italian version. At Minkus’ request, Rudolph Lothar undertook the translation, and when it was ready it turned out that the countess had gotten married and was no longer interested in it. Despite this, Minkus sent it to different German theatres, one of whose directors, Ernst von Schuch, the head of the Royal Opera of Dresden, became enthused with the prospect and got in touch with Lothar and the composer Eugen d’Albert. Finally, the opera premiered at the Neues Deutsches Theater of Prague (15-11-1903) where it was warmly welcomed by critics and audiences, in contrast to its failure one year later when it was performed in Leipzig (17-2-1904). Upon this poor reception, the publisher of the score, Hugo Bock, asked Lothar and Albert to keep the prologue to the opera, which was based on a retrospective narration by Manelic, but to reduce two of the three acts in the first version. The new, defini-

Figure 3. Libretto of the opera version of Terra Baixa, published in English in 1908 based on the German version.
tive opera, which was premiered once again, this time to success, in Magdeburg (16-1-1905), earned even more recognition when it was performed at the Komische Oper of Berlin, where it premiered on 9-10-1907. In Catalonia, the Italian version premiered at the Gran Teatre del Liceu on the 18th of January 1910; six performances were held under the direction of Franz Beitler. Joaquim Pena wrote the Catalan libretto which premiered at the Teatre Novetats on 23-12-1924.

Doctor Benet Roura Barrios wrote a prologue to the German translation dated the 7th of February 1907, which reveals details about the quick spread of "Terra baixa" around the world until then:

“The most popular play by Master Guimerá is "Terra baixa," and it is put on in theatres abroad in Spanish, Italian, French, Portuguese, Sicilian, English in the United States and the Hebrew dialect of North America, Yiddish (Kalich Theater of New York), Serbian, German and soon it will be performed in Czech. However, in the German language it is not a lyric drama but a musical drama set to music by the famous Viennese piano virtuoso Eugeni d’Albert. [...]”

Some of the foreign versions have kept the Catalan title in translation, while others have been christened with the name of the main characters, such as "Marélich" in the Portuguese version, "Martha of the Lowlands" in English, and the Sicilian attempt "Feudalismo" to signal that the wolf who kills Manelic, Sebastiá, is the feudal lord representing this class. The German version is also titled "Terra baixa" or "Tiefland," following German syntax. However, the Viennese librettist has changed some of the names of the characters, and that is understandable since Xeixa, for example, is too Catalan to be translated. However, what is difficult to understand is that Manelic has been renamed Pedro, an essentially Spanish name that is always difficult for foreigners to pronounce. Might this be because they did not directly translate from the original and perhaps they used the Spanish version, a language in which Pedros are quite common?"

Apart from these opera versions, "Terra baixa" was also translated into French by Albert Gelée Bertal (1897), into Czech by Antonin Pikhart (1907), into Russian by Isaac Pawlosvsky and A. E. Nikiforakh (1910), into Swedish by Edvard Lidfoss and Karl A. Hagberg (1917) and into Portuguese by João Soler (1939).

In turn, with the title of "Feudalismo," Augusto Campagna made a Sicilian version which Giovanni Grasso premiered in Barcelona in 1907. The Italian actor included it in his repertory and performed it all over the world: in Madrid, Lisbon, Buenos Aires, Paris, London, Berlin, Hungary and Russia, among other cities and countries.

The dissemination of "Terra baixa" in other languages and cultures took place through José Echegaray’s translation as opposed to the original text, to such an extent that Echegaray’s version became the common, obligatory reference for its reception in both Europe and America and the point of departure of the different film adaptations that were made on both continents in the first half of last century.

The first translation into English was undertaken by Guido Magburg and William Gillpatrick in 1902 based on Echegaray’s translation. On the 13th of October 1903 it premiered at New York’s Manhattan Theater:

“Once "Terra baixa" had been translated into Spanish, the play reached not only Madrid but also Latin America. After Guerrero toured the play in Latin America, actress Virginia Fabregas performed the play in Mexico in 1900. In the audience for that performance were Broadway actor Guido Marburg and journalist Wallace Gillpatrick, who went on to translate the play for the American stage. Marburg applied for the translation and production rights. By 1902 a copy of their translation of Echegaray’s translation "Tierra baja" was deposited at the Library of Congress for copyright protection under the title "The Wolf." On March 17, 1903 the New York Times reported that Harrison Grey Fiske had obtained the rights to a Spanish play [...] which in its English form has not been named, [but] will most likely be called "Martha of the Lowlands" ("News of Plays and Players")."

"Martha of the Lowlands" premiered in Troy, New York on October 1 of 1903, then opening in New York on October 12 ("Martha of the Lowlands in Troy"). The play was also presented in Washington, Pitts-
and Chicago, and then reports suggest that the show went on to tour other cities ("Mme. Kalich Going on Tour").

José Echegaray’s version of Terra baixa was also used in the adaptation by Camilo Vidal (1909) to the social and cultural milieu of Argentina’s Chaco province. Pablo Podestá premiered the “adaptation” and “reworking” of Vidal’s Tierra Baja at the Teatro Odeón in Buenos Aires (4-3-1909), when not only this but also other Guimerà plays were already known by the audiences in that city.

Another Argentine writer, José León Pagano, contributed to disseminating the intellectual and literary figure of Guimerà in his book Atraverso la Spagna letteraria (1902). Finally, Argentina was also where playwright José Marañón made a parody of Terra baixa called Tierra baja… da, which was published in 1918.

The film versions

The film industry also took an interest in Terra baixa. One film version of it, called Martha of the Lowlands (1914), was made in the United States featuring Hobart Bosworth as Manelic and Bertha Kalich as Martha. One year later, Cecil B. DeMille directed the film adaptation of Maria Rosa starring Geraldine Farrar, a famous opera singer. The most prominent and controversial film version of which we are aware is the one that Leni Riefensthal filmed, envisioning the character of Manelic as a kind of Parsifal living in Goya’s Spain. This was a project by the German director in which she herself starred with Bernard Minetti in the role of Sebastià. Tiefland took almost 20 years to materialise; it finally premiered in Stuttgart in February 1954 and was submitted to the Cannes Film Festival competition that same year to rousing success thanks to the intercession of Jean Cocteau, who was the President of the Jury.

On Guimerà’s relevance

Since 1939, if we exclude Leni Riefensthal’s film version, the most significant changes in the domestic and international dissemination of Guimerà’s plays have taken place in the past 35 years. Let us begin with the productions of Terra baixa according to dramaturgy by Guillem-Jordi Graells (1975) at the Teatre de l’Esorpi; the ones by
Ricard Salvat (1976) and Josep Montanyès (1981); and the staging of Maria Rosa (1983) directed by John Strasberg, the last three cases according to the corresponding versions made by Josep M. Benet i Jornet.

The Terra baixa (1990) directed by Fabià Puigserver, director of the Teatre Lliure, featuring Lluís Homar and Emma Vilarasau as the main characters, complemented with a performance of La filla del mar directed by Sergi Belbel as part of the Catalan Classical Theatre Series organised by the Centre Dramàtic of the Generalitat in 1992, became the instigation of the renewal and regularisation of productions of Guimerà’s plays. Since then, other productions of his plays have been put on: one version of Terra baixa directed by Ferran Madico at the Teatre Nacional (2001), and a couple of versions of Maria Rosa, one directed by Rosa Novell (1997) and the other by Àngel Alonso (2004), as well as a new version of La filla del mar (2002) by Josep M. Mestres, and En Pòlvora (2006), directed by Sergi Belbel.

Another major milestone with Mar i cel took place when the troupe Dagoll-Dagom created a successful musical based on Guimerà’s play. Xavier Bru de Sala wrote the libretto,73 which was set to music by Albert Guinovart and premiered to rousing success in 1988. In 2004, it was re-released to outstanding acclaim in Catalonia and toured around Spain in its Spanish-language version. In 2007, it premiered in German in the Opernhaus in Halle, leaving the original title and adding the subtitle Der Himmel und das Meer.74

Guimerà is still a universal cultural referent; indeed, we should spotlight two updated productions of Terra baixa in both theatre and opera. First, in the 2008-2009 season,75 the Opernhaus of Zurich’s staging of Tiefland was put on at the Gran Teatre del Liceu following the production by Matthias Hartmann, which was totally up-to-date:

“Naturally, Matthias Hartmann, recently appointed director of the Burgtheater, wanted to flee from the concept of (blood and land) [...]. To begin with, Hartmann and the stage designer Volker Hintermeier relativised the vestiges and distanced themselves from the past antithesis between alpine purity and industrial depravation. There is also an act of purification. The sadomasochistic drama around ingenious wild nature, the diabolical, male chauvinist dictator and the beauty who yearns for pure love who is at his mercy has been staged realistically. Hartmann yields a solid, lifelike thriller full of sensationalism in the feelings inspired, which is counterbalanced symbolically by a rainfall of rose petals during the forced wedding. The opera is quite heavy in words, and a handful of exaltations inadvertently sound comical; however, the libretto is better than they say, at least in its representation of the erotics of violence. Ultimately, it is a theatre of vibrant emotions, not necessarily as trivial in the contrasts as has been assumed” (Gerhard K. Koch: “El Parsifal de la pastura alpine”. Frankfurter Allgemeine, 4-9-2006).76

However, the most theatrically revolutionary staging that has ever been made of Terra baixa was the one by the director of the Staatstheater of Stuttgart, Hasko Weber, with an original script by Kekke Schmidt. It premiered at the Teatre Romea on the 23rd of April 2009 in a process of revamping three aspects that essentially affect the space, the profile of the main character and the condensation and concentration of the play around the triangle made up of Manelic, Marta and Sebastià. In Weber’s production, the action shifts from the flour mill to a bar in Catalonia today, where a unique Manelic appears in the guise of a Black African, an illegal immigrant. Manelic’s unusual illegal situation is harnessed by Sebastià, who offers him a marriage of convenience with Marta, his abused lover, as a way for Manelic to gain legal status. Finally, this version includes a major change in the denouement of the play:

Yet Manelic and Marta’s final flight in Guimerà’s Terra baixa in order to be able to live in lofty, Arcadi-
an purity opens up several questions that disturb the viewer: What price have they paid by fleeing from other people to a happiness that they have not yet attained? Can we speak about freedom without the presence of others? Can they truly be free in their solitude, even though they are with each other? As the prisoners of this solitude, won’t they end up turning the supposed purity that surrounds them into a morally infected place? In Kekke Schmidt’s version, the viewer has no time to ask these questions because the playwright believes that the power, and even the death of the one who embodies it with total forcefulness, has effective resources for perpetuating itself since now it is a temporary yet implacable substitute because it must vindicate itself. Schmidt does not leave a crack for hope or even doubt. And after this denouement, we perfectly grasp Marta’s tears that open the crack for hope or even doubt. And herein lies one of Guimerà’s main merits: having ushered in the international dissemination of Catalonia’s dramatic literature to the world, today the propagation of the works of his direct prototype of the Espectacles Audicions à la Profa. Maria Guerrero y Fernández and those generated by the direct relationship between the authors or their literary agents and companies, groups and troupes around the world. And herein lies one of Guimerà’s main merits: having ushered in the international dissemination of Catalonia’s dramatic literature through Terra baixa.
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