A novel anxiogenic role for the delta opioid receptor expressed in forebrain GABAergic neurons

Paul CHU SIN CHUNG[1], Helen L. KEYWORTH[2], Elena MARTIN-GARCIA[3], Alexis BAILEY[2], Katia BEFORT[1], Dominique FILLIOL[1], Audrey MATIFAS[1], Abdel-Mouttalib OUAGAZZAL[1], Claire GAVERIAUX-RUFF[1], Rafael MALDONADO[3], Ian KITCHEN[2] and Brigitte L. KIEFFER[1,4]*

[1] *Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, CNRS/INSERM/Université de Strasbourg, 1 rue Laurent Fries, 67404 Illkirch, France*
[2] *Department of Biochemistry & Physiology, Faculty of Health & Medical Sciences, Institute of Biosciences and Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey GU27XH*
[3] *Departament de Ciencies Experimentals i de la Salut, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, PRBB, Barcelona, Spain*
[4] *Douglas Hospital Research Center, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal (Quebec), Canada*

Background: The delta opioid receptor (DOR) is broadly expressed throughout the nervous system and regulates chronic pain, emotional responses, motivation and memory. Neural circuits underlying DOR activities have been poorly explored by genetic approaches. Here we used conditional mouse mutagenesis to elucidate receptor function in GABAergic neurons of the forebrain.
Methods: We characterized DOR distribution in the brain of Dlx5/6-CreX*Oprd1*^{fl/fl} (Dlx-DOR) mice, and tested main central DOR functions through behavioral testing.
Results: DORs were strongly deleted in olfactory bulb and striatum, and remained intact in cortex and basolateral amygdala. Olfactory perception, circadian activity and despair-like behaviors were unchanged. In contrast, locomotor stimulant effects of SNC80 (DOR agonist) and SKF81297 (D1 agonist) were abolished and increased, respectively. Remarkably also, Dlx-DOR mice showed lower levels of anxiety in the elevated plus-maze, opposing the well-known high anxiety in constitutive DOR knockout animals. Further Dlx-DOR mice reached the food more rapidly in a novelty suppressed feeding (NSF) task, despite their lower motivation for food reward observed in an operant paradigm. Finally, c-fos staining after NSF was strongly reduced in amygdala, concordant with the low anxiety phenotype of Dlx-DOR mice.
Conclusion: Here we demonstrate that DORs expressed in GABAergic forebrain neurons mediate the well-described locomotor effect of SNC80 and inhibit D1-stimulated hyperactivity. Our data also reveal an unanticipated anxiogenic role for this particular DOR subpopulation, which may limit risk-taking behaviors. DORs therefore exert dual anxiolytic/anxiogenic roles in emotional responses, which operate in distinct brain circuits and have both implications in the area of anxiety disorders.

Keywords: Delta opioid receptor; Conditional gene knockout; GABAergic neurons; Locomotion; Motivation; Emotion.

Abbreviations: Amy, amygdala; BLA, basolateral nucleus of the amygdala; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CPu, caudate-putamen nucleus; DAR, dopamine receptor; DOR, delta opioid receptor; EPM, elevated plus maze; Hipp, hippocampus; KO, knockout; NAc, nucleus accumbens; NSF, novelty suppressed feeding; OB, olfactory bulb; FCx, prefrontal cortex; SC, spinal cord.

* Corresponding author. Douglas Hospital Research Center, Perry Pavilion Room E-3317.1, 6875 boulevard LaSalle, Montreal (Quebec) H4H 1R3, Canada. Phone: 514 761-6131 ext: 3175 Fax: 514 762-3033. E-mail address: brigitte.kieffer@douglas.mcgill.ca

Introduction

Mu, delta and kappa opioid receptors are largely distributed throughout the nervous system and play a central role in pain control, hedonic homeostasis and emotions ([1], [2]). In the last decade, the delta opioid receptor (DOR) has emerged as an attractive target to reduce chronic pain ([3], [4]). This receptor is also a key player in several brain processes ([5]), including the regulation of emotional responses ([6]), impulsivity ([7]) or learning and memory ([8]), and has raised interest in both areas of neurologic and psychiatric disorders. Emotional responses represent a most important aspect of DOR function. Preclinical studies have established a general beneficial role for DOR in reducing levels of anxiety and depressive-like behavior, and delta agonists are in clinical trial for the treatment of mood disorders ([3], [9]).

DORs are broadly expressed in central and peripheral nervous systems. In the mouse, quantitative autoradiographic binding ([10-12]) shows particularly abundant expression in the olfactory bulb (OB), cortex, striatum and amygdala (Amy). Moderate DOR levels are also found in interpeduncular and pontine nuclei, hippocampus (Hipp), spinal cord (SC) and dorsal root ganglia (DRGs), and low levels in hypothalamus, thalamus, mesencephalon and brain stem (reviewed in ([13])). A knock-in mouse line expressing functional fluorescent DORs ([14]) has allowed anatomical studies of DOR expression with cellular and subcellular details in DRGs ([15]), enteric neurons ([15-18]) and the Hipp ([16], [17]). Refined mapping of DOR expression in the mouse is now possible ([19]) and provides a basis for understanding DOR activities in the brain and periphery. Analyses of DOR distribution in the human brain shows expression concordant with rodent studies in cortical regions and limbic structures such as Hipp and Amy, as well as basal ganglia and hypothalamus ([20-23]).

At present, neuron populations and brain circuits where DORs operate in the nervous system have been poorly explored. In pain research, local pharmacology at the level of DRGs and SC has indicated a role for peripheral DORs in pain control ([24]), and a conditional genetic approach has demonstrated that DORs expressed in small primary nociceptive neurons are essential to reduce persistent pain and mediate delta opioid analgesia ([25]). In the brain, local pharmacology has provided evidence for an anxiolytic role of DORs at the level of cingulate cortex (Cg Cx) ([26]), Hipp ([27]) and Amy ([28], [29]). However neural populations engaged in DOR-mediated mood control have not been examined by genetic approaches, and DOR-mediated mechanisms underlying motivational and emotional responses, or learning and memory remain largely unexplored.

In this study we genetically inactivated the DOR gene in forebrain GABAergic neurons. We obtained a conditional knockout mouse line that lacks receptors in two main DOR expression sites, i. e. the OB and striatum, including caudate putamen (CPu) and nucleus accumbens (NAc). These mice retain full receptor density in the basolateral amygdala (BLA), which represents a third main site with densest DOR expression levels. We then examined these mice in behaviors known to engage these brain structures and may recruit DOR-mediated controls. Our data reveal an unexpected anxiogenic role for this particular DOR population, which contrasts with the well-known overall anxiolytic role of the receptor.

Methods and Materials

Animals

The DOR-floxed (Oprd1$^{fl/fl}$ or Ctrl mice) mouse line was described previously ([25](#)). Mice were crossed with CMV-Cre mice or Dlx5/6-Cre mice to produce constitutive knockout (CMV-CreXOprd1$^{fl/fl}$ or CMV-DOR) and conditional knockout (Dlx5/6- Oprd1$^{fl/fl}$ or Dlx-DOR) mouse lines, see details in Supplementary. For all behavioral experiment, the Dlx-DOR mice are compared to their control littermates Ctrl mice. In addition, the CMV-DOR mice were also tested in the anxiety-related tests (see Supplementary). Experiments were performed on animals aged between 6 and 18 weeks old, housed 2-4 per cage under standard laboratory conditions (12h dark/light cycle light on at 7am). Food and water were available ad libitum. All experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive of 24 November 1986 (86/609/EEC) and were approved by the local ethical committee (Comité d'éthique pour l'expérimentation animale IGBMC-ICS).

Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase-PCR

Sampling of brain regions, RNA extraction and quantification were performed according to a previous study ([30](#), [31](#)) and briefly described in Supplementary.

Autoradiographic Binding Assay

Sections were cut from Ctrl, Dlx-DOR and CMV-DOR brains (n = 3) for determination of total DOR binding using [^{3}H] deltorphin-1 as the radiolabeled ligand. On the day of the experiment, sections were thawed and processed according to established protocols ([32](#), [33](#)), with minor modifications. Films exposure, development and analyze were performed as previously described by Kitchen et al. ([33](#)). Further details are described in Supplementary.

Agonist-Stimulated [^{35}S]-GTPγS Binding Assays

Membrane preparations and [^{35}S]GTPγS binding assays were performed on brain regions from Ctrl, Dlx-DOR and CMV-DOR mice as described ([34](#)) (see Supplementary).

Behavioral Assays

Locomotion, depressive-like behaviors (forced swim and tail suspension tests), anxiety-related behaviors (light/dark box, elevated plus maze and open field tests), novelty-suppressed feeding tests and food self-administration experiments were performed as described in Supplementary.

Drugs

The non-peptidic DOR agonist SNC80 and the dopamine D1 receptor agonist SKF-81297 were used at doses according to previous studies ([35](#), [36](#)). See preparation in Supplementary.

c-Fos immunoreactivity

Measures of c-fos protein expression were performed as reported ([37](#)). Further details about sections processing are provided in Supplementary.

Statistical analysis

Statistical differences were determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) (StatView 5, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina) followed by Bonferroni/Dunn post hoc analysis. The F values and experimental degrees of freedom are included in the Results Section. For experiments with two groups, a Student *t*-test was used. The level of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. For the behavioral tests during which data were obtained on several periods during the same session (locomotor tests, the Open Field test and despair-like behavior paradigms), the analysis of variance repeated measures was used.

Results

Dlx-DOR mice show massive DOR deletion in OB and striatum

We used the Cre-*LoxP* strategy to inactivate the DOR gene (*Oprdm1*) in forebrain areas. Because DORs are mainly expressed in GABAergic neurons ([17], [38], [39]), we mated floxed-DOR (Oprd1^{fl/fl}) mice ([25]) with Dlx-Cre5/6 mice that express Cre recombinase in the forebrain GABAergic neurons ([40]) to produce conditional (Dlx5/6-Cre X Oprd1^{fl/fl} or Dlx-DOR) mutant mice. We first analyzed DOR transcripts throughout the nervous system using quantitative reverse transcriptase-PCR analysis (Fig. 1A). In mutant mice, the DOR mRNA was undetectable in OB (OB) and striatum, including CPu and NAc , partially reduced in prefrontal cortex (FCx) and Amy, and showed normal levels in the SC. The genetic deletion, therefore, impacts mainly forebrain areas, consistent with the Dlx5/6-Cre expression pattern, ([40]).

We next quantified DOR protein distribution in Dlx-DOR mice, using autoradiographic binding (Table 1 and Fig. 1B-D). There was a remarkably strong reduction of [3H] deltorphin-1 binding in external plexiform and internal granular layers of OB, as well as lateral and medial CPu and olfactory tubercles from Dlx-DOR mice. Significant reduction of DOR binding sites was also found in the NAc shell and CA2/3 regions of the Hipp. In contrast there was no significant modification of DOR binding sites throughout cortical areas and BLA subdivisions, and DOR protein levels were also unchanged at the level of SC. MCID analysis of CMV-DOR samples confirmed complete DOR deletion in CMV-DOR mice throughout the nervous system.

To further confirm protein deletion, we measured DOR-mediated G protein activation in brain areas showing reduced receptor binding sites. As expected, agonist-induced [^{35}S]-GTPγS binding (Table S1) was abolished in membrane preparations from OB and CPu, decreased in the hippocampal preparation and comparable to controls in SC samples. Receptor signaling therefore fully matches receptor binding in mutant mice.

Dlx-DOR mice show altered locomotor responses to DOR and D1/D3 DAR agonists

We first examined whether DOR loss in CPu and NAc leads to changes in spontaneous locomotor activity and feeding behavior (Table 2). Analysis of total locomotor activity during light ($F_{(2, 20)} = 0.31$; $p>0.05$, one-way ANOVA) and dark ($F_{(2, 20)} = 0.8$; $p>0.05$, one-way ANOVA) phases revealed no significant difference between Dlx-DOR and control mice. Similarly, no difference in food consumption was detected between genotypes ($F_{(2, 20)} = 2.05$; $p>0.05$, one-way ANOVA).

We then examined locomotor stimulant effects of the prototypal DOR agonist, SNC80 ([41]) in Dlx-DOR mice (Fig 2A) in actimetry cages. Habituation was similar across genotypes (data not shown). SNC80 treatment (10 mg/kg) induced the expected locomotor stimulation in control mice but was inefficient in Dlx-DOR mice. Two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of treatment ($F_{(1, 42)} = 14.58$; $p<0.001$) and genotype ($F_{(2, 42)} = 10.39$; $p<0.001$), and significant treatment x genotype interaction ($F_{(2, 42)} = 4.31$; $p<0.05$). *Post hoc* analysis confirmed that SNC80 treatment significantly enhanced locomotor activity in Ctrl ($p<0.001$, Bonferroni/Dunn test) but not in Dlx-DOR mice ($p>0.05$, Bonferroni/Dunn test). Targeted deletion of DORs in forebrain GABAergic neurons therefore abolishes the locomotor stimulant effect of SNC80.

To further explore integrity of the basal ganglia circuitry, we examined locomotor stimulant effects of SKF-81297, a D1 dopamine receptor agonist (Fig 2B). At low dose (1 mg/kg), SKF-81297 induced a slight locomotor stimulation in both control and Dlx-DOR mice, with no significant difference between genotypes ($F_{(1, 37)} = 0.02$; $p>0.05$, Two-way ANOVA). At high dose (2.5 mg/kg), SKF-81297 induced significant hyperactivity in control animals and this stimulant effect was potentiated in Dlx-DOR mice. Two-way ANOVA performed on total activity scores showed significant effect of treatment ($F_{(1, 37)} = 22.23$; $p<0.0001$) and significant genotype x treatment interaction ($F_{(1, 37)} = 5.54$; $p<0.05$). *Post hoc* analysis confirmed that 2.5 mg/kg SKF-81297 stimulates Dlx-DOR mice significantly more than controls ($p<0.001$, Bonferroni/Dunn test). Thus, selective inactivation of DORs in forebrain GABAergic neurons potentiates D1/D3 dopamine receptor function.

Dlx-DOR mice show reduced anxiety and high risk-taking behavior

Our earlier work revealed a depressive-like phenotype in constitutive DOR knockout mice ([6]). Dlx-DOR mice show major receptor loss in OB and NAc, two areas associated with altered mood ([42])([43]). We therefore tested Dlx-DOR mice for olfactory discrimination, as well as despair-like behaviors in forced swim and tail suspension tests. Mutant mice behaved similarly to control littermates in all these tasks (Supplementary Results and Figure S1).

Previous work also indicated that constitutive DOR knockout mice show enhanced anxiety-like behavior ([6], [44]). Many brain structures contribute to anxiety-associated responses, including the Amy ([45]) and forebrain areas ([46]) where DORs have either remained intact (Amy) or been deleted (striatum, Hipp) in Dlx-DOR mice. We tested whether the strong DOR depletion in forebrain but not Amy would produce an anxiety-related phenotype (Figure 3). In the open field, Dlx-DOR mice did not differ from controls for both general activity ($t_{(30)} = 0.38$, $p>0.05$, Student *t*-test) and time spent in the arena center ($t_{(30)} = 0.17$, $p>0.05$, Student *t*-test) (Figure 3A). In the elevated plus-maze test, however, a behavioral phenotype was detectable (Figure 3B). Dlx-DOR mice displayed lower fear/anxiety-related behavior compared to controls, as shown by increased time spent in open arms ($t_{(30)} = 2.31$, $p<0.05$, Student *t*-test). Mutant mice also tended to make more entries into open arms, although this effect did not reach statistical significance ($t_{(30)} = 1.44$, $p>0.05$, Student *t*-test). The number of entries in closed arms, an index of locomotor activity, was otherwise unchanged (Ctrl: 11.75 ± 0.72 and Dlx-DOR: 11.19 ± 0.79; $t_{(30)} = 1.16$, $p>0.05$, Student's *t*-test). Thus, mice lacking DORs in forebrain GABAergic neurons display lower levels of anxiety, a

phenotype that opposes the classically described increased anxiety-like behaviors in constitutive DOR knockout mice.

To further examine this unexpected phenotype, we tested Dlx-DOR mice in the novelty suppressed feeding (NSF) task (Figure 3C). In this paradigm, low latency to start eating in a novel environment reflects reduced anxiety-related behavior (47, 48). Dlx-DOR mice showed a remarkably shorter latency to feed compared to controls ($t_{(34)}$ = -3.38, p<0.01, Student t-test) and made fewer approaches ($t_{(30)}$ = -5.00, p<0.001, Student t-test). Both parameters, therefore, indicate strong behavioral modifications in mutant mice, consistent with lower anxiety observed in the elevated plus-maze and also reflecting risk-taking behavior.

The phenotype observed in NSF may partly result from increased motivation to obtain the food. However, the amount of food pellets consumed was similar between both groups in actimetry cages (Table 2), suggesting that regular food consumption is unchanged in mutant mice. To further test motivation for food in Dlx-DOR mice, we examined motivation to eat palatable chocolate-flavoured pellets in a self-administration (SA) paradigm (Figure 4). Mice from both genotypes discriminated between active and inactive holes during most of the training period, and active nose-poking increased across days while inactive nose-poking responses decreased over time (Figure 4A). Control and mutant mice acquired and maintained operant responding similarly in both fixed ratio 1 (FR1) and fixed ratio 5 (FR5) schedules. Number of pellets consumed did not differ between genotypes, as revealed by the two-way ANOVA analysis showing significant effects of day ($F_{(14,518)}$ = 70.37; P<0.001), no main effect of genotype ($F_{(1,37)}$ = 0.29; p>0.05) and no interaction between genotype and day ($F_{(14,518)}$ = 0.76; p>0.05). In addition, both genotypes expressed similar levels of active nose-poking during FR1 (72.30 ± 5.45 in Ctrl and 72.38 ± 5.61 in Dlx-DOR mice) and FR5 (629.29 ± 32.68 in Ctrl and 603.21 ± 39.84 in Dlx-DOR mice) reinforced phases (see Table S2 for three-way ANOVA). The site-specific DOR deletion in Dlx-DOR mice, therefore, does not modify operant responding to food reward.

In contrast, breaking point values were significantly decreased in Dlx-DOR mice compared to control littermates ($F_{(1,37)}$ = 6.88; P < 0.05) in the progressive ratio (PR) schedule of reinforcement (Figure 4B). Mutant mice, therefore, show reduced motivation for chocolate-flavoured pellets, suggesting that DOR deletion in forebrain GABAergic neurons diminishes motivation for food reward. Altogether, our observations that Dlx-DOR mice show normal food consumption (actimetry boxes), normal acquisition of chocolate pellet self-administration (SA, FR1 and FR5) and reduced motivation for these pellets (SA, PR), strongly suggest that increased motivation for food does not contribute to the remarkable low anxiety/high risk-taking behavior of Dlx-DOR mice in the NSF task.

Dlx-DOR mice show abnormal neuronal activity in cortex, Amy and NAc following novelty suppressed feeding test.

C-fos protein expression is routinely used as a marker of neuronal activity (49). To gain insight into circuit mechanisms underlying the hypoanxiety phenotype of Dlx-DOR mice, we assessed Fos protein expression following animal exposure to the NSF task (Table 3). In a control experiment, c-fos immunoreactivity did not differ across genotypes under basal conditions (Table

S3). Also, 24h deprivation alone induced similar c-fos staining in Dlx-DOR and control littermates, except at the level of NAc ($t_{(2)} = 8.46$, p<0.05, Student t-test) and insular cortex (Ins Cx) ($t_{(2)} = 6.4$ 3, p<0.05, Student t-test) where a food-related response may contribute to distinguish mutant and control mice. After NSF, mutant mice showed a significant decrease of c-fos immunostaining in several brain regions associated to the central integration of emotional components of fear/aversive stimuli, including the Ins Cx ($t_{(14)} = 3.04$, p<0.01, Student t-test), BLA ($t_{(12)} = 3.21$, p<0.01, Student t-test) and central nuclei of the amygdala (CeA) ($t_{(12)} = 4.56$, p<0.001, Student t-test). On the other hand, a significant increase of c-fos protein expression was found in NAc, interfacing emotion, motivation and action. C-Fos expression was otherwise unchanged in all subregions of the CPu, the Cg Cx (Cg Cx), the basomedial nucleus of the amygdala and ventral tegmental area (VTA). Together, the data show that targeted DOR deletion in forebrain GABAergic neurons leads to distinct neuronal activation in mutant and control mice after NSF, which occur mainly in BLA and CeA. Decreased c-fos activation in these two brain regions is consistent with the low anxiety/high risk-taking behavior of mutant mice in the task.

Discussion

We targeted the DOR gene in forebrain GABAergic neurons and obtained conditional knockout mice with a strong deletion of DORs in OB and striatum, while the receptor was preserved in the cortex, BLA, more rostral brain areas and SC. Behavioral analysis of mutant mice provide first genetic evidence that DORs expressed in striatal GABAergic neurons inhibit D1R-mediated locomotor activity and motivation for food reward. Additionally, our study uncovered a novel role for DOR in the regulation of fear/anxiety-related behaviors.

The driver Dlx5/6-Cre mouse line was used previously to delete CB1 receptors from GABAergic neurons of the forebrain (40). Based on the notion that opioid receptors are mostly expressed in GABAergic neurons (16, 17), we anticipated strong decrease of DOR expression throughout the forebrain. Indeed, DORs were almost entirely deleted in OB and striatum. Residual DOR protein in CPu and NAc may arise from DOR expression in striatal cholinergic interneurons, or may reflect presynaptic receptors on glutamatergic neurons that massively project from cortex and Amy to the striatum (50-52). DOR mRNA and protein deletion was otherwise partial in Hipp, and protein levels were fully preserved in cortical areas and Amy. In these brain areas, remaining or intact receptor expression could be explained by partial Cre-mediated excision, although crossing Dlx5/6-Cre mice with ROSA26 reporter mice showed strong Cre activity at these sites in our hands (data not shown). Alternatively, DOR expression may occur in non-GABAergic neurons in these brain regions, or could be synthesized and transported from more posterior brain structures. In support of this, Amy showed decreased DOR mRNA, indicating local Cre-mediated DOR gene excision. However, DOR protein levels were maintained, suggesting that the majority of amygdalar receptors are localized presynaptically on afferent terminals.

Constitutive DOR knockout mice show enhanced spontaneous locomotor activity (6). We did not observe a similar phenotype in Dlx-DOR mice, suggesting that this particular DOR activity is not regulated at the level of GABAergic forebrain neurons, or simply could not be detected

under our experimental conditions. We further observed that the well-described SNC80-induced hyperlocomotion effect ([36](#), [53](#)) is abolished in Dlx-DOR mice, demonstrating that DORs expressed in forebrain GABAergic neurons are essential for the well-known stimulant effects of the agonist. It is likely that this DOR activity operates at the level of striatum, which plays a prominent role in locomotor activity ([54](#)) and shows most effective DOR deletion in conditional mutant mice. Finally, we found potentiated SKF-81297-induced hyperactivity in mutant mice. We have previously reported that constitutive DOR gene knockout and DOR blockage by systemic DOR antagonist treatment, both produce a similar higher sensitivity to SKF-stimulating effects ([35](#)). Together with the present study, the data suggest that DORs expressed in striatal GABAergic neurons exert a tonic suppressive effect on striatonigral D1 pathways and the associated locomotor response. Whether DOR/D1R interactions occur directly at the level of D1R-expressing medium spiny neurons or via intrastriatal microcircuitry remains to be determined.

Modified dopaminergic signaling in Dlx-DOR mice may also impact responses to rewarding outcomes. We found that operant responding for palatable food is unchanged in Dlx-DOR mice under FR1 and FR5 schedules. We previously showed that morphine self-administration is preserved in constitutive DOR knockout mice ([55](#)), and together the data suggest that DORs do not play a major role in opioid or food reward. However, we found in this study that Dlx-DOR mice show a slight decreased in motivation for food reward in a PR schedule. Although DORs do not seem to regulate food reward per se, it is possible that DORs in forebrain GABAergic neurons contribute to some aspects of motivational processes, a hypothesis that deserves further investigations.

DORs were fully removed from the OB in Dlx-DOR mice. We found, however, no main alteration in basic olfactory perception, suggesting that DORs in the OB are not necessary to the detection of olfactory stimuli. Olfactory bulbectomy is a classical model of despair-like behaviour ([56](#)), and we speculated that Dlx-DOR mice may show a despair-like phenotype, as do constitutive DOR knockout mice ([6](#)). Under our experimental conditions however, mutant mice showed no sign of despair behaviour, suggesting that DORs do not tonically regulate emotional circuits associated to OB circuitry and olfaction. Despair-like behaviour in constitutive DOR KO mice therefore, likely results from lack of receptor activity in other brain circuits. In the future, it will be interesting to assess Dlx-DOR mice reactivity to stressful odors, in order to determine whether DOR plays any role in olfactory circuitry where the receptor is most densely expressed.

Dlx-DOR mice show an intriguing low anxiety phenotype. Although no modification of anxiety levels was detected in the open field, mutant mice spent significantly more time in open arms of the elevated plus-maze and showed strongly reduced latency to reach the food in the NSF test, despite their reduced motivation to obtain a food reward in the SA paradigm. The Dlx-DOR mouse phenotype in elevated plus-maze and NSF reflects reduced anxiety-related behaviour, together with an enhanced risk-taking component. The absence of detectable phenotype in the open field may relate to distinct stress levels applied in the different paradigms (e.g. novelty, brightness, openness, privation, elevation ([57](#), [58](#)). This particular behaviour of mutant mice may be more obvious under specific stress conditions, such as food deprivation stress in the case of NSF. Importantly, c-fos analysis immediately after this task further supports the notion of reduced anxiety/high-risk taking in mutant mice. Thus, neural activation was reduced mainly in lateral and

central divisions of the Amy, consistent with a reduced response to anxiogenic stimuli ([59], [60]). In sum, the data strongly suggest that DORs expressed in GABAergic forebrain neurons normally contribute to increase anxiety and reduce risk taking. One may speculate that this particular DOR activity, which has not been reported earlier, could contribute to limit at-risk behaviours and exert an adaptive protective role under threatening situations.

The low anxiety/high risk phenotype of Dlx-DOR mice seems discordant with the well-established high anxiety-related behaviour reported for constitutive DOR KO animals ([6], [44]). Both total genetic deletion and systemic pharmacologic blockade of DOR lead to increased levels of anxiety ([6], [28], [61-63]), and treatment with DOR agonists causes a reduction of anxiety-related behaviours ([61], [63], [64]). A possible interpretation of our data is that anxiolytic DORs operate at the level of BLA, a hypothesis supported by local pharmacology ([29]). Constitutive DOR knockout mice -but not Dlx-DOR mice- lack this particular population of receptors whose activity prevails under classical anxiety-testing conditions (open field), and therefore express a high anxiety phenotype. Conflicting situations however (NSF) recruit other DOR-mediated mechanisms, including an anxiogenic/fear-inducing activity. This particular DOR activity is deleted in both constitutive and conditional mutant mice, and the resulting anxiolytic effect is potentiated in Dlx-DOR mice that also express anxiolytic BLA receptors. Notably, the high risk-taking behaviour of conditional knockout mice (this study) is otherwise consistent with high motor impulsivity observed in constitutive DOR knockout mice ([7], [65]). Further testing of Dlx-DOR mice in decision-making tasks should help characterizing this anxiogenic/inhibitory function of DORs operating at the level of forebrain circuits.

In conclusion, previous conditional gene knockout studies for cannabinoid CB1 ([40]) and corticotrophin-releasing hormone receptor 1 ([66]) have revealed antagonistic receptor activities, which operate in separate neural networks. Using a similar approach, our study reports a novel anxiogenic DOR activity that engages forebrain GABAergic neurons, possibly at the level of corticostriatal networks tightly connecting the Amy ([52], [67]). The discovery of dual anxiolytic/anxiogenic roles for DORs, involving distinct and perhaps overlapping neural circuits, opens novel perspectives in the area of DOR function and anxiety disorders.

Acknowledgements

Disclosure/conflict of interest

The authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

References

1.   Sauriyal DS, Jaggi AS, Singh N (2011): Extending pharmacological spectrum of opioids beyond analgesia: multifunctional aspects in different pathophysiological states. *Neuropeptides.* 45:175-188.

2.   Lutz PE, Kieffer BL (2012): Opioid receptors: distinct roles in mood disorders. *Trends Neurosci.*

3.   Pradhan AA, Befort K, Nozaki C, Gaveriaux-Ruff C, Kieffer BL (2011): The delta opioid receptor: an evolving target for the treatment of brain disorders. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 32:581-590.

4.   Gaveriaux-Ruff C, Kieffer BL (2011): Delta opioid receptor analgesia: recent contributions from pharmacology and molecular approaches. *Behav Pharmacol.* 22:405-414.

5.   Chu Sin Chung P, Kieffer BL (2013): Delta opioid receptors in brain function and diseases. *Pharmacol Ther.* 140:112-120.

6.   Filliol D, Ghozland S, Chluba J, Martin M, Matthes HW, Simonin F, et al. (2000): Mice deficient for delta- and mu-opioid receptors exhibit opposing alterations of emotional responses. *Nat Genet.* 25:195-200.

7.   Befort K, Mahoney MK, Chow C, Hayton SJ, Kieffer BL, Olmstead MC (2011): Effects of delta opioid receptors activation on a response inhibition task in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 214:967-976.

8.   Le Merrer J, Faget L, Matifas A, Kieffer BL (2012): Cues predicting drug or food reward restore morphine-induced place conditioning in mice lacking delta opioid receptors. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 223:99-106.

9.   Chu Sin Chung P, Kieffer BL (2013): Delta opioid receptors in brain function and diseases. *Pharmacol Ther.*

10.   Kitchen I, Slowe SJ, Matthes HW, Kieffer B (1997): Quantitative autoradiographic mapping of mu-, delta- and kappa-opioid receptors in knockout mice lacking the mu-opioid receptor gene. *Brain Res.* 778:73-88.

11.   Slowe SJ, Simonin F, Kieffer B, Kitchen I (1999): Quantitative autoradiography of mu-,delta- and kappa1 opioid receptors in kappa-opioid receptor knockout mice. *Brain Res.* 818:335-345.

12.   Goody RJ, Oakley SM, Filliol D, Kieffer BL, Kitchen I (2002): Quantitative autoradiographic mapping of opioid receptors in the brain of delta-opioid receptor gene knockout mice. *Brain Res.* 945:9-19.

13.   Le Merrer J, Becker JA, Befort K, Kieffer BL (2009): Reward processing by the opioid system in the brain. *Physiol Rev.* 89:1379-1412.

14.   Scherrer G, Tryoen-Toth P, Filliol D, Matifas A, Laustriat D, Cao YQ, et al. (2006): Knockin mice expressing fluorescent delta-opioid receptors uncover G protein-coupled receptor dynamics in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 103:9691-9696.

15.   Scherrer G, Imamachi N, Cao YQ, Contet C, Mennicken F, O'Donnell D, et al. (2009): Dissociation of the opioid receptor mechanisms that control mechanical and heat pain. *Cell.* 137:1148-1159.

16.   Erbs E, Faget L, Scherrer G, Kessler P, Hentsch D, Vonesch JL, et al. (2012): Distribution of delta opioid receptor-expressing neurons in the mouse hippocampus. *Neuroscience.* 221:203-213.

17.   Rezai X, Faget L, Bednarek E, Schwab Y, Kieffer BL, Massotte D (2012): Mouse delta opioid receptors are located on presynaptic afferents to hippocampal pyramidal cells. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*. 32:509-516.

18.   Poole DP, Pelayo JC, Scherrer G, Evans CJ, Kieffer BL, Bunnett NW (2011): Localization and regulation of fluorescently labeled delta opioid receptor, expressed in enteric neurons of mice. *Gastroenterology*. 141:982-991 e981-988.

19.   Erbs E, Faget L, Scherrer G, Matifas A, Filliol D, Becker J, et al. (2014): A mu-delta opioid receptor brain atlas reveals co-occurrence in neurons essential for survival. *Brain Structure and Function*. in press.

20.   Simonin F, Befort K, Gaveriaux-Ruff C, Matthes H, Nappey V, Lannes B, et al. (1994): The human delta opioid receptor: genomic organization, cDNA cloning, functional expression and distribution in human brain. *Molecular Pharmacology*. 46:1015-1021.

21.   Peckys D, Landwehrmeyer GB (1999): Expression of mu, kappa, and delta opioid receptor messenger RNA in the human CNS: a 33P in situ hybridization study. *Neuroscience*. 88:1093-1135.

22.   Smith JS, Zubieta JK, Price JC, Flesher JE, Madar I, Lever JR, et al. (1999): Quantification of delta-opioid receptors in human brain with N1'-([11C]methyl) naltrindole and positron emission tomography. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 19:956-966.

23.   Peng J, Sarkar S, Chang SL (2012): Opioid receptor expression in human brain and peripheral tissues using absolute quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 124:223-228.

24.   Gaveriaux-Ruff C (2013): Opiate-Induced Analgesia: Contributions from Mu, Delta and Kappa Opioid Receptors Mouse Mutants. *Curr Pharm Des*.

25.   Gaveriaux-Ruff C, Nozaki C, Nadal X, Hever XC, Weibel R, Matifas A, et al. (2011): Genetic ablation of delta opioid receptors in nociceptive sensory neurons increases chronic pain and abolishes opioid analgesia. *Pain*. 152:1238-1248.

26.   Narita M, Kuzumaki N, Kaneko C, Hareyama N, Miyatake M, Shindo K, et al. (2006): Chronic pain-induced emotional dysfunction is associated with astrogliosis due to cortical delta-opioid receptor dysfunction. *J Neurochem*. 97:1369-1378.

27.   Solati J, Zarrindast MR, Salari AA (2010): Dorsal hippocampal opioidergic system modulates anxiety-like behaviors in adult male Wistar rats. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 64:634-641.

28.   Narita M, Kaneko C, Miyoshi K, Nagumo Y, Kuzumaki N, Nakajima M, et al. (2006): Chronic pain induces anxiety with concomitant changes in opioidergic function in the amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 31:739-750.

29.   Randall-Thompson JF, Pescatore KA, Unterwald EM (2010): A role for delta opioid receptors in the central nucleus of the amygdala in anxiety-like behaviors. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 212:585-595.

30.   Befort K, Filliol D, Ghate A, Darcq E, Matifas A, Muller J, et al. (2008): Mu-opioid receptor activation induces transcriptional plasticity in the central extended amygdala. *Eur J Neurosci*. 27:2973-2984.

31.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001): Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*. 25:402-408.

32.   Kitchen I, Leslie FM, Kelly M, Barnes R, Crook TJ, Hill RG, et al. (1995): Development of delta-opioid receptor subtypes and the regulatory role of weaning: radioligand binding, autoradiography and in situ hybridization studies. *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 275:1597-1607.

33.   Kitchen I, Slowe SJ, Matthes HW, Kieffer B (1997): Quantitative autoradiographic mapping of mu-, delta- and kappa-opioid receptors in knockout mice lacking the mu-opioid receptor gene. *Brain Research*. 778:73-88.

34.   Pradhan AA, Becker JA, Scherrer G, Tryoen-Toth P, Filliol D, Matifas A, et al. (2009): In vivo delta opioid receptor internalization controls behavioral effects of agonists. *PLoS One*. 4:e5425.

35.   Le Merrer J, Rezai X, Scherrer G, Becker JA, Kieffer BL (2013): Impaired Hippocampus-Dependent and Facilitated Striatum-Dependent Behaviors in Mice Lacking the Delta Opioid Receptor. *Neuropsychopharmacology*.

36.   Nozaki C, Le Bourdonnec B, Reiss D, Windh RT, Little PJ, Dolle RE, et al. (2012): delta-Opioid mechanisms for ADL5747 and ADL5859 effects in mice: analgesia, locomotion, and receptor internalization. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 342:799-807.

37.   Le Merrer J, Gavello-Baudy S, Galey D, Cazala P (2007): Morphine self-administration into the lateral septum depends on dopaminergic mechanisms: Evidence from pharmacology and Fos neuroimaging. *Behav Brain Res*. 180:203-217.

38.   Margolis EB, Fields HL, Hjelmstad GO, Mitchell JM (2008): Delta-opioid receptor expression in the ventral tegmental area protects against elevated alcohol consumption. *J Neurosci*. 28:12672-12681.

39.   Stumm RK, Zhou C, Schulz S, Hollt V (2004): Neuronal types expressing mu- and delta-opioid receptor mRNA in the rat hippocampal formation. *J Comp Neurol*. 469:107-118.

40.   Monory K, Massa F, Egertova M, Eder M, Blaudzun H, Westenbroek R, et al. (2006): The endocannabinoid system controls key epileptogenic circuits in the hippocampus. *Neuron*. 51:455-466.

41.   Jutkiewicz EM, Kaminsky ST, Rice KC, Traynor JR, Woods JH (2005): Differential behavioral tolerance to the delta-opioid agonist SNC80 ([(+)-4-[(alphaR)-alpha-[(2S,5R)-2,5-dimethyl-4-(2-propenyl)-1-piperazinyl]-(3-me thoxyphenyl)methyl]-N,N-diethylbenzamide) in Sprague-Dawley rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 315:414-422.

42.   Song C, Leonard BE (2005): The olfactory bulbectomised rat as a model of depression. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 29:627-647.

43.   Bewernick BH, Kayser S, Sturm V, Schlaepfer TE (2012): Long-term effects of nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation in treatment-resistant depression: evidence for sustained efficacy. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 37:1975-1985.

44.   Roberts AJ, Gold LH, Polis I, McDonald JS, Filliol D, Kieffer BL, et al. (2001): Increased ethanol self-administration in delta-opioid receptor knockout mice. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 25:1249-1256.

45.   Davis M, Whalen PJ (2001): The amygdala: vigilance and emotion. *Mol Psychiatry*. 6:13-34.

46.   LeDoux JE (2000): Emotion circuits in the brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 23:155-184.

47.   Santarelli L, Saxe M, Gross C, Surget A, Battaglia F, Dulawa S, et al. (2003): Requirement of hippocampal neurogenesis for the behavioral effects of antidepressants. *Science*. 301:805-809.

48.   Dulawa SC, Hen R (2005): Recent advances in animal models of chronic antidepressant effects: the novelty-induced hypophagia test. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 29:771-783.

49.   Dragunow M, Faull R (1989): The use of c-fos as a metabolic marker in neuronal pathway tracing. *J Neurosci Methods*. 29:261-265.

50.   Buot A, Yelnik J (2012): Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia: limbic aspects. *Rev Neurol (Paris)*. 168:569-575.

51.   Christie MJ, Summers RJ, Stephenson JA, Cook CJ, Beart PM (1987): Excitatory amino acid projections to the nucleus accumbens septi in the rat: a retrograde transport study utilizing D[3H]aspartate and [3H]GABA. *Neuroscience*. 22:425-439.

52.   Stuber GD, Sparta DR, Stamatakis AM, van Leeuwen WA, Hardjoprajitno JE, Cho S, et al. (2011): Excitatory transmission from the amygdala to nucleus accumbens facilitates reward seeking. *Nature*. 475:377-380.

53.   Saitoh A, Sugiyama A, Nemoto T, Fujii H, Wada K, Oka J, et al. (2011): The novel delta opioid receptor agonist KNT-127 produces antidepressant-like and antinociceptive effects in mice without producing convulsions. *Behav Brain Res*. 223:271-279.

54.   Durieux PF, Schiffmann SN, de Kerchove d'Exaerde A (2012): Differential regulation of motor control and response to dopaminergic drugs by D1R and D2R neurons in distinct dorsal striatum subregions. *EMBO J*. 31:640-653.

55.   Le Merrer J, Plaza-Zabala A, Boca CD, Matifas A, Maldonado R, Kieffer BL Deletion of the delta Opioid Receptor Gene Impairs Place Conditioning But Preserves Morphine Reinforcement. *Biol Psychiatry*.

56.   Kelly JP, Wrynn AS, Leonard BE (1997): The olfactory bulbectomized rat as a model of depression: an update. *Pharmacol Ther*. 74:299-316.

57.   Ramos A (2008): Animal models of anxiety: do I need multiple tests? *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 29:493-498.

58.   File SE, Lippa AS, Beer B, Lippa MT (2004): Animal tests of anxiety. *Curr Protoc Neurosci*. Chapter 8:Unit 8 3.

59.   Adamec R, Toth M, Haller J, Halasz J, Blundell J (2012): A comparison of activation patterns of cells in selected prefrontal cortical and amygdala areas of rats which are more or less anxious in response to predator exposure or submersion stress. *Physiol Behav*. 105:628-638.

60.   Davidson RJ (2002): Anxiety and affective style: role of prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Biol Psychiatry*. 51:68-80.

61.   Saitoh A, Kimura Y, Suzuki T, Kawai K, Nagase H, Kamei J (2004): Potential anxiolytic and antidepressant-like activities of SNC80, a selective delta-opioid agonist, in behavioral models in rodents. *J Pharmacol Sci*. 95:374-380.

62.   Saitoh A, Yoshikawa Y, Onodera K, Kamei J (2005): Role of delta-opioid receptor subtypes in anxiety-related behaviors in the elevated plus-maze in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 182:327-334.

63.   Perrine SA, Hoshaw BA, Unterwald EM (2006): Delta opioid receptor ligands modulate anxiety-like behaviors in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol*. 147:864-872.

64.   Vergura R, Balboni G, Spagnolo B, Gavioli E, Lambert DG, McDonald J, et al. (2008): Anxiolytic- and antidepressant-like activities of H-Dmt-Tic-NH-CH(CH2-COOH)-Bid (UFP-512), a novel selective delta opioid receptor agonist. *Peptides*. 29:93-103.

65.   Olmstead MC, Ouagazzal AM, Kieffer BL (2009): Mu and delta opioid receptors oppositely regulate motor impulsivity in the signaled nose poke task. *PLoS ONE*. 4:e4410.

66.   Refojo D, Schweizer M, Kuehne C, Ehrenberg S, Thoeringer C, Vogl AM, et al. (2011): Glutamatergic and dopaminergic neurons mediate anxiogenic and anxiolytic effects of CRHR1. *Science*. 333:1903-1907.

67.   Callaway CW, Hakan RL, Henriksen SJ (1991): Distribution of amygdala input to the nucleus accumbens septi: an electrophysiological investigation. *J Neural Transm Gen Sect*. 83:215-225.