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Hydrogen peroxide induced stroke
Abstract:

Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) is commonly used as a haemostatic agent in all type of surgeries. Some adverse effects have been described related to its use. However, only very few cases are published in the literature of a stroke associated with the application of this agent directly to the brain. We present the case of a patient operated on for a right insular tumor with the assistance of intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring who developed a postoperative severe hemiparesis caused by a stroke in left median cerebral artery territory due to the irrigation with H2O2. Based on this case, we recommend avoiding the H2O2 irrigation for hemostasia in surgery for brain tumors when vascular structures are exposed.
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Introduction:

In neurosurgery hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) is widely used as a haemostatic agent. Although its mechanism of action is unknown, several hypothesis have been proposed, among which there are vasoconstriction, platelet aggregation, thrombus formation and small blood vessel occlusion due to micro bubbles (4,5). Although complications have been reported (3,8), there are only few descriptions of a stroke after brain irrigation with H2O2. Despite those reports, H2O2 usage has not decreased among neurosurgeons.

Insular tumors surgery has been debated for years, due to its unfavorable anatomical conditions that imply a high risk of neurological impairment. However, several reports show that lesions localized in the insula can be resected with acceptable complication rates and outcomes (2,14).

The main cause of a motor deficit after an insular tumor surgery is ischemia caused by the occlusion of the lenticulostriate perforating arteries (7). Damage to corticospinal tract may ensue anytime during the resection and even after the end of the anesthesia (13). For this kind of lesions, intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (INM) is a valid method of detecting and preventing potential neurological deficits, thus maximizing the extent of the resection and allowing a better outcome (9).

We describe the case of a patient with a right insular low grade glioma operated with assistance of INM. The subject developed a postoperative hemiplegia caused by an occlusion of left median cerebral artery (MCA) perforators after the irrigation with H2O2.

Case report:

A 51 year old man presented with simple partial seizures. On admission, the patient's neurological examination was unremarkable. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a right insular tumor graded 3B in the Yasargil Classification consistent with a low grade glioma (Figure 1). A right fronto-temporal craniotomy was performed under total intravenous anesthesia. Bilateral somatosensory evoked potentials (SEPs) after posterior tibial nerve and median nerve stimulation, and motor evoked potentials
(MEPs) after transcranial electrical stimulation (MEPt) were performed throughout the surgery. Pairs of subcutaneous needles were inserted in the abductor pollicis brevis (APB), extensor comnis, tibialis anterior and abductor hallucis longus (AHL) bilaterally for muscle recordings. After opening the dura a 6x1 grid electrode was placed over the cortex and continuous direct motor cortical stimulation was performed. Dissection of the sylviane fissure (trans-sylviane approach) was done to achieve middle cerebral artery exposure, then its M1 and M2 segments and the perforators were dissected from the tumor. The tumor was removed by utilizing an ultrasonic aspirator. Tumoral frontal and temporal opercula were subpially removed. A monopolar stimulator was used for continuous subcortical mapping. The resection was stopped when a threshold of 6 mA was found for the MEPs of the left upper extremity. During tumor resection only mild transient changes in MEPs were observed allowing the continuation of the intervention until the resection was apparently complete. Minor bleeding of deep surgical field was managed with haemostatic fibrin (surgicel®) (Ethicon, Johnson and Johnson). Finally, a cotton irrigated with a 2% H2O2 solution was left in the surgical bed. A few seconds later, the left MEPs from upper and lower extremities and the left median SEPs progressively abated. Although the cotton was removed and blood pressure increased, irrigation with saline and local infusion of papaverine was done, the recordings did not change. The dura closure was watertight, and the bone flap was fixed with craneofix® (Aesculap). At closing, there was a very poor response in the left AHL and still no response in the left upper extremity (Figure 2). The patient woke up with a complete right hemispheric syndrome, including apraxia of eyelid opening, right oculocephalic deviation, left homonimus hemianopsia, left hemiplegia, left anesthesia and anosognosia. A post operative MRI (24 hours after surgery) showed a complete tumor resection and an acute stroke in superficial and deep regions of the MCA (Figure 3 and 4). A decrease in collateral vascularization with permeability of M1 and M2 segments of MCA was noted in the magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). Six months later the patient was not able to walk on his own and had a monoplegia of the upper left extremity. Only twelve months after the event we observed an improvement of the impairment, with mild paresis of the leg that allowed the subject to ambulate independently.

Discussion:

Insular tumor surgery is very complex due to its vascular relationship. The goal of this type of surgery is not only the largest resection possible for oncological control, but also the best tailored for preserving the functionality and avoiding catastrophic neurological impairments. Sanai and colleagues analyzed patients with low and high grade gliomas and found that those resected over 90% had a 5-year survival rate of 100%, whereas those with lesions resected less than 90% had a 5-year survival rate of 84%. The same significant difference was found for patients with high grade gliomas (10). They concluded that the extent of resection was a significant predictor of overall survival after surgery of insular low and high grade glioma.

One of the main risks of insular surgery is vascular injury. The resection of the most medial part of the insula may result in damage to perforating vessels and subcortical ischemia. Damage of long perforating vessels supplying the corona radiata and the internal capsule cause postoperative motor deficits (7,15). Despite this, retrospective data and also some prospective analyses support the feasibility of resections of insular
low grade gliomas by experienced surgeons. The potential benefits of a tumor resection need to be balanced against the risk of incurring a new postoperative deficit (10,11).

In the neurosurgical field, H2O2 is mainly used as a haemostatic agent, although some complications have been described in relation to its use. Some adverse effects as air-embolism, pneumoencephalus and trigeminal cardiac reflex have been reported (1,4,8,12). Mut and colleagues reported a case with a H2O2-induced stroke. They observed multiple bubbles within the lumen of the vessels after the exposure to H2O2 in a patient. Later, they performed an animal experimental study and found signs of both local and deep diffusion of H2O2 through brain parenchyma that ensued in gas embolism, vasoconstriction, platelet aggregation and vascular occlusion (5). There are very few cases of stroke described after the application of H2O2 to the brain parenchyma, nonetheless the agent is still used for hemostasis in many centers.

In our case, the most probable cause of ischemic damage was the summation of local mechanisms on the site of H2O2 application that lead to superficial parenchyma infarction, and distal mechanisms owing to diffusion of H2O2, affecting deep perforating vessels and other small caliber arteries in a similar manner, while large caliber MCA was un-affected. This sequence could explain the postoperative stroke in our patient and also the significant changes in MEPs and SEPs observed only a few seconds after irrigation with H2O2, while no major changes were detected after the complete resection of the tumor, or during the coagulation, excluding another possible mechanism of stroke. Moreover, the MRA showed a decrease in collateral vascularization from M1 and M2 segments, while the MCA caliber was unaltered, thus suggesting that the infarct was due to occlusion of small caliber arteries.

MEPs loss in supratentorial surgery is related to a postoperative motor deficit (13) and indicates a motor cortical or subcortical lesion, while SEP changes are very sensitive for detecting cortical perfusion disorders. In our case, the initial decrease of MEP pointed to an involvement of the leucostriate arteries. The following SEP deterioration on the other hand heralded as well a cortical implication. At the end of surgery, we found an absence of the hand motor response and very low amplitude of the foot response, suggesting a poor long-term functional outcome (6,7).

To date, all reports of H2O2-induced strokes are limited to describing the radiological and clinical findings of a stroke after a surgery where H2O2 was utilized and was supposedly, the culprit. Here we bridge the gap, providing neurophysiological proof that the H2O2 instillation provoked the tissue damage in our patient given that the temporal gap between intraoperative neurophysiological changes and the irrigation with H2O2, once the tumor resection and bipolar coagulation was already finished, is only of few seconds. Furthermore, the stroke occurred in absence of any another possible inducing cause.

The INM changes prompted a quick start of maneuvers aimed at reversing the injury. These measures may have changed the final postoperative outcome of the patient. We conclude that INM can be a very useful tool to detect even the most unlikely mechanisms of neural injury during brain surgery, and to aid neurosurgeons to change the surgical strategy. Finally, we recommend being cautious or even avoiding the
application of H2O2 for hemostasis during cranial tumor resection when vascular structures are exposed.
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Fig 1: Axial fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) image showing the lesion located in the right insula, frontal and temporal opercula consistent with a low grade glioma.
Fig 2: Motor evoked potentials (MEPs) recorded in abductor pollicis brevis (L-APB) and extensor digitorum communis (L-EXT) after direct cortical stimulation with an intensity of 13mA. The arrow indicates the placement of the cotton with H2O2. Immediately after, a severe and progressive decrease of amplitude of MEPs is observed.
Fig 3: Postoperative axial diffusion-weighted image (DWI) showing an acute infarction involving superficial (insular) and deep (lateral leucoestriate) branches of the right median cerebral artery.
Fig. 4: Postoperative axial T2-diffusion image showing a complete tumor resection.