

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Genome-wide DNA methylation study in human placenta identifies novel loci associated with maternal smoking during pregnancy

Eva Morales,<sup>1,2\*§</sup> Nadia Vilahur,<sup>2,3,4,5§</sup> Lucas A. Salas,<sup>2,3,5,6</sup> Valeria Motta,<sup>7</sup> Mariana F. Fernandez,<sup>2,8</sup> Mario Murcia,<sup>2,9</sup> Sabrina Llop,<sup>2,9</sup> Adonina Tardon,<sup>2,10</sup> Guillermo Fernandez-Tardon,<sup>2,10</sup> Loreto Santa-Marina,<sup>2,11,12</sup> Mara Gallastegui,<sup>12,13</sup> Valentina Bollati,<sup>7</sup> Xavier Estivill,<sup>2,4,5</sup> Nicolas Olea,<sup>2,8</sup> Jordi Sunyer,<sup>2,3,5</sup> Mariona Bustamante,<sup>2,3,4,5§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IMIB-Arrixaca Biomedical Research Institute, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Hospital, 30120 Murcia, Spain

<sup>2</sup>CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), 28029 Madrid, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL), 08003 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Genomics and Disease Group, Bioinformatics and Genomics Program, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), the Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08003 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

<sup>5</sup>Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), 08003 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

<sup>6</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth College, Lebanon, New Hampshire 03756, USA

<sup>7</sup>EPIGET - Epidemiology, Epigenetics and Toxicology Lab - Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Università degli Studi di Milano, 20122 Milano, Italy

<sup>8</sup>Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria (ibs.GRANADA), University of Granada, San Cecilio University Hospital, 18012 Granada, Spain

<sup>9</sup>FISABIO–Universitat de València–Universitat Jaume I Joint Research Unit of  
Epidemiology and Environmental Health, 46020 Valencia, Spain

<sup>10</sup>Molecular Epidemiology of Cancer Unit, University Institute of Oncology, University  
of Oviedo, 33003 Oviedo, Spain

<sup>11</sup>Subdirección de Salud Pública y Adicciones de Gipuzkoa, 20010 Donostia/San  
Sebastián, Spain

<sup>12</sup>Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria BIODONOSTIA, 20014 Donostia/San Sebastián,  
Spain

<sup>13</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, 01006 Vitoria-  
Gasteiz, Spain

<sup>§</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

**Short title:** Placental DNA methylation and maternal smoking

**Word count:**

Abstract: 248.

Main text: around 4000.

Tables: 5.

Figures: 1.

**\*Corresponding author:** Dr Eva Morales, MD, PhD, MPH, IMIB-Arrixaca Biomedical  
Research Institute, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Hospital, 30120 Murcia, Spain;  
Tel: +34 868883691; Fax: +34 868888127; E-mail: [embarto@hotmail.com](mailto:embarto@hotmail.com)

## Abstract

**Background:** We conducted an epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) of DNA methylation in placenta in relation to maternal tobacco smoking during pregnancy, and examined whether smoking-induced changes lead to low birthweight.

**Methods:** DNA methylation in placenta was measured using the Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip in 179 participants from the INMA birth cohort. Methylation levels across 431,311 CpGs were tested for differential methylation between smokers and non-smokers in pregnancy. We took forward three top-ranking loci for further validation and replication by bisulfite pyrosequencing using data of 248 additional participants of the INMA cohort. We examined the association of methylation at smoking-associated loci with birthweight by applying a mediation analysis and a two-sample Mendelian randomization approach.

**Results:** Fifty CpGs were differentially methylated in placenta between smokers and non-smokers during pregnancy (FDR <0.05). We validated and replicated differential methylation at three top-ranking loci: cg27402634 located between *LINC00086* and *LEKR1* –a gene previously related to birthweight in genome-wide association studies–, cg20340720 (*WBP1L*), and cg25585967 and cg12294026 (*TRIO*). Dose-response relationships with maternal urine cotinine concentration during pregnancy were confirmed. Differential methylation at cg27402634 explained up to 36% of the lower birthweight in the offspring of smokers (Sobel p value <0.05). A two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis provided evidence that decreases in methylation levels at cg27402634 lead to decreases in birthweight.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **Conclusions:** We identified novel loci differentially methylated in placenta in relation  
6  
7 to maternal smoking during pregnancy. Adverse effects of maternal smoking on  
8  
9 birthweight of the offspring may be mediated by alterations in the placental methylome.  
10  
11

12 **Keywords:** birthweight, DNA methylation, epigenetics, fetal programming, placenta,  
13  
14 tobacco smoking  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only

## Key messages

- Maternal tobacco smoking during pregnancy is associated with genome-wide DNA methylation changes in human placenta.
- Differential methylation at cg27402634, located between *LINC00086* and *LEKRI*, mediates in part the association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and low birthweight of the offspring.
- A two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis provides evidence that decreases in placental methylation levels at cg27402634 lead to decreases in birthweight.
- Enrichment analysis reveals functional pathways related to signaling by growth factors, inflammation, oxidative stress, peroxisomal metabolism, and myometrial relaxation and contraction.
- DNA methylation changes in placenta associated with maternal tobacco smoking differ largely from those identified in cord blood and may be functionally relevant for placental and fetal development.

## Introduction

Tobacco smoking is still common among pregnant women despite of increased awareness for adverse health consequences for the offspring including fetal growth restriction, preterm birth, low birthweight, and higher risk of respiratory and cardiometabolic diseases later in life [1-3]. Early-life exposures may have long-lasting effects on development, metabolism and health via epigenetic phenomena, including DNA methylation changes [4]. DNA methylation is the reversible and mitotically heritable attachment of a methyl group to a nucleotide that could influence gene expression and downstream phenotypes.

Several studies have used cord blood or whole blood to provide good insight into the effects of maternal smoking in pregnancy on DNA methylation signatures in the offspring [5-12]. Two studies showed an association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and methylation at differentially methylated regions regulating the imprinted Insulin-like Growth Factor 2 (*IGF2*) gene in cord blood DNA [5,6]. Furthermore, epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) analyses using the Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (450K) have identified differential DNA methylation in cord blood in genes related to the detoxification of the components of tobacco smoke, developmental processes, nicotine dependence, smoking cessation, placental and embryonic development, cell growth, and regulation of hormone secretion and natriuresis [7-12]. Moreover, several studies have revealed lasting effects of *in utero* exposure to tobacco smoking on offspring DNA methylation features (i.e. *AHRR*, *MYO1G*, *CYP1A1* and *CNTNAP2*) that persist through childhood and adolescence [8,11,13-15].

1  
2  
3  
4 Since DNA methylation profiles are tissue-specific to a large extent, to elucidate the  
5 tissue-specific epigenetic variation in response to prenatal exposures is crucial to  
6 understand mechanisms leading to health effects. Placental methylome may act as a  
7 functional record of *in utero* exposures; however, only few small studies have assessed  
8 the effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on DNA methylation patterns in  
9 human placenta [16-19], an organ that plays a key role in controlling fetal growth and  
10 development. A candidate-gene based study showed that maternal smoking increased  
11 *CYP1A1* expression levels in placenta through DNA methylation changes [16]. Using  
12 the Illumina 27K chip, Suter *et al.* reported altered placental methylation and expression  
13 patterns of genes encoding molecules involved in hypoxia response and oxidative stress  
14 regulating pathways in relation to maternal tobacco smoking, showing that some of the  
15 CpG sites were associated with low birthweight in the offspring [17]. Using the same  
16 methylation platform, Maccani *et al.* reported that placental methylation of a number of  
17 probes within *RUNX3* were associated with smoking during pregnancy, and one of them  
18 was related to decreased gestational age [18]. Lastly, an EWAS investigating DNA  
19 methylation features using the Illumina 450K platform in placental tissue collected at  
20 85-90 days post conception reported hypomethylation of probes in *GTF2H2C* and  
21 *GTF2H2D* locus in relation to nicotine exposure in pregnancy, and demonstrated  
22 enrichment of gene-sets associated with asthma and immune disorders [19].  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 Here, we conducted an EWAS analysis on placental DNA methylation in relation to  
51 maternal tobacco smoking during pregnancy using the Illumina 450K platform. In a  
52 second step, using bisulfite pyrosequencing we validated the results of three top-ranking  
53 novel loci showing differential methylation in smokers and replicated the findings in an  
54 independent study sample. We additionally examined whether placental DNA  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 methylation at identified loci mediates the association of maternal smoking with the  
5  
6  
7 birthweight of the offspring by applying a mediation analysis and a two-sample  
8  
9 Mendelian randomization approach.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only



## Methods

**Study participants.** The present study used data from participants recruited between 2003 and 2008 in the four *de novo* cohorts sited in Asturias, Gipuzkoa, Sabadell, and Valencia of the INfancia y Medio Ambiente (INMA) Project, a population-based mother-child cohort study in Spain [20]. Overall, 2506 mother-child pairs were followed until birth and placental DNA samples were available for 450 of them. In order to maximize the use of the genome-wide methylation data a sub-sample of 179 mother-child pairs with complete datasets in terms of prenatal exposures and health outcomes formed our discovery population. For our replication study, we used data of 248 additional mother-child pairs of the INMA cohort (Supplementary Figure S1). Comparison with eligible participants of the INMA cohort is shown in the Supplementary Table S1. The study was approved by the ethical committees of the centers involved in the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

**Maternal tobacco smoke exposure during pregnancy.** Active maternal smoking during pregnancy was assessed through questionnaires administered face-to-face by trained interviewers in weeks 12 and 32 of pregnancy. The main exposure variable was the classification of maternal tobacco smoking status: “non-smokers during pregnancy” were defined as women reporting no active tobacco smoking at 12 and 32 weeks of pregnancy, and “smokers during pregnancy” those reporting tobacco smoking both at 12 and 32 weeks of pregnancy. Maternal urine cotinine concentration was determined with a competitive enzyme immunoassay in urine samples collected during the third trimester of pregnancy. Sensitivity (0.96) and specificity (0.95) for the cutoff point of 50 ng/mL showed good agreement between self reported smoking and urine cotinine

1  
2  
3  
4 concentration [21]. For further analyses maternal urine cotinine concentration was cut  
5  
6  
7 off in three categories: <50 ng/ml as non-active smoking, 50-2000 ng/ml, and >2000  
8  
9  
10 ng/ml.

11  
12 **Epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) analysis in placenta.** Collected placentas  
13  
14 were stored at -80°C until processing. Biopsies of approximately 5 cm<sup>3</sup> were obtained  
15  
16 from the inner region of the placenta and genomic DNA was isolated using the  
17  
18 DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, CA, USA) (see Supplementary material for  
19  
20 additional details).  
21  
22

23  
24  
25 Genome-wide DNA methylation examination was performed using the Infinium  
26  
27 Human-Methylation450 BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following  
28  
29 manufacturer's recommendations. BeadChips were scanned with an Illumina iScan and  
30  
31 image data was uploaded into the Methylation Module of Illumina's analysis software  
32  
33 GenomeStudio (Illumina, SanDiego, CA USA), and converted in  $\beta$ -values, that range  
34  
35 from 0 (unmethylated) to 1 (fully methylated) and represent the fraction of methylation  
36  
37 at a given CpG site. After data quality control and normalization processes (see  
38  
39 Supplementary material for details), 433,131 probes in 179 samples remained for  
40  
41  
42 further analyses.  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 In the discovery study, multivariable robust linear regression was employed using the R  
48  
49 package, MASS, to test the association between the normalized beta-value at each CpG  
50  
51 as the dependent variable and maternal tobacco smoking during pregnancy (yes vs. no)  
52  
53 as the independent variable. Covariates were selected among a list of potential *a priori*  
54  
55 confounders including area of study, child's sex, gestational age, maternal age, maternal  
56  
57 social class based on occupation, parity, father's tobacco smoking habits, and potential  
58  
59 batch effects (i.e. chip and PCR plate). Information on maternal occupation during  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 pregnancy (based on the Spanish adaptation of the international ISCO88 coding  
5  
6 system), maternal age, parity and fathers' tobacco smoking habits was obtained through  
7  
8 questionnaires. Offspring's sex was obtained from clinical records and gestational age  
9  
10 was calculated by ultrasounds. Covariates included in the final model were selected by  
11  
12 testing the difference of the correlation of p values before and after adjustment for each  
13  
14 variable using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. False discovery rate (FDR)-corrected p-  
15  
16 values were determined according to the method of Benjamini and Hochberg [22].  
17  
18  
19 Further details can be found in the Supplementary material.  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 **Validation and replication study of top-ranking loci.** We performed validation and  
25  
26 replication analyses for four maternal-smoking-associated CpG sites located in the three  
27  
28 top-ranking genes based on the results of our EWAS analysis and considering the  
29  
30 magnitude of the observed methylation difference: cg27402634 located between  
31  
32 *LINC00086* and *LEKRI* genes, cg20340720 at *WBP1L*, and cg12294026 and  
33  
34 cg25585967 at *TRIO*. Pyrosequencing assays were designed using the PyroMark Q96  
35  
36 ID pyrosequencing system (Qiagen, Germany). Bisulfite-DNA amplification and  
37  
38 sequencing was performed in duplicate using the primers and assay conditions in  
39  
40 Supplementary Table S2. Fully methylated and fully unmethylated control samples  
41  
42 were included in all experiments. Adjusted mixed linear regression models were run  
43  
44 including repeated pyrosequencing measurements as random intercept. Here, individual  
45  
46 random effects were specified to take into account the correlation between replicates  
47  
48 from the same individual and the information of the working PCR plate. All statistical  
49  
50 analyses were performed using the R statistical package (R Foundation for Statistical  
51  
52 Computing, Vienna, Austria).  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **Annotation and enrichment and networks analyses.** After annotation of top-ranking  
6 differentially methylated CpG sites, gene-set enrichment analyses were performed with  
7 the ConsensusPathDB program [23]. Functional networks were investigated with the  
8 Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) [24] (see the  
9 Supplementary material for further details).  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 **Mediation analysis.** We tested the role of methylation changes at the top-ranking CpGs  
18 validated by pyrosequencing assay in mediating the association between maternal  
19 smoking during pregnancy and birthweight of the offspring, recorded by trainee  
20 midwives at delivery, using the method of Baron and Kenny [25], and the Sobel test  
21 [26].  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 **Causal inference approaches.** Two approaches were applied to infer causality: 1)  
31 considering paternal smoking as a negative control exposure for the association between  
32 maternal smoking and DNA methylation in placenta [27]; and 2) a two-sample  
33 Mendelian randomization (MR) approach to evaluate the causal effect of placenta DNA  
34 methylation changes on birthweight [28]. Maternal urine cotinine levels in non-smokers  
35 during pregnancy did not differ according to paternal smoking (mean 7.8 ng/ml among  
36 women of non-smokers vs. 11.8 ng/ml among women of smokers), which indicates that  
37 paternal smoking can be used as a negative control exposure. For a two-sample  
38 Mendelian randomization analysis, first, we looked for methylaton quantitative trait  
39 locus (meQTLs) for the top-ranked CpG site (cg27402634) in the present study; and  
40 then, we analyzed their association with birthweight z-score in an independent dataset  
41 from the Early Growth Genetics (EGG) consortium (<http://egg-consortium.org/birth-weight.html>). A Mendelian randomization analysis was performed using a likelihood  
42 test for summarized data of uncorrelated genetic variants and samples [29] including  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 MR-Egger regression to assess potential pleiotropy [30] (see more details in the  
5  
6  
7 Supplementary material).  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only

## Results

Characteristics of the discovery and replication study populations are shown in Table 1. Smoking mothers more often had lower social class and fathers of children of smoking mothers more often smoked. Offspring of smoking mothers included in the discovery sample had lower birthweight, although difference did not reach statistical significance in the replication sample.

EWAS results from the robust linear regression for 431,311 CpGs across the genome in 179 placental samples are shown in the Supplementary Figure S2. This revealed fifty CpGs, representing forty-six loci, differentially methylated in smokers compared to non-smokers during pregnancy (FDR < 0.05) (Supplementary Table S3). Among these fifty CpGs, fourteen showed a difference in methylation >5%; of which 8 were hypomethylated and 6 were hypermethylated in smokers in comparison to non-smokers (Table 2).

We took forward four probes at the three top-ranking loci for validation and replication by bisulfite pyrosequencing. All of them showed effect estimates for maternal smoking consistent across the discovery and the replication study populations (Table 3).

Methylation levels at cg27402634 (located between *LINC00086* and *LEKRI*) and cg20340720 (*WBP1L*) decreased in smokers during pregnancy by 9.3% and 8.7% , respectively (p value <  $2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Methylation levels at cg25585967 and cg12294026 (*TRIO*) increased among smokers in pregnancy by 6.8% and 7.4%, respectively (p value <  $2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Consistently, we found a tendency of cg27402634 and cg20340720 methylation to decrease with increasing levels of maternal urine cotinine in pregnancy, and a tendency of cg25585967 and cg12294026 methylation to increase with increasing levels of maternal urine cotinine (Figure 1).

1  
2  
3  
4 Associations between maternal smoking and DNA methylation changes at top-ranked  
5 CpGs remained essentially the same after further adjustment for paternal smoking  
6  
7 (Supplementary Table S4), while estimates for paternal smoking were generally weaker  
8  
9 than those for maternal smoking, and were not robustly associated with DNA  
10  
11 methylation changes, except for cg20340720.  
12  
13  
14

15  
16  
17 Next, we tested the top-ranking CpGs for mediation in the association between maternal  
18 smoking during pregnancy and birthweight of the offspring. Both cg27402634 (between  
19 *LINC00086* and *LEKRI*) and cg25585967 (*TRIO*) showed mediation with Sobel test p  
20 value <0.05 in the discovery sample, whereas the other two tested CpGs did not (Table  
21 4). Differential methylation at cg27402634 and cg25585967 explained 36.5% and 5.1%  
22 of the 314g lower birthweight in offspring of smoking mothers, respectively. Although  
23 attenuated, similar results were observed using methylation data obtained from bisulfite  
24 pyrosequencing assays (Supplementary Table S5). However, these results could not be  
25 confirmed in the replication sample.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 Five meQTLs for cg27402634 were identified in placenta. Two of them were associated  
40 with birthweight (p value<0.05) according to summarized GWAS data from the EGG  
41 consortium (Supplementary Table S6). The effect of DNA methylation levels at  
42 cg27402634 on birthweight was estimated using a full set of 5 tag SNPs, and the two  
43 closest tag SNPs surrounding cg27402634. We found that the causal estimate for 1%  
44 increase in methylation at cg27402634 on birthweight z-score was a 0.007 increase (95%  
45 CI: 0.002, 0.011) (Table 5 and Supplementary Figure S3). Moreover, since there was  
46 some heterogeneity in the effects of the SNPs on birthweight and on DNA methylation  
47 (Supplementary Figure 3), we performed MR-Egger regression. The intercept of MR-  
48 Egger regression was practically null [intercept (95%CI): -0.006 (-0.093; 0.080)] (Table  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 5), suggesting that if any there was balanced pleiotropy. The estimate of MR-Egger  
6  
7 regression was 0.006 (-0.016; 0.028), and although with broader CI, it was similar to the  
8  
9 causal estimate calculated with the LHR test (Table 5).  
10

11  
12 Genes annotated either upstream, downstream or at CpGs showing a FDR <0.05 were  
13  
14 enriched for pathways related to signaling by growth factors (EGFR, ERBB2, FGFR,  
15  
16 PDGF and NGF), inflammation and oxidative stress, phagocytosis, peroxisomal  
17  
18 metabolism and myometrial relaxation and contraction according to ConsensusPath  
19  
20 (Supplementary Table S7). Although none of the Gene Ontology terms for biological  
21  
22 processes showed an association at FDR threshold; some of them were related to  
23  
24 placental development and stress (response to alcohol and hypoxia) (Supplementary  
25  
26 Table S8). Our analysis showed less relevant pathways or biological functions when  
27  
28 only CpGs annotated in genes were included (Supplementary Tables S9 and S10).  
29  
30 Finally, we investigated protein-protein interaction network using STRING  
31  
32 (Supplementary Figure S4). PDE7B, ADCY7, PRKCE and PLCG2 proteins were found  
33  
34 to be interconnected and three of them (ADCY7, PRKCE and PLCG2) mapped in the  
35  
36 Myometrial Relaxation and Contraction Wikipathway.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## Discussion

We investigated the effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on DNA methylation signatures in placenta, a target organ controlling fetal growth and development. Our EWAS analysis revealed fifty CpGs, representing forty-six loci, differentially methylated in smokers compared to non-smokers (FDR < 0.05). Fourteen of these loci showed a difference in methylation levels greater than 5%. Furthermore, we validated and replicated by bisulfite pyrosequencing the association between maternal smoking in pregnancy and placental methylation of three top-ranking loci (between *LINC00086* and *LEKR1*, *WBP1L* and *TRIO*), and confirmed dose-response relationships with maternal urine cotinine levels during pregnancy. Moreover, the use of paternal smoking as a negative control exposure supported an intrauterine effect of maternal smoking on DNA methylation changes in placenta. In addition, results from a two-sample Mendelian randomization study suggested a causal effect between decreases in placental methylation levels at cg27402634 and lower birthweight in the offspring. Finally, enrichment analysis pointed towards several functional pathways including signaling by growth factors, inflammation, oxidative stress, peroxisomal metabolism, and myometrial relaxation and contraction. To our knowledge this is the largest study assessing the effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on DNA methylation patterns in placenta at epigenome-wide scale including a rigorous validation and replication of the main findings.

Among the fourteen CpGs showing a differential methylation greater than 5% in relation to maternal smoking in pregnancy we found some genes previously related to birthweight, asthma, type II diabetes, central nervous system disorders and some types of cancer (Supplementary Table S11). Interestingly, most of the identified CpGs have

1  
2  
3  
4 shown interactions with tobacco chemical compounds such as tetrachlorodibenzodioxin,  
5  
6 several benzo(a)pyrenes and cotinine (Supplementary Table S11), strengthening our  
7  
8 results. In accordance, enrichment analysis showed several functional pathways  
9  
10 potentially related to adverse effects of toxic compounds (including tobacco smoke)  
11  
12 such as inflammation, oxidative stress and peroxisomal metabolism.  
13  
14

15  
16  
17 Our most remarkable finding was lower placental methylation at cg27402634 in relation  
18  
19 to both self-reported and cotinine-based evidence of maternal smoking exposure.  
20

21  
22 Cg27402634 is located in a DNase hypersensitivity region that acts as a weak promoter  
23  
24 between *LINC00086* and *LEKR1* (*Leucine, Glutamate and Lysine Rich 1*) genes, and  
25  
26 showed no correlation with methylation levels at surroundings CpGs (Supplementary  
27  
28 Figure S5). Moreover, differential methylation at cg27402634 mediated the association  
29  
30 of maternal smoking during pregnancy and lower offspring birthweight. Interestingly,  
31  
32 genetic variation downstream *LEKR1* has been previously linked to fetal growth, lower  
33  
34 birthweight and placental weight [31,32], and increased child adiposity at birth [33].  
35  
36

37  
38 Moreover, we used meQTLs as instrumental variables to assess the causal effect of  
39  
40 methylation changes at cg27402634 on birthweight. We found that birthweight  
41  
42 increased 12.98 grams per 1% increase in DNA methylation at cg27402634 site. The  
43  
44 causal estimate from the MR analysis was 0.007 SD units of birthweight z-score per 1%  
45  
46 increase in methylation levels, which is equivalent to 3.36 grams according to  
47  
48 equivalences between z-score birthweight and grams [32,34]. This means that the  
49  
50 estimate from the observational study (an increase of 12.98 grams of birthweight per  
51  
52 1% increase in methylation) is four times higher than the causal estimate obtained from  
53  
54 the Mendelian randomization approach.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 We also validated the findings of two CpGs in *TRIO* (*Trio Rho Guanine Nucleotide*  
5 *Exchange Factor*). Methylation levels at these CpGs were correlated (Supplementary  
6  
7 Figure S6) and mediated in part the association between maternal smoking and  
8  
9 birthweight in the discovery sample. Furthermore, *TRIO* has been reported to interact  
10  
11 with benzo(a)pyrenes resulting in decreased gene expression [35,36], which is in  
12  
13 accordance with an increased methylation levels in relation to maternal smoking  
14  
15 exposure found in the present study.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 Differential methylation at cg20340720 located in intron 1 of *WBP1L* (*Domain Binding*  
23 *Protein 1-Like*) in relation to maternal smoking was also validated and replicated.  
24  
25 Methylation levels at this CpG site were not correlated with nearby positions  
26  
27 (Supplementary Figure S7). We did not find evidence that methylation at cg20340720  
28  
29 mediates the association between maternal smoking in pregnancy and offspring  
30  
31 birthweight.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Furthermore, we identified several pathways involved in growth factor signaling and  
38  
39 four of our top-ranking loci (*ADCY7*, *GUCA2B*, *PRKCE* and *PLCG2*) were mapped to a  
40  
41 pathway related to myometrial transition to labor in mouse [37]. *ADCY7* and *GLUC2B*  
42  
43 are involved in maintaining myometrial relaxation during pregnancy and are  
44  
45 hypermethylated in placentas of smoker mothers, while *PRKCE* and *PLCG2* participate  
46  
47 in the activation of labor work. Tobacco smoking during pregnancy is associated with  
48  
49 shorter pregnancies [1], and smoking seems to increase oxytocin sensitivity of pregnant  
50  
51 myometrium [38]. Whether alterations in these genes in response to tobacco smoking  
52  
53 play a similar function in placenta, which is derived from the endometrium, needs  
54  
55 further investigation.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 In addition, we compared our results with previous findings from studies investigating  
5 DNA methylation changes at epigenome-wide scale in relation to maternal smoking in  
6 pregnancy. We identified 10 studies reporting in total 440 unique CpGs differentially  
7 methylated (Supplementary Table S12), and 172 of them were identified in placental  
8 tissue. We did not detect overlap between smoking-sensitive CpGs reported in the  
9 literature in blood and placental tissue. Thirty-three out of 404 CpGs covered in our  
10 study were associated with maternal smoking at a p value <0.05, and 18 showed an  
11 association in the same direction as previously reported (Supplementary Table S12).  
12  
13 The following genes were replicated in our study at p value < 0.05 in the same direction  
14 in at least one assessed CpG: *AHRR*, *COX6CP4*, *GFII*, *HBM* (reported only in  
15 placenta), *HLA-DPB2*, *MESPI*, *OR8B9P*, *PLCL2*, *PXN*, *SSH1*, *TPM3P2*, *TRIM59*, and  
16 *ZFP62*. Three additional CpG sites previously reported in placenta (i.e. *VAMP8*,  
17 *CCDC64* and *DDR2*) were also identified in our study, but we could not check the  
18 direction of the association. Curiously, *CYP11A1* was hypomethylated in smokers in the  
19 present study in accordance with a previous study in placenta [16], while it has been  
20 reported to be hypermethylated in blood of the offspring of smokers [9,10,11].  
21  
22 We also evaluated the association of maternal tobacco smoking with placental  
23 methylation at six genes previously reported to account for 78% of the variance in  
24 birthweight [39]. *PGRMC1* was not recovered in the present study. Ten out of the 184  
25 CpG sites evaluated showed differential methylation in relation to maternal tobacco  
26 smoking at a p value <0.05, but none of them survived after correction for multiple  
27 testing (Supplementary Table S13). All the investigated genes, except *RGS14*, showed  
28 differential methylation at a p value <0.05 in at least one CpG site in relation to  
29 maternal smoking.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Reasons for differences between studies include the limitations of comparing the 27K  
5 and 450K Illumina platforms, spurious findings due to small sample sizes, and more  
6 likely the use of different target tissues. It is plausible that to a large extent altered  
7 methylation patterns in response to prenatal tobacco smoke exposure is quite tissue-  
8 specific, differing between placental tissue and blood collected at different lifetime  
9 points. In this sense, the top-ranking differentially methylated CpG in our study, located  
10 close to a *locus* previously reported to be associated with birthweight in GWAS studies,  
11 has not been previously reported in any of the EWAS performed in blood DNA, which  
12 highlights the importance of the target tissue in environmental epigenetic studies.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 Strengths of our study include the use of placental tissue to assess DNA methylation as  
27 a potential mediator of adverse effects of maternal tobacco during pregnancy on  
28 offspring birthweight. Secondly, the application of the Infinium 450K BeadChip  
29 technology to assess genome-wide methylation profiles, which offers greatly improved  
30 genomic coverage over the earlier 27K platform. Third, findings of the three top-  
31 ranking loci were validated by bisulfite pyrosequencing, the gold standard technique to  
32 quantify DNA methylation levels at single-nucleotide resolution [40]; and moreover,  
33 results were replicated in an independent population. Fourth, we confirmed dose-  
34 response relationships between methylation levels of CpGs and maternal urine cotinine  
35 levels in pregnancy, a well-validated biomarker for tobacco smoke. Finally, we took  
36 into account in the analyses the potential effect of paternal tobacco smoking on  
37 placental methylation and demonstrated consistently stronger maternal associations,  
38 providing further evidence for intra-uterine effects in three out of the four top-ranked  
39 hits. Although attenuated, paternal smoking remained associated with methylation  
40 levels at cg20340720 after adjustment for maternal smoking. This could be explained by  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 residual confounding by shared familiar environmental factors and/or by genetic factors.  
5  
6  
7 Nevertheless, mutually-adjusted models supported an intrauterine effect of maternal  
8  
9 smoking on lower DNA methylation levels at cg27402634.  
10  
11

12 The study has also some limitations. Our discovery study was based on a reduced  
13  
14 sample size of smokers (n=28), which may limit the power to detect methylation  
15  
16 differences in additional regions of the genome and increase chances for false positive  
17  
18 and false negative findings. We cannot rule out potential bias due to cell type mixture in  
19  
20 placental tissue or maternal contamination. Moreover, only one biopsy per sample was  
21  
22 done for DNA extraction, which could have not accounted for potential regional  
23  
24 variation in placental DNA methylation; however, some evidence suggests that this  
25  
26 would account for a minor source of variation in placenta tissue [41]. In addition,  
27  
28 findings of the mediation analysis could not be replicated as maternal smoking was not  
29  
30 associated with offspring birthweight in the replication sample. Finally, the results of  
31  
32 the Mendelian randomization approach should be taken with caution because the  
33  
34 instrumental variables (meQTLs) were generated within our own dataset, which could  
35  
36 result in bias of the causal estimate. Unfortunately we are not aware of previous studies  
37  
38 describing meQTLs in placenta. Moreover, pleiotropic effects of meQTLs on  
39  
40 birthweight cannot be completely ruled out. If present, meQTLs could have a direct  
41  
42 effect on birthweight resulting into changes in DNA methylation levels (reverse  
43  
44 causation).  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53 In conclusion, we identified novel loci differentially methylated in placenta in relation  
54  
55 to maternal smoking during pregnancy. Findings of the three top-ranking loci were  
56  
57 validated and replicated by bisulfite pyrosequencing. We found suggestive evidence that  
58  
59 intrauterine exposure to maternal tobacco smoking decreases methylation levels at  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 cg27402634 (between *LINC00086* and *LEKR1*), which leads to lower birthweight of the  
5  
6  
7 offspring. Our results indicate that maternal smoking during pregnancy impacts specific  
8  
9 placental methylation profiles and highlight the importance of the target tissue in  
10  
11 epigenetic studies. The investigation of the mechanistic roles that the identified  
12  
13 differentially methylated loci may play in mediating the association between maternal  
14  
15 smoking during pregnancy and offspring phenotypes later in life is warranted.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **Figure legends**  
6  
7

8 **Figure 1.** Relationship between maternal urine cotinine concentration (ng/ml) during  
9 pregnancy and placental methylation levels at top-ranking CpGs.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only



## Declaration of interests

We declare that we have no competing interests.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would particularly like to thank all the participants for their generous collaboration. A full roster of the INMA Project Investigators can be found at [http://www.proyectoinma.org/presentacion-inma/listado-investigadores/en\\_listado-investigadores.html](http://www.proyectoinma.org/presentacion-inma/listado-investigadores/en_listado-investigadores.html).

## Funding

This study was supported by grants from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III and Spanish Ministry of Health (Red INMA G03/176; CB06/02/0041; FIS 97/0588; 00/0021-2, PI061756; PS0901958; FIS-FEDER 03/1615, 04/1509, 04/1112, 04/1931 , 05/1079, 05/1052, 06/1213, 07/0314, 09/02647, 11/0178, 11/01007, 11/02591, 11/02038, 13/1944, 13/2032, 14/0891, and 14/1687; Miguel Servet-FEDER CP15/00025; FIS-PI041436, FIS- PI081151, FIS-PI06/0867, FIS-PS09/00090, FIS-FEDER PI11/0610, and FIS-FEDER PI11/010007), FISS-PI042018, FISS-PI0902311, Fundació La Marató de TV3 (Project No. 090430), EC Contract No. QLK4-CT-2000-00263, Universidad de Oviedo, Conselleria de Sanitat Generalitat Valenciana, Generalitat de Catalunya-CIRIT 1999SGR 00241, the Department of Health of the Basque Government (2005111093 and 2009111069), the Provincial Government of Gipuzkoa (DFG06/004 and DFG08/001), and Fundación Roger Torné. EM is supported by a *Miguel Servet* Research Grant (CP14/00046) from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid (Spain). We also acknowledge support of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa 2013-2017, SEV-2012-0208. The

1  
2  
3  
4 funding organizations and sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study;  
5  
6  
7 the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; the preparation,  
8  
9  
10 review, or approval of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for  
11  
12 publication.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only

## References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Tobacco Use and Pregnancy*. 2013.  
<http://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/TobaccoUsePregnancy/> (2 July 2015, date last accessed).
2. Burke H, Leonardi-Bee J, Hashim A *et al*. Prenatal and passive smoke exposure and incidence of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2012;**129**:735–44.
3. Cupul-Uicab LA, Skjaerven R, Haug K, *et al*. In utero exposure to maternal tobacco smoke and subsequent obesity, hypertension, and gestational diabetes among women in the MoBa cohort. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;**120**:355–60.
4. Feil R, Fraga MF. Epigenetics and the environment: emerging patterns and implications. *Nat Rev Genet* 2012;**13**:97–109.
5. Soubry A, Murphy S, Huang Z *et al*. The effects of depression and use of antidepressive medicines during pregnancy on the methylation status of the IGF2 imprinted control regions in the offspring. *Clin Epigenetics* 2011;**3**:2.
6. Murphy SK, Adigun A, Huang Z *et al*. Gender-specific methylation differences in relation to prenatal exposure to cigarette smoke. *Gene* 2012;**494**:36–43.
7. Joubert BR, Håberg SE, Nilsen RM *et al*. 450K epigenome-wide scan identifies differential DNA methylation in newborns related to maternal smoking during pregnancy. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;**120**:1425–31.
8. Lee KW, Richmond R, Hu P *et al*. Prenatal exposure to maternal cigarette smoking and DNA methylation: epigenome-wide association in a discovery sample of adolescents and replication in an independent cohort at birth through 17 years of age. *Environ Health Perspect* 2015;**123**:193–9.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
9. Markunas CA, Xu Z, Harlid S *et al.* Identification of DNA Methylation Changes in Newborns Related to Maternal Smoking during Pregnancy. *Environ Health Perspect* 2014;**122**:147–53.
10. Küpers LK, Xu X, Jankipersadsing SA *et al.* DNA methylation mediates the effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on birthweight of the offspring. *Int J Epidemiol* 2015;**44**:1224–37.
11. Richmond RC, Simpkin AJ, Woodward G *et al.* Prenatal exposure to maternal smoking and offspring DNA methylation across the lifecourse: findings from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). *Hum Mol Genet* 2015;**24**:2201–17.
12. Ivorra C, Fraga MF, Bayón GF *et al.* DNA methylation patterns in newborns exposed to tobacco in utero. *J Transl Med* 2015;**13**:25.
13. Breton CV, Salam MT, Gilliland FD. Heritability and role for the environment in DNA methylation in AXL receptor tyrosine kinase. *Epigenetics* 2011;**6**:895–8.
14. Breton CV, Byun HM, Wenten M, *et al.* Prenatal tobacco smoke exposure affects global and gene-specific DNA methylation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;**180**:462–7.
15. Breton CV, Siegmund KD, Joubert BR *et al.* Prenatal tobacco smoke exposure is associated with childhood DNA CpG methylation. *PLoS One* 2014;**9**:e99716.
16. Suter M, Abramovici A, Showalter L *et al.* In utero tobacco exposure epigenetically modifies placental CYP1A1 expression. *Metabolism* 2010;**59**:1481–90.
17. Suter M, Ma J, Harris A *et al.* Maternal tobacco use modestly alters correlated epigenome-wide placental DNA methylation and gene expression. *Epigenetics* 2011;**6**:1284–94.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 18. Maccani JZ, Koestler DC, Houseman EA, Marsit CJ, Kelsey KT. Placental DNA  
7  
8 methylation alterations associated with maternal tobacco smoking at the RUNX3 gene  
9  
10 are also associated with gestational age. *Epigenomics* 2013;**5**:619–30.  
11  
12 19. Chhabra D, Sharma S, Kho AT *et al.* Fetal lung and placental methylation is  
13  
14 associated with in utero nicotine exposure. *Epigenetics* 2014;**9**:1473–84.  
15  
16 20. Guxens M, Ballester F, Espada M *et al.* Cohort Profile: the INMA--Infancia y  
17  
18 Medio Ambiente--(Environment and Childhood) Project. *Int J Epidemiol* 2012;**41**:930–  
19  
20 40.  
21  
22 21. Aurekoetxea JJ, Murcia M, Rebagliato M *et al.* Determinants of self-reported  
23  
24 smoking and misclassification during pregnancy, and analysis of optimal cut-off points  
25  
26 for urinary cotinine: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2013;**3**(1). pii:e002034.  
27  
28 22. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and  
29  
30 powerful approach to multiple testing. *J Roy Statist Soc Ser B* 1995;**57**:289–300.  
31  
32 23. Kamburov A, Stelzl U, Lehrach H, Herwig R. The ConsensusPathDB interaction  
33  
34 database: 2013 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;**41**(Database issue):D793–800.  
35  
36 24. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S *et al.* STRING v10: protein-protein  
37  
38 interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res*  
39  
40 2015;**43**(Database issue):D447–52.  
41  
42 25. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social  
43  
44 psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc*  
45  
46 *Psychol* 1986;**51**:1173–82.  
47  
48 26. Soper DS. Sobel Test Calculator for the Significance of Mediation. 2013.  
49  
50 <http://www.danielsoper.com/statcalc> (14 July 2015, date last accessed).  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 27. Taylor AE, Davey Smith G, Bares CB, Edwards AC, Munafò MR. Partner smoking  
7  
8 and maternal cotinine during pregnancy: implications for negative control methods.  
9  
10 *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;**139**:159–63.  
11  
12 28. Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal  
13  
14 inference in epidemiological studies. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014;**23**:R89–98.  
15  
16 29. Burgess S, Scott RA, Timpson NJ, Davey Smith G, Thompson SG; EPIC- InterAct  
17  
18 Consortium. Using published data in Mendelian randomization: a blueprint for efficient  
19  
20 identification of causal risk factors. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2015;**30**:543–52.  
21  
22 30. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid  
23  
24 instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J*  
25  
26 *Epidemiol* 2015;**44**:512-25.  
27  
28 31. Mook-Kanamori DO, Marsh JA, Warrington NM *et al.* Variants near  
29  
30 CCNL1/LEKR1 and in ADCY5 and fetal growth characteristics in different trimesters.  
31  
32 *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;**96**:E810–5.  
33  
34 32. Horikoshi M, Yaghoobkar H, Mook-Kanamori DO *et al.* New loci associated with  
35  
36 birth weight identify genetic links between intrauterine growth and adult height and  
37  
38 metabolism. *Nat Genet* 2013;**45**:76–82.  
39  
40 33. Urbanek M, Hayes MG, Armstrong LL *et al.* The chromosome 3q25 genomic  
41  
42 region is associated with measures of adiposity in newborns in a multi-ethnic genome-  
43  
44 wide association study. *Hum Mol Genet* 2013;**22**:3583–96.  
45  
46 34. Freathy RM, et al. Variants in ADCY5 and near CCNL1 are associated with fetal  
47  
48 growth and birth weight. *Nat Genet* 2010;**42**:430-5.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 35. Sparfel L, Pinel-Marie ML, Boize M *et al.* Transcriptional signature of human  
7  
8 macrophages exposed to the environmental contaminant benzo(a)pyrene. *Toxicol Sci*  
9  
10 2010;**114**:247–59.  
11  
12  
13 36. Lizarraga D, Gaj S, Brauers KJ, Timmermans L, Kleijnans JC, van Delft JH.  
14  
15 Benzo[a]pyrene-induced changes in microRNA-mRNA networks. *Chem Res Toxicol*  
16  
17 2012;**25**:838–49.  
18  
19  
20 37. Salomonis N, Cotte N, Zambon AC *et al.* Identifying genetic networks underlying  
21  
22 myometrial transition to labor. *Genome Biol* 2005;**6**:R12.  
23  
24  
25 38. Kanamori C, Yasuda K, Sumi G *et al.* Effect of cigarette smoking on mRNA and  
26  
27 protein levels of oxytocin receptor and on contractile sensitivity of uterine myometrium  
28  
29 to oxytocin in pregnant women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2014;**178**:142–7.  
30  
31  
32 39. Turan N, Ghalwash MF, Katari S, Coutifaris C, Obradovic Z, Sapienza C. DNA  
33  
34 methylation differences at growth related genes correlate with birth weight: a molecular  
35  
36 signature linked to developmental origins of adult disease? *BMC Med Genomics*  
37  
38 2012;**5**:10.  
39  
40  
41 40. Tost J, Gut IG. DNA methylation analysis by pyrosequencing. *Nat Protoc* 2007;  
42  
43 **2**:2265–75.  
44  
45  
46 41. Vilahur N, Baccarelli AA, Bustamante M *et al.* Storage conditions and stability of  
47  
48 global DNA methylation in placental tissue. *Epigenomics* 2013;**5**:341–48.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1.** Characteristics of children unexposed and exposed to maternal smoking during pregnancy in study populations.

	Discovery sample (n=179)			Replication sample (n=248)		
	Unexposed <sup>1</sup> (n=151)	Exposed <sup>2</sup> (n=28)	p value <sup>3</sup>	Unexposed <sup>1</sup> (n=208)	Exposed <sup>2</sup> (n=40)	p value <sup>3</sup>
<b>Area of study</b>						
Valencia	17 (11.3)	3 (10.7)	0.672	39 (18.8)	13 (32.5)	0.182
Sabadell	54 (35.8)	12 (42.9)		76 (36.5)	13 (32.5)	
Gipuzkoa	55 (36.4)	7 (25.0)		86 (41.3)	14 (35.0)	
Asturias	25 (16.6)	6 (21.4)		7 (3.4)	0 (0.0)	
<b>Maternal age (years)</b>	31.1 (3.9)	30.8 (4.4)	0.691	30.9 (4.0)	30.3 (4.2)	0.442
<b>Maternal social class</b>						
I-II (Managers//technicians)	40 (26.5)	4 (14.3)	0.249	56 (26.9)	3 (7.5)	0.004
III (Skilled)	48 (31.8)	8 (28.6)		56 (26.9)	8 (20.0)	
IV-V (Semiskilled/unskilled)	63 (41.7)	16 (57.1)		96 (46.2)	29 (72.5)	
<b>Parity</b>						
0	91 (60.3)	14 (50.0)	0.421	112 (53.8)	26 (65.0)	0.259
≥1	60 (39.7)	14 (50.0)		96 (46.2)	14 (35.0)	
<b>Maternal urine cotinine in pregnancy, ng/ml</b>	2.8 (2.8, 11.0)	1828.9 (946.9, 3020.2)	<0.001 <sup>4</sup>	4.5 (2.8, 12.8)	2055.4 (1014.2, 3103.5)	<0.001 <sup>4</sup>
<50 ng/ml	136 (97.8)	2 (8.0)	<0.001	187 (96.4)	0 (0.0)	<0.001
50-2000 ng/ml	3 (2.2)	11 (44.0)		6 (3.1)	18 (48.6)	
>2000 ng/ml	0.0	12 (48.0)		1 (0.5)	19 (51.4)	
<b>Father smoking (yes)</b>	33 (21.9)	17 (63.0)	<0.001	68 (32.7)	25 (62.5)	<0.001
<b>Child sex (male)</b>	74 (49.0)	19 (67.9)	0.104	109 (52.4)	19 (47.5)	0.692
<b>Gestational age (weeks)</b>	39.6 (1.3)	39.8 (1.2)	0.534	39.7 (1.3)	39.8 (1.2)	0.465
<b>Birthweight (g)</b>	3344 (408)	3100 (356)	0.003	3271 (456)	3185 (424)	0.282

Data shown as % or mean ± (sd). Except for maternal urine cotinine: median (interquartile range, p25-p75).

<sup>1</sup>Women reporting no tobacco smoking at 12 and 32 weeks of pregnancy.

<sup>2</sup>Women reporting tobacco smoking at 12 and 32 weeks of pregnancy (“sustained smoking”).

<sup>3</sup>Otherwise indicated, p values are given for independent samples t-test (continuous) or chi-square test (categorical).

<sup>4</sup>p value for Kruskal-Wallis test.



**Table 2.** Top fourteen loci with methylation difference > 5% at FDR < 0.05 in placenta in relation to maternal smoking during pregnancy, sorted by p value.

CpG site	Chr: position <sup>1</sup>	Gene or nearest genes at 3' and 5'	Diff. <sup>2</sup>	p value	q value <sup>3</sup>	Mean (se) methylation (%)	
						Non-smokers	Smokers
cg27402634	3: 156536860	<i>LINC00086;LEKRI</i>	-16.7	2.70x10 <sup>-28</sup>	1.20x10 <sup>-22</sup>	79.3 (1.0)	62.6 (1.2)
cg20340720	10: 104512524	<i>WBP1L</i>	-6.7	1.80x10 <sup>-11</sup>	3.90x10 <sup>-06</sup>	60.4 (0.9)	53.6 (1.0)
cg25585967	5: 14452105	<i>TRIO</i>	5.9	5.50x10 <sup>-10</sup>	7.90x10 <sup>-05</sup>	70.9 (0.8)	76.8 (0.1)
cg26843110	15: 74935742	<i>EDC3</i>	-5.9	1.10x10 <sup>-09</sup>	1.20x10 <sup>-04</sup>	67.4 (0.7)	61.6 (0.1)
cg17823829	1: 202765755	<i>KDM5B</i>	7.7	3.80x10 <sup>-09</sup>	2.70x10 <sup>-04</sup>	68.2 (1.2)	75.8 (1.4)
cg12291408	7: 100037572	<i>PPP1R35;C7orf61</i>	-6.1	1.30x10 <sup>-08</sup>	7.80x10 <sup>-04</sup>	53.8 (1.0)	47.7 (1.1)
cg25589945	2: 46429384	<i>PRKCE;EPAS1</i>	-5.3	1.60x10 <sup>-07</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>-03</sup>	62.9 (0.8)	57.6 (1.0)
cg14044375	2: 232085909	<i>ARMC9</i>	-5.4	1.60x10 <sup>-07</sup>	4.70x10 <sup>-03</sup>	64.5 (0.9)	59.1 (1.1)
cg03978169	6: 33091358	<i>HLA-DPB2</i>	-8.3	4.50x10 <sup>-07</sup>	9.80x10 <sup>-03</sup>	55.7 (1.7)	47.4 (1.9)
cg15205441	10: 126782358	<i>CTBP2</i>	6.4	7.90x10 <sup>-07</sup>	0.016	47.9 (1.2)	54.4 (1.4)
cg10090414	8: 142309653	<i>SLC45A4;LINC01300</i>	6.9	1.50x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.027	33.2 (1.5)	40.1 (1.7)
cg26223797	5: 54131695	<i>LOC102467080;ESM1</i>	6.2	1.70x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.028	49.9 (1.2)	56.0 (1.4)
cg08692423	21: 45148865	<i>PDXX</i>	5.6	2.50x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.037	22.8 (1.0)	28.4 (1.2)
cg16044379	11: 13980927	<i>FARI;SPON1</i>	-7.2	5.20x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.049	79.0 (1.5)	71.8 (1.8)

<sup>1</sup>Chromosome and position based on USCC Genome Browser (hg19); <sup>2</sup>Difference in the Illumina beta value between smokers and non-smokers expressed as percentage; <sup>3</sup>False Discovery Rate (FDR). All models adjusted for area of study, child's sex, maternal age, maternal social class, parity, chip and plate.

**Table 3.** Placental DNA methylation difference\* (%) in smokers vs. non-smokers during pregnancy at top-ranking CpGs obtained using bisulfite pyrosequencing.

CpG	Discovery sample		Replication sample		Pooled	
	Diff. (se)	p value	Diff. (se)	p value	Diff. (se)	p value
cg27402634	-10.0 (1.8)	9.22x10 <sup>-08</sup>	-13.9 (1.4)	< 2x10 <sup>-16</sup>	-9.3 (1.0)	< 2x10 <sup>-16</sup>
cg20340720	-6.6 (1.2)	9.62x10 <sup>-08</sup>	-11.9 (1.1)	< 2x10 <sup>-16</sup>	-8.7 (0.7)	< 2x10 <sup>-16</sup>
cg25585967	7.6 (1.0)	2.35x10 <sup>-12</sup>	6.0 (0.7)	4.44x10 <sup>-16</sup>	6.8 (0.6)	< 2x10 <sup>-16</sup>
cg12294026	7.5 (1.3)	4.39x10 <sup>-08</sup>	5.5 (0.7)	9.77x10 <sup>-15</sup>	7.4 (0.7)	< 2x10 <sup>-16</sup>

\*Estimated using mixed linear regression models adjusted for area of study, child's sex, maternal age, maternal social class, parity, and plate.

For Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

**Table 4.** Mediation analysis examining the association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and birthweight of the offspring through differential placental methylation at CpGs (discovery sample).

	<b>Bc</b>	<b>SEc</b>	<b>Pc</b>	<b>R-square</b>			
<b>BW= maternal smoking + covariates</b>	-314.0	78.1	8.78x10 <sup>-05</sup>	0.247			
<b>BW= maternal smoking + CpG + covariates</b>	<b>Bc'</b>	<b>Bb</b>	<b>SEb</b>	<b>Pb</b>	<b>Diff. betas (Bc-Bc') g</b>	<b>Mediation % ((Bc-Bc')/Bc)</b>	<b>Sobel p value</b>
cg27402634	-199.5	1298.4	328.3	0.0001	-114.5	36.5	0.0002
cg20340720	-326.1	878.9	578.4	0.130	12.1	-3.9	0.138
cg25585967	-297.9	-1361.3	654.4	0.039	-16.1	5.1	0.048
cg12294026	-330.1	-1033.1	753.3	0.172	16.1	-5.1	0.189


Covariates: child's sex, area of study, gestational age, maternal age, maternal social class, parity, and plate.

The coefficients Bc and Bc' can be interpreted as the amount of grams lower birthweight for smoking vs. non-smoking mothers in the 'smoking to birthweight' and full model, respectively. Bb represents the effect of methylation level (coded as a proportion between 0–1) on birthweight. For cg27402634 this means that an increase of 100% in methylation level is associated with 1298.4 g higher birthweight.

Bc= effect estimate for smoking in the model: BW= Smoking + covariates.

Bc'= effect estimate for smoking in the model: BW= Smoking + CpG + covariates.

Bb=effect estimate for CpG in the model: BW= CpG + covariates.



**Table 5.** Causal estimates for a 1% increase in methylation levels on birthweight z-score using genetic variants around the cg27402634 site region.

	Causal estimate	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	p value for heterogeneity
All 5 tags at a p value < 5E-02 ( $r^2 > 0.5$ )	0.007	0.002	0.011	1.03E-01
All 5 tags at a p value < 5E-02 ( $r^2 > 0.5$ ), correction for LD	0.005	0.001	0.008	5.90E-02
All 5 tags at a p value < 5E-02 ( $r^2 > 0.5$ ), MR-Egger	0.006	-0.016	0.028	-
Two close tag SNPs surrounding cg27402634 at a p value < 5E-02	0.007	0.002	0.012	1.59E-01

SNPs surrounding the cg27402634 site (250 kb up- and downstream) with a MAF > 1% and a quality of imputation > 0.8 were selected. Among them, only tag SNPs ( $r^2 < 0.5$ ) associated with cg27402634 methylation levels at a p value < 0.05 are shown.

The association between genetic variants and methylation was obtained in this study using bisulfite pyrosequencing data (N=136).

The association between genetic variants and birth-weight Z-scores was retrieved from GWAS summarized data from the Early Growth Genetics consortium (EGG) (<http://egg-consortium.org/birth-weight.html>).

The Mendelian randomization approach was conducted using the LHR method, except for MR-Egger regression that used IVW test.

LD: linkage disequilibrium.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 1  
209x297mm (150 x 150 DPI)