Local infiltration analgesia adds no clinical benefit in pain control to peripheral nerve blocks after total knee arthroplasty

Pedro Hinarejos¹, MD PhD

Bruno Capurro¹, MD

Xavier Santiveri², MD

Pere Ortiz³, MD

Joan Leal¹, MD PhD

Xavier Pelfort⁴, MD PhD

Raul Torres-Claramunt¹, MD PhD

Juan Sánchez-Soler¹, MD

Joan C Monllau¹, MD PhD

Authors affiliations:

¹ Orthopedic Surgery Department. Parc de Salut Mar. Barcelona. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

² Anesthesiology Department. Parc de Salut Mar. Barcelona.

³ Pharmacy Department. Parc de Salut Mar. Barcelona.

⁴ Consorci Sanitari de l'Anoia – Hospital d'Igualada.

Please address all correspondence to:

Pedro Hinarejos, MD PhD

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery. Parc de Salut Mar

Sant Josep de la Muntanya, 12

08024-Barcelona (Spain)

Phone: +34610894448

Email: phinarejos@parcdesalutmar.cat

Local infiltration analgesia adds no clinical benefit in pain control to peripheral nerve blocks after total knee arthroplasty

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate the effect of the local infiltration of analgesics for pain after total knee arthroplasty in patients treated with femoral and sciatic peripheral nerve blocks. The secondary objective was to detect differences in analgesic consumption as well as blood loss after local infiltration of analgesics.

Methods: Prospective randomized double-blinded study in patients who underwent a TKA for knee osteoarthritis under spinal anesthesia and treated with femoral and sciatic nerve blocks. This study compared 50 patients treated with local infiltration with ropivacaine, epinephrine, ketorolac and clonidine and 50 patients treated with a placebo with the same technique. The Visual analogic score were registered postoperatively at 2, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 hours after surgery. Analgesic consumption was also registered. Both groups of patients were treated with the same surgical and rehabilitation protocols.

Results: A significant difference of one point was found in the visual analogic pain scores 12 hours after surgery (0.6 ± 1.5 vs 1.7 ± 2.3). There were no significant differences in the visual analogic pain scores evaluated at any other time between 2 and 72 hours after surgery. No significant differences were found in the required doses of tramadol or morphine in the postoperative period. Postoperative hemoglobin and blood loss were also similar in both groups.

Conclusion: Adding local infiltration of analgesics to peripheral nerve blocks after TKA surgery only provides minimal benefit for pain control. This benefit may be considered as non-clinically relevant. Moreover, the need for additional analgesics was the same in both groups. Therefore, the use of local infiltration of analgesics treatment in TKA surgery cannot be recommended if peripheral nerve blocks are used.

Keywords: Total knee arthroplasty, pain control, local infiltration of analgesics, peripheral nerve blocks

Level of evidence: Level I.

INTRODUCTION

Pain after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is very frequent and is sometimes severe. Failure to control pain adequately postoperatively impedes physical therapy and may cause anxiety, suboptimal range of motion (ROM), longer hospital stays, an increased incidence of thromboembolic disease and worse postoperative functional outcomes [6, 10, 27].

The classical treatment of postoperative analgesia was achieved with intravenous opioids, but this treatment caused frequent negative side effects like confusion, pruritus, constipation, nausea and vomiting or respiratory depression [27]. For decades, the use of epidural infusions with local anaesthetics provided analgesia without the side effects of opioids [2], but other complications like urinary retention, hypotension, and spinal hematoma were seen. Over the last decade, the use of peripheral nerve blocks (PNB) [1, 15, 33] and local infiltration of analgesics (LIA) [9, 14, 17, 22, 28, 30] techniques have been more and more frequently used after TKA surgery because of their proven benefit in postoperative analgesia. Their use encourages better collaboration in the rehabilitation process, decreases hospitalization time and makes for better functional TKA outcomes.

Several studies have compared the use of epidural anesthesia with LIA [13] and some of them have shown the greater efficacy of LIA[32]. Other studies have compared the use of PNB with LIA and shown that both techniques are useful in controlling postoperative pain in TKA [1, 33]. However, to our knowledge, no study has assessed the additional benefits of LIA in controlling pain and improving functional outcomes after TKA in patients treated postoperatively with femoral and sciatic PNB.

The main objective of this study was to evaluate pain levels in two groups of patients after TKA and femoral and sciatic PNB: one group with LIA and the other without LIA. The hypothesis was that adding LIA to PNB might lead to better pain control after TKA. The secondary objective was to detect differences in analgesic consumption and blood loss after LIA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prospective, randomized, double-blinded study was carried out that included all patients who underwent a TKA from September 2013 to June 2014. The inclusion criteria were: age between 40 to 85 years and diagnose of knee osteoarthritis. Other diagnoses were not

included. The exclusion criteria are listed in table 1. Those patients who chose to participate provided informed consent.

Randomization was done with a software program and the list was known by the Pharmacy Department of our institution for the preparation of the liquid to infiltrate, but remained blinded to the surgeon and postoperative evaluators until the end of the study. Patients were randomized into group A (study group) or group B (control group). In Group A, the periarticular injections included 246.25 mg of ropivacaine 5 mg/mL (49.25 mL), 0.5 mg of epinephrine 1 mg/mL (0.5 mL), 30 mg of ketorolac 30 mg/mL (1 mL), 0.08 mg of clonidine 0.1 mg / mL (0.8 mL), and 48.45 mL of saline were added to the medications to make a total of 100 mL. In group B, the periarticular injections included 100 mL of normal saline, which was injected in the same way as for the study group.

The hospital pharmacy prepared and labeled the medication according to the randomization schedule (which had peel-off labels that were removed sequentially, one by one, as each subject was enrolled) and kept the documentation. The Pharmacy Department delivered the injections to the operating room unmarked so that the surgeons were blinded to group assignment. The surgeons, patients, nurses, and physical therapists remained blinded to the assigned group throughout the study. Blinding remained unbroken for all patients. Of the 101 patients enrolled, one patient was excluded from the analysis because he was exitus at 5 months postoperatively (not related to TKA). The final analysis included 100 patients (50 in each group) (Figure 1).

The sample analyzed consisted of 100 patients (75 women and 25 men) with 72.1 years (SD 6.9) in average. There were 55 right knees and 45 left knees. The mean body mass index (BMI) was 30.6 (SD 4.9). The American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) score was 1 in 2% of the cases, 2 in 69% and 3 in 29%.

The main associated pathologies requiring chronic medication were hypertension (82%), dyslipidemia (43%), diabetes mellitus (29%) and depression (18%).

Procedures

All surgeries were done under spinal anesthesia with 10 to 15 mg of bupivacaine. Intraoperative conscious sedation was not restricted by the protocol.

A medial parapatellar approach was used for all patients. All implants were posterior-stabilized and cemented and included patellar resurfacing. Electrocautery was used for

hemostasis. The tourniquet was used in all cases and released after wound closure. A deep drain was placed for drainage and kept in the knee for 24 hours. A dose of 10 mg/Kg of tranexamic acid was administered intravenously to the patients 15 minutes before tourniquet release to decrease postoperative bleeding. Postoperative cryotherapy was used in all cases.

In all patients, the surgeon infiltrated the 100mL of liquid prepared by the hospital pharmacy in the soft tissues around the joint with a syringe and a 0.8mm gauge needle. The injections were given after component cemented implantation as follows: behind the knee into posterior soft tissues (30mL), into the medial (25mL) and lateral (25mL) gutters (including the femoral periosteum) and into subcutaneous tissue (20 mL) [11]. After joint infiltration, the surgeon proceeded to the suture by planes.

Postoperatively, the anesthesiologist proceeded to PNB guided by ultrasound (femoral and sciatic blocks with a single dose of 40 mL of bupivacaine 0.25 % + adrenaline, making for a total of 100 mg of bupivacaine administered). The PNB were always done at least one hour after the intraarticular infiltration of the study group to minimize the possibility of toxic doses of anesthetic drugs in plasma.

The parenteral protocol of postoperative analgesia was as follows: paracetamol 1g /8h iv. and dexketoprofen 50 mg/8h iv. If the patient had a pain greater than 3 on the VAS, tramadol 1 mg/kg iv every 6 hours was used. If the VAS remained greater than 3 at 30 minutes after a tramadol dose, a dose of morphine 0.01 mg / kg s.c. was administered.

Early ambulation, and enoxaparine 40mg/24 h s.c. for 4 weeks was the standard prophylaxis against deep venous thrombosis. Cephazoline for 24 hours was used as the antibiotic prophylaxis.

During the first 3 days after surgery, a nurse asked for and registered the patients' VAS scores from 0 (indicating no pain) to 10 (indicating extreme pain) at 2, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours postoperatively. The use of rescue analgesia (tramadol and morphine) was also registered.

A blood analysis (hemogram, including red cell count and hemoglobin concentration) was requested at 24 and 72 hours postoperatively. Three physical therapists measured the passive ROM of the knee joint at 4 days, 2 weeks and 6 months after surgery with the patient in a supine position with a manual 30cm plastic goniometer 0-to-360° per 1°. The

rehabilitation protocol included beginning passive motion of the knee and standing-up 24 hours after surgery.

The clinical and functional status of the patient was evaluated with the Knee Society Score (KSS) score[4] preoperatively and 6 months after surgery.

The study received approval from the IRB (Parc de Salut Mar 2012/4987).

Statistical Analysis

All data collected for this study was entered into an Excel database (Microsoft Office 2003, Redmond, WA) and analysed using the SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical program. A descriptive analysis of the sample was done using rates for categorical variables, and the mean and standard deviations for continuous variables. Demographic and clinical characteristics were examined across the two treatment groups to test the randomization. To compare differences between the two treatment groups either a Chi-square or a Fisher exact test was used for the analysis of categorical variables and the Student's t-test was used for continuous variables. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Based on a power analysis, a sample size of 48 patients in each group was estimated to detect a 1.5-point difference in the visual analogic scale (VAS) score with a standard deviation of 2.0 points, a P value of .05, and a power of 80% or higher.

RESULTS

The main preoperative hemoglobin was 13.8 g/dL (SD 1.2). The main preoperative ROM was 108.2 (SD 10.9) degrees. The main preoperative KSS scores were 40.5 (SD 13.4) in the Knee Score and 52.0 (SD 13.9) in the Functional Score.

No significant differences were found between both groups in terms of baseline demographics (age, gender, BMI, ASA score), side of surgery or in preoperative assessment of pain, range of motion (ROM) or KSS scores.

After analyzing the postoperative VAS pain scores, a significant difference of 1 point was found at 12 hours after surgery, being lower in the study group ($p = 0.008$). There were no significant differences in VAS pain scores evaluated at 2, 6, 24, 36, 48 or 72 hours after surgery (figure2). Upon analyzing the VAS scores in the categories of good pain control ($VAS \leq 3$) or bad pain control ($VAS > 3$), no significant differences between the groups in any of the times of evaluation after surgery were observed.

No significant differences between groups were found in the cumulative required doses of tramadol in the postoperative period (Table 2). The number of patients requiring morphine as a rescue medication was also similar in the control group and the study group (23 vs. 18 on the first day, 14 vs. 9 on the second day and 1 vs. 4 on the third day). The postoperative hemoglobin evaluated at 1 day (mean 11.1 g/dL SD 1.0) and 3 days (mean 10.1 g/dL, SD 1.2) after surgery showed no differences between both groups. The hemoglobin drop (difference between postoperative and preoperative values) was also similar in both groups (n.s.). The blood loss measured in the drainage (mean 190 mL, SD 146 mL) was also similar in both groups. Only three patients (two in the control group and one in the study group) needed an allogenic blood transfusion (n.s.). No patient fall was registered during the postoperative period in the control or in the study groups. The mean ROM was similar between both groups on the fourth day (group A 75.9° vs. group B 75.0°, n.s.), second week (97.3° vs. 98.7°) and sixth month (109.0° vs. 107.6°) postoperatively. The postoperative KSS scores increased very significantly with respect to the preoperative values in both groups, but were similar in the study group (Knee 89.9 (SD 12.2) Function 83.1 (SD 18.8)) and in the control group (Knee 91.1 (SD 9.3) Function 82.4 (SD 15.1)). There were no complications that we could attribute to the injected medications. In the study group, there was a case of stiffness requiring arthroscopic arthrolysis and two acute infections that were successfully treated after debridement, an insert exchange and antibiotics. In the control group, there was a pulmonary embolism, two cases of stiffness requiring arthroscopic arthrolysis and one exitus caused by a brain lymphoma 5 months after surgery (this patient was excluded in the final analysis).

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study is that adding LIA to PNB after TKA surgery provides only minimal benefit to pain control. In the present study, only the VAS 12 hours after surgery was significantly reduced in the LIA group, but the difference (one point in the VAS score) can be considered as non-clinically relevant. Moreover, the need for additional analgesics was the same in both groups. As in previous reports[22], no complications related to these techniques were found in this study.

Spinal anaesthesia reduces postoperative morbidity as well as postoperative pain and morphine consumption in comparison to general anaesthesia [26]. It was used in all the analysed patients.

Advances in nerve stimulation and ultrasound technologies have made the PNB more popular over the last decade by achieving good pain control in the immediate postoperative period after TKA reducing the side effects [10]. On the other hand, the use of PNB can have some inconveniences, which includes difficult ambulation while the nerve block is in effect that can cause the patient to falls. Nevertheless, such a fall is more frequent if a continuous catheter has been used instead of single-shot techniques [19]. The most frequently used PNB in TKA surgery is the femoral block with a single-shot which may warrant good analgesia up to 24 hours [12]. The use of the sciatic block with the femoral block seems to improve pain control in the posterior aspect of the knee [7] and is also associated with increased patient satisfaction [12]. This combination was used in this study. With the rehabilitation protocol used, that of not trying to stand up for the first 24 hours after surgery, no patient fall was registered during the postoperative period.

The use of intraoperative LIA with a combination of medications is very useful in reducing postoperative pain and opioid consumption and provides effective pain relief while permitting early rehabilitation [16, 20, 21, 24, 29, 31]. Its use is effective for the first 6 to 12 hours after surgery. Several combinations have been tried. One the most successful cocktails combines ropivacaine, ketorolac, and epinephrine, with or without clonidine [3, 11, 23]. This mixture should be injected in the periosteum, joint capsule and soft tissues under direct visual control before wound closure. LIA has been proposed for use in addition to PNB [16], but as far as we know, there is a lack of evidence about this combination.

As the medication included in the injection in the study group had 0.5 mg of epinephrine, a reduction effect in the bleeding was to be expected [8, 25]. Nevertheless, the postoperative haemoglobin and the drained blood were similar in both groups and there was no reduction in the transfusion requirements. The reason may be that a low rate of transfusion was observed in this study in comparison to previous studies that evaluated transfusion requirements after LIA which included epinephrine [8]. Therefore, the potential benefit in decreasing the bleeding after surgery has not been proved in this study.

This study was designed considering a multimodal analgesia approach, which is based on the use of multiple techniques and multiple pain modulators at relatively low doses, which affect different steps along the pain pathway to use lower opioid doses [5, 18]. Good pain control has been stated to be necessary for the patient to perform the usual postoperative rehabilitation [5, 10]. In this study, as both groups of patients achieved low VAS scores (no higher than 3, on average, at any time studied after surgery), the final ROM and KSS scores were similar in both groups. Therefore, we failed to prove a better functional result if LIA is added to PNB in controlling postoperative pain.

This study has some limitations. The first is that using PNB, a fast-track postoperative rehabilitation was not used because of the risk of patient falls. However, beginning to stand up the day following surgery is a widely accepted rehabilitation protocol. The second is that the study was powered to detect VAS differences but the study might have been underpowered to detect differences in other secondary variables like the doses of analgesics or blood loss. A third limitation is that three different anaesthesiologists, four surgeons and three physical therapists were involved in the treatment of these patients, but the bias of different people involved was minimized for the randomized assignment of treatment. The last limitation is that only one cocktail of medications in LIA has been tested, but other combinations might be more effective when added to PNB. However, some studies considered the combination used the most useful one when used in LIA [11].

CONCLUSION

An attempt was made to add the known benefits of LIA to the benefits of PNB, but the effect on the pain perceived by patients after TKA surgery is minimal, with no effect on consumption of analgesics needed. Moreover, no differences in the blood loss were found. Therefore, the addition of treatment with LIA after TKA surgery is not recommended when PNB are used.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully thank the assistance in the statistical analysis by Sergi Mojal and the assistance in Figure design by Dr. Vito Andriola

246

247 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

248 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest relative to the funding of the
249 research presented.

250

251 **REFERENCES**

- 252 1. Affas F, Nygåards EB, Stiller CO, Wretenberg P, Olofsson C(2011) Pain control
253 after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized trial comparing local infiltration
254 anesthesia and continuous femoral block. Acta Orthop 82: 441-447
- 255 2. Al-Zahrani T, Doais KS, Aljassir F, Alshaygy I, Albishi W, Terkawi AS(2015)
256 Randomized clinical trial of continuous femoral nerve block combined with sciatic
257 nerve block versus epidural analgesia for unilateral total knee arthroplasty. J
258 Arthroplasty 30:149-154
- 259 3. Andersen KV, Nikolajsen L, Haraldsted V, Odgaard A, Søballe K (2013) Local
260 infiltration analgesia for total knee arthroplasty: should ketorolac be added? Br J
261 Anaesth 111:242-248
- 262 4. Ares O, Castellet E, Maculé F, León V, Montañez E, Freire A, Hinarejos P,
263 Montserrat F, Amillo JR (2013) Translation and validation of 'The Knee Society
264 Clinical Rating System' into Spanish. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 21:
265 2618-2624
- 266 5. Baratta JL, Gandhi K, Viscusi ER. (2014) Perioperative pain management for total
267 knee arthroplasty. J Surg Orthop Adv 23: 22-36
- 268 6. Barrington JW, Dalury DF, Emerson RH Jr, Hawkins RJ, Joshi GP, Stulberg BN.
269 (2013) Improving patient outcomes through advanced pain management techniques
270 in total hip and knee arthroplasty. Am J Orthop 42(10 Suppl):S1-S20
- 271 7. Bauer MC, Pogatzki-Zahn EM, Zahn PK (2014) Regional analgesia techniques for
272 total knee replacement. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol; 27: 501-506
- 273 8. Bhutta MA, Ajwani SH, Shepard GJ, Ryan WG (2015) Reduced blood loss and
274 transfusion rates: additional benefits of local infiltration anaesthesia in knee
275 arthroplasty patients. J Arthroplasty 30: 2034-2037

9. Browne C, Copp S, Reden L, Pulido P, Colwell C Jr (2004) Bupivacaine bolus injection versus placebo for pain management following total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 19: 377-380
10. Carli F, Clemente A, Asenjo JF, Kim DJ, Mistraletti G, Gomarasca M, Morabito A, Tanzer M (2010) Analgesia and functional outcome after total knee arthroplasty: periarticular infiltration vs continuous femoral nerve block. *Br J Anaesth* 105: 185-195
11. Dalury DF, Lieberman JR, MacDonald SJ (2011) Current and innovative pain management techniques in total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 93:1938-1943
12. Danninger T, Opperer M, Memtsoudis SG (2014) Perioperative pain control after total knee arthroplasty: An evidence based review of the role of peripheral nerve blocks. *World J Orthop* 5: 225-232
13. DeWeese FT, Akbari Z, Carline E (2001) Pain control after knee arthroplasty: intraarticular versus epidural anesthesia. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 392:226-231
14. Essving P, Axelsson K, Kjellberg J, Wallgren O, Gupta A, Lundin A (2010) Reduced morphine consumption and pain intensity with local infiltration analgesia (LIA) following total knee arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop* 81:354-360.
15. Ganapathy S (2012) Wound/intra-articular infiltration or peripheral nerve blocks for orthopedic joint surgery: efficacy and safety issues. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 25:615-620
16. Gibbs DM, Green TP, Esler CN (2012) The local infiltration of analgesia following total knee replacement: a review of current literature. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*; 94: 1154-1159
17. Gómez-Cardero P, Rodríguez-Merchán EC (2010) Postoperative analgesia in TKA: ropivacaine continuous intraarticular infusion. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 468: 1242-1247
18. Horlocker TT (2010) Pain management in total joint arthroplasty: a historical review. *Orthopedics* 33(9 Suppl): 14-19

19. Johnson RL, Kopp SL, Hebl JR, Erwin PJ, Mantilla CB (2013) Falls and major orthopaedic surgery with peripheral nerve blockade: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth* 110: 518-528
20. Kazak Bengisun Z, AysuSalviz E, Darcin K, Suer H, Ates Y (2010) Intraarticular levobupivacaine or bupivacaine administration decreases pain scores and provides a better recovery after total knee arthroplasty. *J Anesth* 24: 694-699
21. Kehlet H, Andersen LØ (2011) Local infiltration analgesia in joint replacement: the evidence and recommendations for clinical practice. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 55: 778-784
22. Keijsers R, van Delft R, van den Bekerom MP, de Vries DC, Brohet RM, Nolte PA (2015) Local infiltration analgesia following total knee arthroplasty: effect on post-operative pain and opioid consumption-a meta-analysis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 23: 1956-1963
23. Kelley TC, Adams MJ, Mulliken BD, Dalury DF (2013) Efficacy of multimodal perioperative analgesia protocol with periarticular medication injection in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, double-blinded study. *J Arthroplasty* 28: 1274-1277
24. Krenzel BA, Cook C, Martin GN, Vail TP, Attarian DE, Bolognesi MP (2009) Posterior capsular injections of ropivacaine during total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Arthroplasty* 24 (6 Suppl): 138-143
25. Leownorasate M, Ruangsillapanu N (2014) Post-op pain and blood loss in total knee arthroplasty: an RCT using periarticular injection with diclofenac-based multimodal drugs. *J Med Assoc Thai* 97: 1332-1337
26. Macfarlane AJ, Prasad GA, Chan VW, Brull R (2009) Does regional anesthesia improve outcome after total knee arthroplasty? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 467: 2379-2402
27. Monllau JC, Hinarejos P, Leal J, Torres-Claramunt R, Puig-Verdié L (2015) Perioperative management in TKA (pages 155-168). In Bentley G (UK) (ed.). *European Instructional Lectures: Volume 15, 2015, 16th EFFORT Congress, Prague*, pp 155-168

- 335 28. Ong JC, Chin PL, Fook-Chong SM, Tang A, Yang KY, Tay BK (2010) Continuous
336 infiltration of local anaesthetic following total knee arthroplasty. *J Orthop Surg*
337 (Hong Kong) 18: 203-207
- 338 29. Reinhardt KR, Duggal S, Umunna BP, Reinhardt GA, Nam D, Alexiades M,
339 Cornell CN (2014) Intraarticular analgesia versus epidural plus femoral nerve block
340 after TKA: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 472: 1400-1408
- 341 30. Rosen AS, Colwell CW Jr, Pulido PA, Chaffee TL, Copp SN (2010) A randomized
342 controlled trial of intraarticular ropivacaine for pain management immediately
343 following total knee arthroplasty. *HSS J* 6:155-159
- 344 31. Schotanus MG, Bemelmans YF, van der Kuy PH, Jansen J, Kort NP (2015) No
345 advantage of adrenaline in the local infiltration analgesia mixture during total knee
346 arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* DOI 10.1007/s00167-015-
347 3723-4
- 348 32. Spreng UJ, Dahl V, Hjal A, Fagerland MW, Ræder J (2010) High-volume local
349 infiltration analgesia combined with intravenous or local ketorolac+morphine
350 compared with epidural analgesia after total knee arthroplasty. *Br J Anaesth* 105:
351 675-682
- 352 33. Toftdahl K, Nikolajsen L, Haraldsted V, Madsen F, Tønnesen EK, Søballe K (2007)
353 Comparison of peri- and intraarticular analgesia with femoral nerve block after total
354 knee arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. *Acta Orthop* 78: 172-179

Figure 1: CONSORT Flow diagram shows the enrollment process in this trial

FIGURE 2- VAS values (main and SD) at different times after surgery. Only values at 12 h (*) were significantly different (p=0.008). The values in the other evaluations were n.s.

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Allergy to any of the medications of the study• Contraindication to or failure of spinal anesthesia• Psychiatric disease• Polyneuropathy• Weight less than 60 kg• Treatment with skin patches of morphic derivatives analgesics (fentanyl, buprenorphine)• Treatment with antiarrhythmic drugs class III (eg. amiodarone)• Treatment with potent CYP1A2 inhibitors (fluvoxamine, enoxacin)• No withdrawal of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or corticosteroids 24 hours before surgery• Known drug or alcohol abuse• Diagnosis of inflammatory arthritis• Previous major surgery on the operated knee
--

Table 1- Exclusion criteria

	STUDY GROUP (A)	CONTROL GROUP (B)	p-Value
6 hours	25	25	n.s.
12 hours	27	31	n.s.
24 hours	31	42	n.s.
48 hours	69	79	n.s.
72 hours	116	127	n.s.

TABLE 2- Cumulative dosis of Tramadol used to achieve pain control after surgery. No differences were found between groups. Each dose was calculated with the 1 mg/Kg formula